OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Advances in Medical Ethics

Perspective

Informed Consent in the Age of Digital Health Records: Rethinking Autonomy in a Data-Driven Era

Paul Andersen*

Department of Medical Ethics and Law, Scandinavian Institute of Bioethics, Oslo, Norway

DESCRIPTION

The rapid digitization of healthcare has revolutionized how patient information is stored, accessed, and shared. Electronic Health Records (EHRs) have enhanced efficiency, reduced redundancy, and improved coordination of care. However, the shift from paper-based documentation to comprehensive digital platforms has introduced new ethical dilemmas, especially concerning the scope and substance of informed consent. As healthcare systems become increasingly data-driven, it is imperative to critically evaluate whether current informed consent practices adequately protect patient autonomy and privacy in the digital age.

The evolution of informed consent

Traditionally, informed consent has centered on patient understanding of specific medical procedures, risks, benefits, and alternatives. It is a cornerstone of medical ethics, rooted in the principles of autonomy and self-determination. In the context of digital health records, however, consent has expanded beyond clinical care to include issues of data storage, access, sharing, secondary use, and commercialization. This evolution calls for a more nuanced and dynamic framework that goes beyond a one-time signature.

Challenges in the digital context

Opacity of data use: Most patients are unaware of the full extent to which their data can be accessed and reused. EHR systems often facilitate data exchange among hospitals, insurers, researchers, and even third-party tech companies. Although such sharing can improve care and advance research, the lack of transparency about who uses the data and for what purposes undermines genuine informed consent.

Broad and blanket consent: Digital platforms frequently employ broad consent models, where patients agree to data use for future, unspecified research. While administratively convenient, these models weaken the consent process by distancing it from the specific, informed choices patients are meant to make. The trade-off between convenience and ethical rigor remains a pressing concern.

Digital divide and health literacy: Not all patients have equal access to or familiarity with digital tools. Those with limited health or digital literacy may struggle to understand complex terms of data use, further compromising the validity of their consent. Vulnerable populations such as the elderly, migrants, and individuals with disabilities are particularly at risk of being marginalized.

Consent fatigue: In the digital ecosystem, patients are often bombarded with repetitive requests for consent, whether during app use, hospital visits, or teleconsultations. This can lead to "consent fatigue," where users click through terms without reading or understanding them turning informed consent into a mere formality.

Reimagining consent in the digital age

To uphold ethical standards in a digitalized healthcare environment, informed consent must be redefined to accommodate the complexities of modern data practices. Several strategies offer promise:

Dynamic consent models: Dynamic consent platforms enable patients to manage their preferences in real time. They provide ongoing opportunities for patients to review, modify, or withdraw consent as their understanding or circumstances change. This model supports transparency, builds trust, and aligns with the fluid nature of digital data use.

Layered consent information: Offering tiered explanations where a summary is followed by more detailed options can cater to varied levels of health literacy. Patients should be able to access clear, jargon-free information tailored to their needs, helping them make informed choices without being overwhelmed.

Audit trails and data use reports: Providing patients with access to logs of who accessed their data, when, and why can enhance accountability. Empowering patients to track their data fosters trust and reinforces the idea that their information remains under their control.

Correspondence to: Paul Andersen, Department of Medical Ethics and Law, Scandinavian Institute of Bioethics, Oslo, Norway, E-mail: pandersen@sib-ethics.no

Received: 03-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. LDAME-25-37886; **Editor assigned:** 06-Mar-2025, PreQC No. LDAME-25-37886 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 20-Mar-2025, QC No. LDAME-25-37886; **Revised:** 27-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. LDAME-25-37886 (R); **Published:** 03-Apr-2025. DOI: 10.35248/2385-5495.25.11.144

Citation: Andersen P (2025). Informed Consent in the Age of Digital Health Records: Rethinking Autonomy in a Data-Driven Era. Adv Med Ethics.11:144.

Ethical use of artificial intelligence: With AI increasingly integrated into health systems, there is an urgent need to ensure patients understand when, how, and why algorithms may influence their diagnosis or treatment. Consent processes must explicitly include the role of automated decision-making and its implications.

CONCLUSION

The promise of digital health records comes with the responsibility to adapt our ethical frameworks. Informed consent, once primarily a discussion between patient and physician, must now contend with complex data flows, commercial interests, and algorithmic systems. As healthcare becomes increasingly digitized, the moral obligation to protect autonomy grows even stronger. Regulators, healthcare providers, and technologists must work together to develop consent systems that are transparent, accessible, and truly informed. Only then can we preserve the dignity and trust at the heart of the patient-caregiver relationship regardless of how advanced our technologies become.