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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a iterative sequence for finding a common element of the set of fixed points of a totally quasi- $\phi$ asymptotically nonexpansive mapping and the set of zeros of an inversestrongly monotone operator in a Banach space. We show the strong convergence of the given iterative sequence.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach space with dual space $E^{*}$ and $C$ be a closed and convex subset of $E$. For all $x \in E$ and $x^{*} \in E^{*},\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. The normalized duality mapping $J: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ is defined by

$$
J(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in E:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2},\left\|x^{*}\right\|=\|x\|\right\}, \forall x \in E .
$$

Let $A: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ be a nonlinear operator. The classical variational inequality for $A$ is to find $x^{*} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A x^{*}, y-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of solutions of $(1)$ is denoted by $V I(C, A)$. Such a problem is connected with the convex minimization problem, the complementarity, the problem of finding a point $x^{*} \in E$ satisfying $0=A x^{*}$.

The variational inequality (1) has been studied by many authors. If $E$ is a Hilbert space, the metric projection operator $P_{C}: E \rightarrow E$ plays a very important role in solving the variational inequality (1). In general Banach spaces, the metric projection operator may not be defined. Alber [6] introduced that the generalized projection $\pi_{C}: E^{*} \rightarrow E$ and $\Pi_{C}: E \rightarrow E$ in uniformly convex and uniformly smooth spaces. In [23], by applying the general projection operator $\pi_{C}: E^{*} \rightarrow E, \mathrm{~J}$. L. studied the existence of the solution of the variational inequality

$$
\langle A x-\xi, y-x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

By using the general projection operator $\Pi_{C}: E \rightarrow C$, Iiduka and Takahashi [11] introduced the iterative scheme for finding the solution of the variational inequality problem (1) for an inverse-strongly monotone operator $A$ in a Banach space: $x_{1}=x \in C$ and

$$
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C} J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-A x_{n}\right), \forall n \geq 1 .
$$

They proved that if $J$ is weakly sequentially continuous, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to some point $z \in V I(C, A)$, where $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{V I(C, A)} x_{n}$.

The notion of monotone mapping was introduced by Zarantonello [3] , G.J. Minty [4] and Kacurovskii [5] in Hilbert space. This notion has been extended to Banach spaces by several authors (see $[6,7,8,9,10]$ ).

We recall that a mapping $A: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ is said to be (1) monotone if

$$
\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq 0, \forall x, y \in C .
$$

(2) $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone if

$$
\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq \alpha\|A x-A y\|^{2}, \forall x, y \in C,
$$

where $\alpha>0$.
Take a functional $\phi: E \times E \rightarrow \Re$ is defined by

$$
\phi(x, y)=\|x\|^{2}-2\langle x, J y\rangle+\|y\|^{2},
$$

for all $x, y \in E$. In a Hilbert space, $J=I$, where $I$ is identity mapping, $\phi(x, y)=\|x-y\|^{2}$.

Let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping. A point $p \in C$ is called an asymptotic fixed point of $T$, if $C$ contains a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges weakly to $p$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$.

A mapping $T$ is said to be
(1) relatively nonexpansive $[17,18]$, if $\widehat{F}(T)=F(T)$ and

$$
\phi(p, T x) \leq \phi(p, x), \forall x \in C, p \in F(T),
$$

where $\widehat{F}(T)$ is the asymptotic fixed point set of $T$.
(2) relatively asymptotically nonexpansive [19], if $\widehat{F}(T)=F(T)$ and there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1,+\infty)$ with $k_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\phi\left(p, T^{n} x\right) \leq k_{n} \phi(p, x), \forall x \in C, p \in F(T) ;
$$

(3) quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
\phi(p, T x) \leq \phi(p, x), \forall x \in C, p \in F(T) ;
$$

(4) quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
\phi\left(p, T^{n} x\right) \leq k_{n} \phi(p, x), \forall x \in C, p \in F(T) ;
$$

(5) totally quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exist nonnegative real sequences $\nu_{n}, \mu_{n}$ with $\nu_{n} \rightarrow 0, \mu_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and a strictly increasing continuous function $\psi: \Re^{+} \rightarrow \Re^{+}$with $\psi(0)=0$ such that

$$
\phi\left(p, T^{n} x\right) \leq \phi(p, x)+\nu_{n} \psi(\phi(p, x))+\mu_{n}, \forall x \in C, p \in F(T)
$$

Remark 1.1 Every relatively nonexpansive mapping implies a relatively quasinonexpansive mapping, a quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping implies a quasi- $\phi$ asymptotically nonexpansive mapping and a quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping implies a totally quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, but the converses are not true.

Alber [6] introduced that the generalized projection $\Pi_{C}: E \rightarrow C$ is a mapping that assigns to an arbitrary point $x \in E$ the minimum point of the functional $\phi(x, y)$, that is, $\Pi_{C} x=\bar{x}$, where $\bar{x}$ is a solution of the minimization problem

$$
\phi(\bar{x}, x)=\inf _{y \in C} \phi(x, y) .
$$

The problem of finding a common element of the set of the variational inequalities for monotone operators in the framework of Hilbert spaces and Banach spaces has been intensively studied by many authors, please see $[8,12$, $6]$.

In 2006, Wu and Huang [13] introduced a new generalized $f$-projection operator in Banach spaces. Let $G: C \times E^{*} \rightarrow \Re \cup\{+\infty\}$ be a function defined by

$$
G\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\|x\|^{2}-2\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho f(x),
$$

where $x \in C, x^{*} \in E^{*}, \rho$ is a positive number and $f: C \rightarrow \Re \cup\{+\infty\}$ is proper, convex and lower semicontinuous. From the definition of $G\left(x, x^{*}\right)$, Wu and Huang [13] studied the following properties of $G\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ :
(1) $G\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ is convex and continuous with respect to $x^{*}$ when $x$ is fixed;
(2) $G\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous with respect to $y$ when $x^{*}$ is fixed.

We say that $\pi_{C}^{f}: E^{*} \rightarrow 2^{C}$ is a generalized $f$-projection operator if

$$
\pi_{C}^{f} x^{*}=\left\{u \in C: G\left(u, x^{*}\right)=\inf _{y \in C} G\left(y, x^{*}\right), x^{*} \in E^{*}\right\}
$$

Wu and Huang [13] studied the properties of $\pi_{C}^{f}$.
Let $E$ be a reflexive Banach space with dual space $E^{*}$, and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Then the following statements hold:
(1) $\pi_{C}^{f} x^{*}$ is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $C$ for all $x^{*} \in E^{*}$;
(2) if $E$ is smooth, then for all $x^{*} \in E^{*}, x \in \pi_{C}^{f} x^{*}$ if and only if

$$
\left\langle x-y, x^{*}-J x\right\rangle+\rho f(y)-\rho f(x) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

(3) if $E$ is strictly convex and $f$ is positive homogeneous (i.e., $f(t x)=t f(x)$ ) for all $t>0$ such that $t x \in C$, then $\pi_{C}^{f} x^{*}$ is a single-valued mapping (this property is also can be seen in [20]).

It is well known that $J$ is a single-valued mapping when $E$ is a smooth space. There exists a unique element $x^{*} \in E^{*}$ such that $x^{*}=J y, y \in E$. So, we can define the following function

$$
H(x, y)=G(x, J y)=\|x\|^{2}-2\langle x, J y\rangle+\|y\|^{2}+2 \rho f(x) .
$$

We consider the second generalized $f$-projection operator in Banach spaces. $\Pi_{C}^{f}: E \rightarrow 2^{C}$ is a generalized $f$-projection oprator if

$$
\Pi_{C}^{f} x=\left\{u \in C: G(u, J x)=\inf _{y \in C} G(y, J x), \forall x \in E\right\}
$$

If $f(y)>0$ for all $y \in C$ and $f(0)=0$, then the definition of totally quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping $T$ is equivalent to the following: If $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exist nonnegative sequences $\nu_{n}, \mu_{n}$ with $\nu_{n} \rightarrow 0, \mu_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and a strictly increasing continuous function $\varphi: \Re^{+} \rightarrow \Re^{+}$with $\varphi(0)=0$ such that:

$$
G\left(p, J T^{n} x\right) \leq G(p, J x)+\nu_{n} \varphi(G(p, J x))+\mu_{n}, \forall x \in C, p \in F(T)
$$

In 2013, S. Saewan et al. [16] introduced a new hybrid projection algorithm by the generalized $f$-projection operator for a countable family of totally quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space with the Kadec-Klee property.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right) \\
C_{n+1, i}=\left\{u \in C_{n}: G\left(u, J y_{n, i}\right) \leq G\left(u, J x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n}\right\} \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{n, i}, \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}}^{f} x_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

They proved $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ strongly converges to a point $\Pi_{\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right)}^{f} x_{1}$ under suitable conditions.

Motivated by Zegeye and Shahzad [14], Wu and Huang [13], and S. Saewan et al. [16], we introduce a new scheme for finding the common element of the zero of a inverse strongly monotone operator and the fixed point set of a totally quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, and prove the strong convergence of the scheme under suitable conditions.

## 2 Preliminary Notes

Let $E$ be a real Banach space. The modules of smoothness of $E$ is defined by the function $\rho_{E}(\tau):[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$,

$$
\rho_{E}(\tau):=\sup \left\{\frac{\|x-y\|+\|x+y\|}{2}-1:\|x\|=1,\|y\|=\tau\right\} .
$$

If $\rho_{E}(\tau)>0, \forall \tau>0, E$ is called smooth, and $E$ is said to be uniformly smooth if and only if

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\rho_{E}(t)}{t}=0 .
$$

Let $B=\{x \in E:\|x\|=1\}$ be the unit sphere of $E$. $E$ is said to be strictly convex if for any $x, y \in B, x \neq y$, implies $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|<1$. It is said to be uniformly convex if for each $\varepsilon \in(0,2$ ], there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $x, y \in B$, $\|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon$ implies $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|<1-\delta$.

It is well known that a uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive and strictly convex. The modules of convexity of $E$ is a function $\delta_{E}:(0,2] \rightarrow[0,1]$ :

$$
\delta_{E}(\varepsilon):=\inf \left\{1-\frac{\|x-y\|+\|x+y\|}{2}\|: x, y \in B,\| x-y \|=\varepsilon\right\} .
$$

We can know that $E$ is uniformly convex if and only if $\delta_{E}(\varepsilon)>0$ for all $\varepsilon \in(0,2]$. Let $p>1, E$ is said to be $p$-uniformly convex if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $\delta_{E}(\varepsilon)>c \varepsilon^{p}$ for all $\varepsilon \in(0,2]$. Every $p$-uniformly convex Banach space is a uniformly convex Banach space.

Some basic properties of $E, E^{*}, J$ and $J^{-1}$ are as follows (see [1, 2]):
(1) if $E$ is a uniformly smooth Banach space, then $J$ is uniformly norm-tonorm continuous on each bounded set of $E$;
(2) if $E$ is a reflexive, smooth and strictly convex Banach space, then the normalized duality mapping $J$ is single-valued, one-to-one and onto;
(3) if $E$ is a reflexive, smooth and strictly convex Banach space and $J$ is the duality mapping from $E$ into $E^{*}$, then $J^{-1}$ is also single-valued, bijective and is the duality mapping from $E^{*}$ into $E$ and thus $J J^{-1}=I_{E^{*}}, J^{-1} J=I_{E}$.
(4) if $E$ is a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space, then $J^{-1}$ is norm-weak*-continuous.

Recall that a Banach space $E$ has Kadec-Klee property: if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset E$ and $x \in E$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|x\|$, then $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is well known that if $E$ is a uniformly convex Banach space, then $E$ has the Kadec-Klee property.

Definition 2.1 if $E$ is a uniformly smooth Banach space, then $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on each bounded set of $E$;

Definition 2.2 if $E$ is a reflexive, smooth and strictly convex Banach space, then the normalized duality mapping $J$ is single-valued, one-to-one and onto;

Definition 2.3 if $E$ is a reflexive, smooth and strictly convex Banach space and $J$ is the duality mapping from $E$ into $E^{*}$, then $J^{-1}$ is also single-valued, bijective and is the duality mapping from $E^{*}$ into $E$ and thus $J J^{-1}=I_{E^{*}}, J^{-1} J=$ $I_{E}$.

Definition 2.4 if $E$ is a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space, then $J^{-1}$ is norm-weak*-continuous.

Recall that a Banach space $E$ has Kadec-Klee property: if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset E$ and $x \in E$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|x\|$, then $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is well known that if $E$ is a uniformly convex Banach space, then $E$ has the Kadec-Klee property.
if $E$ is a reflexive, smooth and strictly convex Banach space, then the normalized duality mapping $J$ is single-valued, one-to-one and onto;

## 3 Main Results

In the sequel, we need the following results.
Lemma 3.1 [22]Let E be a 2-uniformly convex Banach space. Then, for all $x, y \in E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-y\| \leq \frac{2}{c^{2}}\|J x-J y\|, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the normalized duality mapping of $E$ and $0<c \leq 1$.
Lemma 3.2 [6]Let E be a real reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $x \in E$, then $\forall y \in C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(y, \Pi_{C} x\right)+\phi\left(\Pi_{C} x, x\right) \leq \phi(y, x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3 [9]Let $E$ be a real smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences of $E$. If either $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ or $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $\phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Applying the definition of $\phi$ and $J$, we define the functional $V: E \times E^{*} \rightarrow \Re$ studied in[6] by

$$
V\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\|x\|^{2}-2\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}
$$

for all $x \in E$ and $x^{*} \in E^{*}$, that is $V\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\phi\left(x, J^{-1} x^{*}\right)$. We know the following result:

Lemma 3.4 Let E be a real reflexive, strictly convex, smooth Banach space with dual space $E^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x, x^{*}\right)+2\left\langle J^{-1} x^{*}-x, y^{*}\right\rangle \leq V\left(x, x^{*}+y^{*}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E$ and $x^{*}, y^{*} \in E^{*}$.
In [15], Li et al. introduced the following properties of $\Pi_{C}^{f}$ :
Lemma 3.5 Let E be a reflexive Banach smooth space and $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $E$. The following statements hold:
(1) $\Pi_{C}^{f}$ is nonempty, closed and convex subset of $C$ for all $x \in E$;
(2) for all $x \in E, \widehat{x} \in \Pi_{C}^{f} x$ if and only if

$$
\langle\widehat{x}-y, J x-J \widehat{x}\rangle+\rho f(y)-f(x) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

(3) if $E$ is strictly convex, then $\Pi_{C}^{f}$ is a single-valued mapping.

Lemma 3.6 [15] Let E be a real reflexive smooth Banach space and $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$. If $\hat{x} \in \Pi_{C}^{f} x$ for all $x \in E$, then

$$
\phi(y, \widehat{x})+G(\widehat{x}, J x) \leq G(y, J x), \forall y \in C .
$$

We also need the following Lemmas for the proof of our main results.
Lemma 3.7 [21] Let $E$ be a Banach space and $f: E \rightarrow \Re \cup\{+\infty\}$ be a lower semicontinuous convex function. Then there exists $x^{*} \in E^{*}$ and $\beta \in \Re$ such that

$$
f(x) \geq\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\beta, \forall x \in E
$$

Lemma 3.8 [24] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space $E$ with Kadec-Klee property. Let T: $C \rightarrow C$ be a closed and totally quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with $\mu_{n}$ and $\nu_{n}$ of nonnegative real numbers with $\mu_{n} \rightarrow 0, \nu_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and a strictly increasing continuous function $\psi: \Re^{+} \rightarrow \Re^{+}$with $\psi(0)=0$. If $\mu_{1}=0$, then the fixed points set $F(T)$ is a closed convex subset of $C$.

Lemma 3.9 [25] Let $E$ be a real smooth Banach space, $A: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ be a maximal monotone mapping, then $A^{-1}(0)$ is a closed and convex subset of $E$ and the graph of $A, G(A)$, is demiclosed in the following sense: $\forall\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset D(A)$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ in $E$, and $\forall y_{n} \in A x_{n}$ with $y_{n} \rightarrow y$ in $E^{*}$ implies that $x \in D(A)$ and $y \in A x$.

In order to prove our results, we make use the following function $H^{*}\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ : $E \times E^{*} \rightarrow \Re$ defined by

$$
H^{*}\left(x, x^{*}\right)=G\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\|x\|^{2}-2\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho f(x), \forall x \in E, x^{*} \in E^{*} .
$$

That is $H^{*}\left(x, x^{*}\right)=H\left(x, J^{-1} x^{*}\right)$ for all $x \in E$ and $x^{*} \in E^{*}$. Using the definition of $H^{*}\left(x, x^{*}\right)$, Using the definition of $H^{*}\left(x, x^{*}\right)$, in according to Lemma 3.4, we can have:

Lemma 3.10 Let E be a reflexive strictly convex and smooth Banach space with its dual space $E^{*}$, then

$$
H^{*}\left(x, x^{*}\right)+2\left\langle J^{-1} x^{*}-x, y^{*}\right\rangle \leq H^{*}\left(x, x^{*}+y^{*}\right)
$$

for all $x \in E$ and $x^{*}, y^{*} \in E^{*}$.

Theorem 3.11 Let $E$ be a real uniformly smooth and 2-uniformly convex Banach space with dual space $E^{*}$ and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $A: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ be an inverse strongly monotone operator with constant $\gamma$, and $T_{i}: C \rightarrow C,(i=1,2, \cdots)$ be a countable family of closed and uniformly totally quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with the sequence $\nu_{n}$ and $\mu_{n}$ of nonnegative real numbers and $\nu_{n} \rightarrow 0, \mu_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A strictly increasing continuous function $\psi: \Re^{+} \rightarrow \Re^{+}$with $\psi(0)=0$, and assume that $T_{i}$ is uniformly asymptotically regular for all $i \geq 1$ with $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and such that $\Sigma=A^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. For an initial point $x_{0} \in E$ with $x_{1}=\Pi_{C}^{f} x_{0}$ and $C_{1, i}=C$ and $C_{1}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{1, i}$, define the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\alpha_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
z_{n, i}=T_{i}^{n} y_{n} \\
C_{n+1, i}=\left\{u \in C_{n}: G\left(u, J z_{n, i}\right) \leq G\left(u, J x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n}\right\} \\
C_{n+1}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{n+1, i} \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}}^{f} x_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0<\alpha_{n} \leq b_{0}:=\frac{\gamma c^{2}}{2}$ and $\beta_{n}=\nu_{n} \sup \psi\left(G\left(p, J x_{n}\right)\right)+\mu_{n}$, J is the normalized duality mapping on $E$, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well defined for each $n \geq 1$ and converges strongly to $\Pi_{\Sigma}^{f} x_{0}$.

Proof: We first show that $C_{n+1}$ is closed and convex. From the definition, $C_{1}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{1, i}=C$ is closed and convex. Suppose that $C_{n, i}$ is closed and convex, for any $z \in C_{n, i}$, note that

$$
H\left(u, z_{n, i}\right) \leq H\left(u, x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
2\left\langle z, J x_{n}-J z_{n, i}\right\rangle \leq\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n, i}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}, \forall i \geq 1 .
$$

So, $C_{n+1, i}$ is closed and convex, hence $C_{n+1}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{n+1, i}$ is closed and convex for all $n \geq 1$.

Next we show that $\Sigma=A^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{F} \subset C_{n}$. Let $p \in \Sigma$, by $T_{i}$ is a totally quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, $A$ is a $\gamma$-inverse-strongly monotone operator, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(p, J y_{n}\right)=H\left(p, y_{n}\right) \\
= & H\left(p, J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & H^{*}\left(p, J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
\leq & H^{*}\left(p, J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}+\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-2\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-p, \lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & H^{*}\left(p, J x_{n}\right)-2\left\langle y_{n}-p, \lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & H\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-p, \lambda_{n}\left(A x_{n}-A p\right)\right\rangle-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle y_{n}-x_{n}, A x_{n}-A p\right\rangle \\
\leq & H\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-p, A x_{n}-A p\right\rangle+2 \lambda_{n}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\| \\
\leq & H\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n} \gamma\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n}\left\|J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-J^{-1} J x_{n}\right\| \\
& \times\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\| \\
\leq & H\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n} \gamma\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n}\left\|J J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-J J^{-1} J x_{n}\right\| \\
& \times\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\| \\
\leq & H\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n} \gamma\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2}+\frac{4}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & H\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n}\left(\gamma-\frac{2}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & H\left(p, x_{n}\right)=G\left(p, J x_{n}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

By the definition of totally quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping and the property of $\psi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(p, J z_{n, i}\right)=G\left(p, J T_{i}^{n} y_{n}\right) & \leq G\left(p, J y_{n}\right)+\nu_{n} \psi\left(G\left(p, J y_{n}\right)\right)+\mu_{n} \\
& \leq G\left(p, J x_{n}\right)+\nu_{n} \psi\left(G\left(p, J x_{n}\right)\right)+\mu_{n} \\
& =G\left(p, J x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

this shows that $p \in C_{n+1}$, which implies $\Sigma:=\mathcal{F} \cap A^{-1}(0) \subset C_{n+1}$, hence $\Sigma \subset C_{n}$.
step 1. $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded sequence. Since $f: E \rightarrow \Re$ is convex and lower semicontinuous function, from Lemma 3.7, there exists $x^{*} \in E^{*}$ and $\beta \in \Re$ such that $f(x) \geq\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\beta, \forall x \in E$. From $x_{n} \in E$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right) & =\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}, J x_{0}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho f\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& \geq\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}, J x_{0}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho\left\langle x_{n}, x^{*}\right\rangle+2 \rho \beta \\
& \geq\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}, J x_{0}-\rho x^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho \beta \\
& \geq\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\|x_{n}\right\|\left\|J x_{0}-\rho x^{*}\right\|+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho \beta \\
& =\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|-\left\|J x_{0}-\rho x^{*}\right\|\right)^{2}+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho \beta-\left\|J x_{0}-\rho x^{*}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $p \in \Sigma$, from $x_{n}=\Pi_{C_{n}}^{f} x_{0}$, we have

$$
\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|-\left\|J x_{0}-\rho x^{*}\right\|\right)^{2}+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho \beta-\left\|J x_{0}-\rho x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right) \leq G\left(p, J x_{0}\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|-\left\|J x_{0}-\rho x^{*}\right\|\right)^{2} & \leq G\left(p, J x_{0}\right)-\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}-2 \rho \beta+\left\|J x_{0}-\rho x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq G\left(p, J x_{0}\right)+\left\|J x_{0}-\rho x^{*}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and so are $\left\{y_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}^{i}\right\}$.
Step 2. $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ strongly converges to a point $q \in C$.
Since $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}}^{f} x_{0} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$, and $x_{n}=\Pi_{C_{n}}^{f} x_{0}$, from lemma 3.6, we have

$$
0 \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq G\left(x_{n+1}, J x_{0}\right)-G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right)
$$

So, the $\left\{G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is nondecreasing and bounded, this implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right)$ exists. We also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $E$ is reflexive Banach space, $C_{n}$ is bounded and convex subset of $E$, we can have $x_{n} \rightharpoonup q \in C_{n}$. Next we show that $x_{n} \rightarrow q$. From $x_{n}=\Pi_{C_{n}}^{f} x_{0}$ and $q \in C_{n}$, we get

$$
G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right) \leq G\left(q, J x_{0}\right)
$$

and from $f$ is convex and lowercontinuous, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right) & =\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}, J x_{0}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho f\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& \geq\|q\|^{2}-2\left\langle q, J x_{0}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho f(q) \\
& =G\left(q, J x_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
G\left(q, J x_{0}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right) \leq G\left(q, J x_{0}\right) .
$$

That is $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right)=G\left(q, J x_{0}\right)$, which implies that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|q\|$, by the virtue of the Kadec-Klee property of $E$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=q .
$$

Step 3. We show that $q \in \Sigma$.
Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, it follows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}=0$.
From $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}}^{f} x_{0} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(x_{n+1}, J z_{n, i}\right) \leq & G\left(x_{n+1}, J x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n+1}, J z_{n, i}\right\rangle+\left\|z_{n, i}\right\|+2 \rho f\left(x_{n+1}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}, J x_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{n}\right\|+2 \rho f\left(x_{n+1}\right)+\beta_{n} \\
\Leftrightarrow & \phi\left(x_{n+1}, z_{n, i}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, from (7) and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}=0$, we have $\phi\left(x_{n}, z_{n, i}\right) \rightarrow 0$, i.e.,

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-z_{n, i}\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

We also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-z_{n, i}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-z_{n, i}\right\| \rightarrow 0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $p \in \Sigma$, from (5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& H\left(p, z_{n, i}\right)=G\left(p, T_{i}^{n} y_{n}\right) \\
\leq & H\left(p, y_{n}\right)+\nu_{n} \psi\left(H\left(p, y_{n}\right)\right)+\mu_{n} \\
\leq & H\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n}\left(\gamma-\frac{2}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2}+\nu_{n} \psi\left(H\left(p, y_{n}\right)\right)+\mu_{n} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e., $0<a<\lambda_{n}<b=\frac{c^{2} \gamma}{2}$,

$$
2 \lambda_{n}\left(\gamma-\frac{2}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2} \leq H\left(p, x_{n}\right)-H\left(p, z_{n, i}\right)+\nu_{n} \psi\left(H\left(p, y_{n}\right)\right)+\mu_{n}
$$

further,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 a\left(\gamma-\frac{2}{c^{2}} b\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & H\left(p, x_{n}\right)-H\left(p, z_{n, i}\right)+\nu_{n} \psi\left(H\left(p, y_{n}\right)\right)+\mu_{n} \\
= & 2\left\langle p, J z_{n, i}-J x_{n}\right\rangle+\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n, i}\right\|^{2}\right)+\nu_{n} \psi\left(H\left(p, y_{n}\right)\right)+\mu_{n}, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

notice that $\nu_{n} \rightarrow 0, \mu_{n} \rightarrow 0,\left\|x_{n}-z_{n, i}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\{H\left(q, y_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, we have $\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\| \rightarrow 0$.

From Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left\langle y_{n}-x_{n},-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle & =2\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-J^{-1} J x_{n},-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq 2\left\|J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-J^{-1} J x_{n}\right\|\left\|\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{4}{c^{2}}\left\|J J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-J J^{-1} J x_{n}\right\|\left\|\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\frac{4}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|A x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Lemma 3.4, (11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) & =\phi\left(x_{n}, J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =V\left(x_{n}, J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq V\left(x_{n}, J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}+\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-2\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-x_{n}, \lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)+2\left\langle y_{n}-x_{n},-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq \frac{4}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|T_{i}^{n} y_{n}-q\right\|=\left\|z_{n, i}-q\right\| \leq\left\|z_{n, i}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-q\right\| \rightarrow 0 . \\
& \qquad T_{i}^{n} x_{n}-q \|
\end{align*} \quad \leq\left\|T_{i}^{n} x_{n}-T_{i}^{n} y_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{i}^{n} y_{n}-q\right\| .
$$

From the uniformly asymptotically regular of $T$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{i}^{n+1} x_{n}-q\right\| \leq\left\|T_{i}^{n+1} x_{n}-T^{n} x_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{i}^{n} x_{n}-q\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $T\left(T^{n} x_{n}\right) \rightarrow p$. From the continuity and closedness of $T$, we have $T p=p$, so, $p \in F(T)$. Since the normalized duality mapping $J$ is uniformly continuous on bounded set, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J y_{n}-J x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow$ $0,(n \rightarrow \infty)$, then $A x_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Since $A$ is Lipschitz continuous and monotone, it is maximal monotone (see, e,g., [1]), so by the Lemma 3.9, we have $q \in A^{-1} 0$.

Step 4. we show that $q=\Pi_{F}^{f} x_{0}$.
Since $F$ is closed and convex, $\Pi_{F}^{f} x_{0}$ is single-valued, which is denoted by $w$. By the definition $x_{n}=\Pi_{C_{n}}^{f} x_{0}$ and $w \in F \subset C_{n}$, we obtain

$$
G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right) \leq G\left(w, J x_{0}\right) .
$$

By the definition of $G$ and $f$, we can know that $G(\xi, J x)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous with respect to $\xi$ and so

$$
G\left(q, J x_{0}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, J x_{0}\right) \leq G\left(w, J x_{0}\right) .
$$

From the definition of $\Pi_{F}^{f} x_{0}, q \in F$, we have $w=q=\Pi_{F}^{f} x_{0}$.
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