



- To compare tooth dimensions of male and female subjects.

**Materials and Method:**

Stratified random sampling was done where 200 adolescent children (aged 12-16 years) of Bhopal city were selected out of 1569 children examined from 4 public schools and 2 dental camps organized by Department of Pedodontics, People's College Of Dental Sciences and RC, Bhopal . The inclusion criteria for the subjects were as follows: All fully erupted permanent teeth (except second and third molars) present in the maxillary and the mandibular arches, congenital craniofacial anomalies should be absent, no previous history of orthodontic treatment and dentition should be without caries, restorations, or age-related attrition. Subjects should belong to Hindu community rendering localization of samples. The informed consent was obtained from each individual. Dental impressions were made with irreversible hydrocolloid alginate impression material (Marieflex, Septodont Healthcare India) and immediately poured with dental stone (Unident, Australia) to avoid any dimensional changes. The measurement of mesio-distal width of mandibular permanent central and lateral incisors, maxillary and mandibular permanent canines, and first and second premolars were made using digital vernier caliper (Mitutoyo, Kawasaki) with least count of 0.01mm. Values of right and left side were averaged to obtain a single value. The measurements were between two anatomical contact points of each tooth, parallel to the occlusal and vestibular surfaces as described by Moorrees et al.<sup>11</sup> The teeth under study were measured manually and independently by a single investigator.

**Statistical Analysis:**

Descriptive statistics includes mean and standard deviation (**Table 1**). A student's t test was applied to compare the actual and predicted values. The actual measurements were compared with the predicted values of Moyers probability tables at the 35<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile confidence levels (**Table 2**). The correlation between the sums of the canine, premolars in both arches and mandibular incisors was calculated by coefficient of correlation (**Table 3**). The Student's unpaired t test was applied to compare the male and female tooth dimension (Table 4). The regression equations used were as follows:

$$y = a + bx$$

(a and b are regression coefficients; x = summed width of mandibular incisors; y = summed width of canine and premolars).

**Results**

Moyers prediction values at 35<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile confidence levels were not an accurate values to estimate tooth dimension of permanent canine and

premolars in present sample. Variation was present in the mesiodistal tooth dimensions between males and females. Mesiodistal dimensions in the buccal segments of maxillary and mandibular arches were larger in males than in females with P values 0.0478 and 0.0001 respectively. From this data a new regression equations were derived for male and female subjects separately for predicting tooth dimension in the Hindu community children of Bhopal population.

**Male: Maxilla - Y = 17.272 + 0.183X**

**Mandible - Y= 13.914 + 0.297X**

**Female: Maxilla - Y= 17.151 + 0.173X**

**Mandible - Y=12.452 + 0.349X**

**Discussion**

According to Butler's field concept of tooth morphology "The teeth are repeated organs that occupy different positions in a continuous morphogenetic field".<sup>12</sup> This signifies the correlation between size of the teeth to genetics and environment.<sup>10</sup> Racial and gender-based mixed dentition space analyses needs revision once in every generation (approximately 30 years) due to changing trends in malocclusion and tooth size.<sup>13</sup> This accounts for various important factor affecting the alignment of teeth in the bony arches and the development of occlusion during transition of the dentition.<sup>14</sup> The reliability of study based on pooled data is characteristics of sample chosen like uniform ethnicity (Hindu community) and sample size.<sup>15</sup>

Tooth dimension studies on other population revealed variability as reported by Schirmer et al in North Europeans,<sup>16</sup> Alhajja et al in Jordanian subjects,<sup>17</sup> and Kuswandari et al in Indonesian Javanese children,<sup>18</sup> where Moyers prediction tables underestimated the actual mesiodistal widths of upper canine premolar segments in both the sexes. In the present study, Moyers prediction tables at 75<sup>th</sup> percentile value overestimated the tooth dimensions, except for the upper canine premolar segment of females. However, at 50<sup>th</sup> and 35<sup>th</sup> percentile values, they underestimated the actual mesiodistal widths of upper and lower canine premolar segments in both the sexes. This was in accordance with the study done by Durgekar et al. in south Indian school children.<sup>10</sup> This signifies that the racial and ethnic differences are evident with respect to tooth size. The reasons not been clearly elucidated, though genetic factors play a major role, and nutrition and environmental exposure during tooth development might have secondary roles.<sup>15</sup> Moyers probability tables are therefore neither accurate nor

Table I: Mean and SD for various tooth groups in different groups of subjects

T group	Gender	Total no:	Mean (mm)	S.D (mm)
LI*	M	78	22.08	1.897
UCPM**	M	78	21.33	1.335
LCPM***	M	78	20.97	1.497
LI	F	122	21.96	1.831
UCPM	F	122	20.96	1.252
LCPM	F	122	20.13	1.464

\*Lower Incisors Width, \*\*Upper Canine Premolar Width, \*\*\*Lower Canine Premolar Width, M-Male, F-Female.

Table II: Student t test for comparison of actual and predicted values

Gender	Arch	75 <sup>th</sup> percentile		50 <sup>th</sup> percentile		35 <sup>th</sup> percentile	
		"t" values	(P)	"t" values	(P)	"t" values	(P)
M	Max*	2.7985	0.0100	4.9287	0.0001	6.1365	0.0001
M	Mand**	2.8942	0.0080	4.220	0.0003	5.0068	0.0001
F	Max*	4.1917	0.0003	6.2080	0.0001	7.2958	0.00001
F	Mand**	5.9515	0.0001	7.7768	0.0001	8.7789	0.00001

M-Male, F-Female, \*Maxillary, \*\*Mandibular

Table III. Coefficient of correlation (r), Regression constants (a, b), Coefficient of determination (r<sup>2</sup>) for various tooth groups in different groups of subjects

T group	Gender	r	a	b	r <sup>2</sup>
UCPM*	M	0.3714	17.272	0.183	0.1379
LCPM**	M	0.4779	13.914	0.297	0.2283
UCPM*	F	0.3709	17.151	0.173	0.1375
LCPM**	F	0.5467	12.452	0.349	0.2988

\*Upper Canine Premolar, \*\*Lower Canine Premolar, M-Male, F-Female.

Table IV: Comparison of mesiodistal width of group of teeth between male and female subjects.

Sum of teeth	Sex	Mean (mm)	SD (mm)	SE difference (mm)	p value
LI*	M	22.08	±1.897	0.544	0.0147 <sup>†</sup>
	F	21.96	±1.831		
UCPM**	M	21.33	±1.335	0.186	0.0478 <sup>†</sup>
	F	20.96	±1.252		
LCPM***	M	20.97	±1.497	0.214	0.0001 <sup>€</sup>
	F	20.13	±1.464		

\*Lower Incisors Width, \*\*Upper Canine Premolar Width, \*\*\*Lower Canine Premolar Width, M-Male, F-Female.  
<sup>†</sup>significant, <sup>€</sup>highly significant

applicable when tested on a population of different ethnic origin.<sup>7</sup>

In tooth dimensions apart from racial differences, the descriptive statistics (**Table 2**) showed a statistically significant difference between the actual and predicted values at the 75<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 35<sup>th</sup> percentile for males and females, (where  $p < 0.01$  was highly significant) in both the arches. The researches support that racial differences are likely to be important variables in tooth size prediction equations.<sup>7</sup>

The coefficients obtained in this study (Table 3) were highest in case of female mandibular segment; the Coefficient of correlation ( $r$ ) and Coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) were 0.54 and 0.29 respectively. This was slightly higher than the study done by Godfrey et al in northeastern Thailand population.<sup>19</sup> The correlation coefficient signifies the polygenes that determine tooth size shared between the mandibular incisors and the canines and premolars thus justify its estimation prior to the eruption. Coefficient of determination indicated accuracy of regression equation showing more precise equation which can be attributed to the ethnic diversity and sample size.<sup>15</sup>

The value of standard errors (signifies degree of accuracy) for the new equations ranges from 0.186 mm to 0.544 mm (**Table 4**) which was lower as compared to the study done by Chandna et al which ranged from 0.63 mm to 0.83 mm.<sup>7</sup> Statistically significant sexual dimorphism was present in tooth size, as the mesiodistal dimensions of teeth were larger in males compared to the female subjects in both upper and lower canine premolar segments ( $P=0.0478$  and  $P=0.0001$  respectively) and in the mandibular incisal segment ( $P=0.0147$ ). Similar results were seen in the studies, where mandibular segment demonstrate greater mesiodistal width amongst all the teeth.<sup>20,21</sup> Gran et al hypothesized the tooth dimension expression through X-linked inheritance where 2X chromosomes in females provide a measure of control.<sup>22,23</sup>

The results indicate that the Moyers probability levels would not be as accurate for the present study samples. Therefore for accurate predictions, new linear regression equations were formulated based on the data derived from the present sample.

Multiple regression analysis is the best non radiographic predictor using the mandibular permanent incisors as a predictor variable. The use mandibular permanent incisors dimensions had several advantages like they erupt early in the mixed dentition, can be easily measured, show little variability in size, and is directly in between of most space-management problems.<sup>15</sup> Radiographic measurements are meticulous due to errors like elongation, poor quality intra-oral films or rotated

tooth. The use of digital caliper is more accurate method of measuring tooth dimensions and reduces random errors while measuring.<sup>10</sup>

Thus regression equation is simple and relatively accurate method for predicting mesio-distal width of teeth.<sup>7</sup> Racial and ethnic differences require proposed method to be tested in other subjects to confirm its applicability and consistency with large group populations.<sup>10</sup>

#### CONCLUSION

1. Present population demonstrated statistically significant sexual dimorphism in tooth size where mesio-distal crown dimensions were larger in males.
2. Moyers prediction tables were not an accurate method to estimate actual widths of unerupted canine premolar in both arches, in Hindu children of Bhopal City.
3. The linear regression equations are easy, non radiographic and precise methods for predicting the dimensions of unerupted teeth. However, the accuracy of the proposed prediction aids should be further tested in other ethnic groups of India.<sup>15</sup>

#### References:

1. Yaacob H, Narnbiar P, Naidu M. Racial characteristics of human teeth with special emphasis on the Mongoloid dentition. *Malaysian J Pathol* 1996; 18(1): 1 - 7.
2. Dhalberg AA. Dental traits as identification tools. *Dent Prog* 1963; 3: 155-60.
3. McDonald. *Dentistry for the child and adolescent*. 8th ed. Mosby 2009.p.627.
4. Nourallah AW, Gesch D, Khordaji MN, Splieth C. New regression equations for predicting the size of unerupted canines and premolars in a contemporary population. *Angle Orthod*. 2002;72(3):216-21.
5. Arslan SG, Dildeş N, Kama JD, Genç C. Mixed-dentition analysis in a Turkish population. *World J Orthod*. 2009;10(2):135-40.
6. Buwembo W, Luboga S. Moyer's method of mixed dentition analysis: a meta-analysis. *Afr Health Sci*. 2004;4(1):63-6.
7. Chandna A, Gupt A, Pradha KL, Gupta R. Prediction of the Size of Unerupted Canines and Premolars in A North Indian Population - An In Vitro Study. *JIDA* 2011;5(3): 329-33.
8. Staley RN, Hu P, Hoag JF, Shelly TH. Prediction of the combined right and left canine and premolar widths in both arches of the mixed dentition. *Pediatr Dent*. 1983;5(1):57-60.
9. Moyer RE. *Handbook of orthodontics. Analysis of the dentition and occlusion*. 4th ed. Year Book Medical Publishers; 1988. p. 235-8.
10. Durgekar SG, Naik V. Evaluation of Moyers mixed dentition analysis in school children. *Indian J Dent Res*. 2009;20(1):26-30.

11. Moorrees CF, Thomsen TO, Jensen E, Yen PK. Mesiodistal crown diameters of the deciduous and permanent teeth in individuals. *J Dent Res* 1957; 36 : 39-47.
12. Potter RH, Yu PL, Dahlberg AA, Merritt AD, Conneally PM. Genetic studies of tooth size factors in Pima Indian families. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1968;20(2):89-100.
13. Bishara SE, Khadivi P, Jakobsen JR. Changes in tooth size-arch length relationships from the deciduous to the permanent dentition: A longitudinal study *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1995;108:607-13.
14. Yuen KK, So LL, Tang EL. Mesiodistal crown diameters of the primary and permanent teeth in southern Chinese--a longitudinal study. *Eur J Orthod.* 1997;19(6):721-31.
15. Philip NI, Prabhakar M, Arora D, Chopra S. Applicability of the Moyers mixed dentition probability tables and new prediction aids for a contemporary population in India. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2010;138(3):339-45.
16. Schirmer UR, Wiltshire WA. Orthodontic probability tables for black patients of African descent: Mixed dentition analysis. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1997; 112 : 545-51.
17. Alhaja ESJ, Qudeimat MA. Mixed dentition space analysis in a Jordanian population: comparison of two methods. *Int J Pediatr Dent* 2006;6(2): 104-10.
18. Kuswandari S, Nishino M, Arita K, Abe Y. Mixed dentition analysis for Indonesian Javanese children. *Pediatr Dent J* 2006;6(1):74-83.
19. Jaroontham J, Godfrey K. Mixed dentition space analysis in a Thai population. *Eur J Orthod.* 2000;22(2):127-34.
20. Kapila R, Nagesh KS, Iyengar AR., Mehkri S. Sexual Dimorphism in Human Mandibular Canines: A Radiomorphometric Study in South Indian Population. *JODDD* 2011;5(2): 51-4.
21. Ates M, Karaman F, Iscan MY, Erdem TL. Sexual differences in Turkish dentition. *Legal Medicine* 2006; 8:288-92.
22. Garn SM, Lewis AB, Kerewsky RS. X-linked inheritance of dental tooth size. *J Dent Res* 1965;44:439-41.
23. Garn SM, Lewis AB, Swindler DR, Kerewsky RS. Genetic control of sexual dimorphism in tooth size. *J Dent Res* 1967;46(Suppl):963-72.

### Corresponding Author

**Dr Nikita Agrawal**

People's College of Dental Sciences and  
Research centre,

People's Campus, Bhanpur, Bhopal  
Phone No.: 9826531777

E-mail : [dr.nikita.agrawal@gmail.com](mailto:dr.nikita.agrawal@gmail.com)