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#### Abstract

In this paper, the global controllability of a certain class of minimum time control problems are considered in 2-Banach space. Necessary and sufficient conditions (N.A.S.C.) for global controllability of such problems are derived in 2-Banach space.


Mathematics Subject Classification: 34H05, 46N99, 49J15, 49J27, 49K27, 93B28

Keywords: Minimum time control, global controllability, 2-Banach spaces, Hahn-Banach theorem, supporting hyper-plane.

## 1 Introduction

Different types of optimization problems have been solved in normed linear spaces by many authors [[11]-[17]] during the last several decades. A minimum cost control problem was formulated and solved by Minamide and Nakamura [25] in Banach space. Burns [[11], [12]]; Choudhury and Mukherjee [[13]-[17]] developed a uniform theory of time optimal control problems for system which can be represented in terms of bounded, linear and onto transformation from a Banach space of control functions to another Banach space of control functions. Global controllability is an important concept in the field of control theory [22]. Mukherjee [27] solved the global controllability of a class of minimum time control problems in Banach space. Recently, important results of functional analysis in 2-Banach space were developed by different authors [[1], [18]-[21], [23], [24], [26], [30]]. They have developed a uniform theory in 2 -Banach space. The concept of linear 2 -normed spaces has been first introduced by Gähler [20] as an extension of the usual norm and as an interesting non-linear generalization of normed linear space which has been flourished extensively in different directions. He proved that if the space is a normed linear space of dimension greater than one, then it is possible to define a 2 -norm on it. But, the converse is not true [19] i.e., every 2 -normed linear space in not necessarily normable [[28], [29]]. In [5] authors have developed a certain class of minimum time optimal control problem in 2-Banach space. Here we define the global controllability of a certain class of generalized minimum time control problems in arbitrary 2-Banach space. We demonstrate how the solution of the original problem is obtained from that of the auxiliary problem of minimization of 2-norm for a terminal time given in advance, which is solved by generalized functional analytic techniques. More precisely we consider the following problem as follows:

Let $B_{t}$ and $D$ be 2-Banach spaces. Let $T_{t}: B_{t} \rightarrow D$ be a bounded linear transformation depending upon the parameter $t$. Let $U_{e}(y ; t)=\left\{x \in B_{t}\right.$ : $\left.N_{1}(x-y, e) \leq t\right\}$ for some non zero $y, e \in B_{t}$ be a ball in $B_{t}$ and let $\xi \in D$. The problem is to determine $u \in U_{e}(y ; t)$ such that $T_{t} u=\xi$ and $t$ is minimum. Here $B_{t}$ is an increasing function of $t$ in the sense that $B_{t_{1}} \subset B_{t_{2}}$, whenever $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$. Also $T_{t_{1}}: B_{t_{1}} \rightarrow D$ can be regarded as the restriction of $T_{t_{2}}: B_{t_{2}} \rightarrow D$. It is not tough to show that under the above condition $U_{e}\left(y ; t_{1}\right) \subset U_{e}\left(y ; t_{2}\right)$.

## 2 Technical Preliminaries

Throughout this article we consider, without any loss of generality, real 2Banach space of any dimension. We present here some of the definitions and useful results for the organization of the paper.

Definition 2.1. Let $X$ be a real vector space of dimension $d, d \geq 2$. $A$ 2 -norm on $X$ is a function $N(.,):. X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the following conditions:

1. $N(x, y)=0$ iff $x$ and $y$ are linearly dependent (L.D.),
2. $N(x, y)=N(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in X$,
3. $N(\alpha x, y)=|\alpha| N(x, y), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x, y \in X$,
4. $N(x, y+z) \leq N(x, y)+N(x, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

The pair $(X, N(.,)$.$) is then called a linear 2-normed space.$
We observe that $N(.,$.$) is non-negative. A 2-normed space (X, N(.,)$. is called a 2-Banach space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent. Also if $X$ and $Y$ are 2-Banach spaces over the field of real numbers, it can be easily verified that $X \times Y$ is also 2-Banach space with respect to the 2-norm $N_{3}(.,$.$) where N_{3}\left(\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right),\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right)=\min \left\{N_{1}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right), N_{2}\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right)\right\}$, i.e. $N_{3}(.,)=$. $\min \left\{N_{1}(.,),. N_{2}(.,).\right\}, N_{1}(.,$.$) and N_{2}(.,$.$) are 2-norm functions defined on X$ and $Y$ respectively and $N_{3}\left(\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right),\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right)=0$ if either $x_{i}, x_{j}$ are L.D. in $X$ or $y_{i}, y_{j}$ are L.D. in $Y$. Let $N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}^{\prime}, N_{3}^{\prime}$ are then 2-norm functions defined on the spaces $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime},(X \times Y)^{\prime}$ respectively, where $N_{3}^{\prime}(.,)=.\min \left\{N_{1}^{\prime}(.,),. N_{2}^{\prime}(.,).\right\}$; $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime},(X \times Y)^{\prime}$ denote the conjugate of $X, Y,(X \times Y)$ respectively.

Example 2.2. Consider $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, N(.,).\right)$ with 2 -norm defined by $N(a, b)=$ $\left|a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right|$ where $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and we call this 2norm is as standard 2 -norm on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Geometrically this represents the area of the parallelogram determined by the vectors $a$ and $b$ as the adjacent sides. For $X=\mathbb{R}^{3}$. If we take
$N_{1}(x, y)=\max \left\{\left|x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{1} y_{3}-x_{3} y_{1}\right|,\left|x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2} y_{3}-x_{3} y_{2}\right|\right\}$, where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then $N_{1}(.,$.$) is a 2-norm on \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Remark 2.3. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space of dimension $>1$, then we can always define a 2-norm $N(.,$.$) on it. For x, y \in X$,

$$
N(x, y)=\sup _{f, g \in X^{*},\|f\|=\|g\|=1}|f(x) g(y)-g(x) f(y)| .
$$

On the other hand, there are examples of 2-normed linear spaces $X$, where we can not define any induced norm [20, 29]. Evidently, 2-normed linear space can be considered as a non linear generalization of normed linear space. But it should be noted that given a 2-norm $N(.,$.$) on a finite dimensional 2-normed$
linear space $X$, the 2-norm induces a derived norm $N_{\infty}($.$) on X$ as follows: Let $\mathbb{B}=\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\}$ be a basis for $X$. Then for $x \in X$,

$$
N_{\infty}(x):=\max \left\{N\left(x, \beta_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, d\right\} .
$$

For finite dimensional 2-normed linear space, all these norms are equivalents. Also for infinite dimensional 2-normed linear space; it may be true, provided the space is separable inner product space [21].

Example 2.4. We construct an example of a 2-normed linear space $(\mathbb{R}, N(.,)$. where

$$
N(a, b)=\frac{1}{2} \sup _{f, g \in F_{\mathbb{R}}} a b s\left(\left|\begin{array}{cc}
f(a) & g(a) \\
f(b) & g(b)
\end{array}\right|\right)
$$

and $F_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the set of all bounded functionals on domain $\mathbb{R}$ and with the norm less or equal to 1. It is to be noted that here we take $\mathbb{R}$ as a Banach space over the field of rationals $\mathbb{Q}$. It is not tough to prove that $(\mathbb{R}, N(.,)$.$) is a 2-Banach$ space with the 2-norm $N(.,$.$) .$

Example 2.5. The $n$-dimensional Euclidean 2-norm $N(.,$.$) defined on \mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq$ 2) is of the form

$$
N(a, b)=\sqrt{\sum_{i<j}\left(\alpha_{i} \beta_{j}-\alpha_{j} \beta_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

for $a=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ and $b=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Definition 2.6. $A$ 2-functional is a real valued mapping with domain $A \times B$, where $A$ and $B$ are linear manifolds of a 2-normed linear space $X$. Let $f$ : $A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a 2-functional on a 2-normed linear space $X$ then $f$ is called a linear 2 -functional if
(i) $f(a+b, c+d)=f(a, b)+f(a, d)+f(c, b)+f(c, d)$
(ii) $f(\alpha a, \beta b)=\alpha \beta f(a, b)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

For other interesting examples one is referred to [[2]-[10]]. We refer [5] for necessary definitions and proofs of the following Theorems and Corollaries.

Definition 2.7. The set of all points $\xi \in D$ such that $T_{t} u=\xi$ for some $u \in U_{e}(y ; t)$ and for some non zero $y, e \in B_{t}$ is called the reachable set with respect to $T_{t}$ and is denoted by $C(t)$.

Theorem 2.8. The reachable region $C(t)$ is bounded and a convex body, symmetrical with respect to the origin of $D$.

Corollary 2.9. The reachable region $C(t)$ is closed when $B_{t}$ is either a reflexive space or it can be considered as a conjugate of some other 2-Banach space.

Theorem 2.10. An admissible control which will be optimal must satisfy $\left\{N_{1}\left(u, u_{1}\right): u \in U_{e}(y ; t)\right\}=1$ for some $u_{1} \in U_{t}$.

Theorem 2.11. Let $\xi \in \delta C(t)$ and $\phi \in D^{*}$ denotes a supporting hyperplane to $C(t)$ at $\xi$. Then $\langle\xi, \phi\rangle=\left\{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right): T_{t}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}\right\}$, where $D^{*}$ is the conjugate space to $D$ and $T^{*}$ is the transformation adjoint to $T$.

Theorem 2.12. Let $\xi \in \delta C(t)$ where $t$ is the given terminal time and $\phi \in D^{*}$ denotes a supporting hyper-plane at $\xi$. Let $u_{\phi}$ be the optimal control to reach at $\xi$ in the above sense. Then $u_{\phi}$ maximizes $\left\langle u, T_{t}^{*} \phi\right\rangle$ where $T_{t}^{*}$ and $D^{*}$ denote the adjoint transformation and adjoint space to $T_{t}$ and $D$ respectively and

$$
\left\langle u_{\phi}, T_{t}^{*} \phi\right\rangle=\min _{\left\{N_{1}\left(u, u_{1}\right): u \in U_{e}(y ; t)\right\}=1}\left\langle u, T_{t}^{*} \phi\right\rangle=\left\{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right): T_{t}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}\right\}
$$

for some $u_{1} \in U_{e}(y ; t)$ and $\left\{N_{1}\left(u_{\phi}, v_{\phi}\right): u_{\phi}, v_{\phi} \in U_{e}(y ; t)\right\}=1$.
Theorem 2.13. Let $K$ be a weakly compact, convex set in a 2-Banach space $D$ and let $\phi$ be any element $\in D^{*}$, the conjugate space of $D$. Then there exists a point $\eta_{0} \in K$, such that $\phi$ denotes a supporting hyper-plane to $K$ at $\eta_{0} \in \delta K$.

## 3 Main Results

In this section we study the existence of the optimal control of the following problem in an arbitrary 2-Banach space.
Auxiliary Problem: Let $\xi \in \delta C(t)$ where $\delta C(t)$ denotes the boundary of the reachable region $C(t)$ for some given time $t$. Then we have to determine $u \in$ $U_{e}(y ; t)$ such that $T_{t} u=\xi$ and $\left\{N_{1}(u, y): u \in U_{e}(y ; t)\right\}$ for some $y \in U_{e}(y ; t)$ is minimum. We call this as minimum 2-norm problem. The corresponding control is regarded as the optimal control.

Now we find the form of the optimal control and also the shape of the reachable region $C(t)$ with respect to the minimum time $t$.

Theorem 3.1. If $\langle\xi, \phi\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right)$ where $T_{t}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$, for some $\xi \in C(t)$ and some $\phi \in D^{*}$, then $\xi \in \delta C(t)$ and $\phi$ denotes a supporting hyper-plane to $C(t)$ at $\xi$, where $B_{t}$ is either reflexive 2-Banach space or it can be considered as the conjugate of some other 2-Banach space.

Proof. By the hypothesis made on $B_{t}$, we can say $C(t)$ is weakly compact. Also $C(t)$ is convex. Since $\phi \in D^{*}$ hence by Theorem 2.13, we can show that there exists a point $\eta \in \delta C(t)$ such that $\phi$ denotes a supporting hyperplane to $C(t)$ at $\eta$. Consequently by Theorem 2.11, $\langle\eta, \phi\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right)$ where $T_{t}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$. But by hypothesis $\langle\xi, \phi\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right)$ where $T_{t}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$ for
some $\xi \in C(t)$ and some $\phi \in D^{*}$. If $\xi \notin \delta C(t)$, then $\langle\xi, \phi\rangle<\langle\eta, \phi\rangle$. Therefore, $\langle\xi, \phi\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right)$ where $T_{t}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$ which contradicts the hypothesis. Hence $\xi$ must be in $\delta C(t)$. Also since $\left\langle\eta^{\prime}, \phi\right\rangle \leqslant\langle\eta, \phi\rangle=\langle\xi, \phi\rangle$, for $\eta^{\prime} \in C(t)$. Consequently, $\phi$ defines a supporting hyper-plane at $\xi$.

Theorem 3.2. The N.A.S.C. for the point $\xi \in C(t)$ to be in $\delta C(t)$ at the time $t=t_{f}$ is that

$$
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right)}=1
$$

where $T_{t}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$ and $B_{t}$ is either reflexive 2-Banach space or it can be considered as the conjugate of some other 2-Banach space.

Proof. Sufficiency: Suppose

$$
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right)}=1,
$$

Let the maximum be attained for some $\phi=\phi_{\xi} \in D^{*}$. Then $\left\langle\xi, \phi_{\xi}\right\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{f}}^{*} \phi_{\xi}, f\right)$ where $T_{t_{f}}^{*} \phi_{\xi}, f \in B_{t}^{*}$ for some $\xi \in C(t)$. Consequently, by Theorem 3.1, $\xi \in \delta C\left(t_{f}\right)$ and $\phi_{\xi}$ denotes a supporting hyper-plane to $C\left(t_{f}\right)$ at $\xi$.
Necessity: Let $\xi \in \delta C\left(t_{f}\right)$. Then by the Theorem 2.11, $\left\langle\xi, \phi_{\xi}\right\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{f}}^{*} \phi_{\xi}, f\right)$ where $T_{t_{f}}^{*} \phi_{\xi}, f \in B_{t}^{*}$ where $\phi_{\xi}$ is a supporting hyper-plane to $C\left(t_{f}\right)$ at $\xi$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right)}=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we have to show that (1) gives the maximum value of the left hand side for all $\phi \in D^{*}$. Let $\psi \in D^{*}$ be any other 2-functional. If $\psi$ is a supporting hyper-plane to $C\left(t_{f}\right)$ at $\xi$, then (1) holds. So, let us assume that $\psi \in D^{*}$ is not a supporting hyper-plane to $C\left(t_{f}\right)$ at $\xi$. Now by Theorem 2.8 and its Corollary 2.9 it can be shown that $C\left(t_{f}\right)$ is convex, weakly compact, closed and bounded set. Consequently, by Theorem 2.13, corresponding to $\psi \in D^{*}$ there exists a $\eta_{0} \in C\left(t_{f}\right) \cap \delta C\left(t_{f}\right)$ such that $\psi$ is a supporting hyper-plane to $\eta_{0}$. Hence we have $\langle\xi, \psi\rangle \leq\left\langle\eta_{0}, \psi\right\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{f}}^{*} \psi, f\right)$ where $T_{t_{f}}^{*} \psi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$. Therefore $\frac{\langle\xi, \psi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{f}}^{*} \psi, f\right)} \leq 1$.
This proves that $\max _{\psi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{f}}^{*} \psi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \psi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{f}}^{*} \psi, f\right)}=1$.
The proof of the Theorems 3.3, 3.5 and Corollary 3.4 can be found in [5].
Theorem 3.3. Let $\xi \in C\left(t_{f}\right) \cap \delta C\left(t_{f}\right)$ where $C\left(t_{f}\right)$ is the reachable region.
Then $\max _{\psi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \psi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \psi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \psi, f\right)}$ is $\leq 1$ or $\geq 1$ according as $t \geq t_{f}$ or
$t \leq t_{f}$ where $T_{t}^{*} \psi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$. Moreover the max is attained at a point $\psi \in D^{*}$, where $\psi$ is the supporting hyper-plane to $\delta C(t)$ at the intersection with the ray through $\xi$.

To prove this we require the following Corollary.
Corollary 3.4. Let $\xi \in C\left(t_{f}\right), \eta=l \xi \in \delta C(t)$ and $\psi \in D^{*}$ define the supporting hyper-plane at $\eta$, then $\langle\xi, \psi\rangle>0$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $t_{1}<t_{2}$ and $T_{t_{1}}: B_{t_{1}} \rightarrow D, T_{t_{2}}: B_{t_{2}} \rightarrow D$ be bounded linear onto transformations. Then $C\left(t_{1}\right) \subseteq C\left(t_{2}\right)$ and $\delta C\left(t_{1}\right) \cap \delta C\left(t_{2}\right)=\Phi$ iff $N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi, f_{2}\right)>N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f_{1}\right)$ where $T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi, f_{1}, f_{2} \in B_{t}^{*}$, for some $\phi \in D^{*}$ and $\Phi$ denotes the null set.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\xi \in C\left(t_{f}\right) \cap \delta C\left(t_{f}\right)$ and $t \geq t_{f}$.
Then $\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right)}$ is a non-increasing function of $t, t \geq$ $t_{f}$ where $T_{t}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$, and for some $\phi \in D^{*}$.

Proof. Let $t_{f}<t_{1}<t_{2}$. Then from Theorem 3.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f_{1}\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f_{1}\right)}=\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi_{1}, f_{1}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f_{1} \in B_{t}^{*}$ and for some $\phi_{1} \in D^{*}, T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi \in B_{t}^{*}$ where $\phi_{1} \in D^{*}$, denotes a supporting hyper-plane to the point of intersection of the ray through $\xi$ with $\delta C\left(t_{1}\right)$. Denote this point by $\xi_{1}=l_{1} \xi$ for some $l_{1}>1$. Let $u_{t_{1}} \in U_{e}\left(y ; t_{1}\right)$ be the optimal control to reach $\xi_{1}=T_{t_{1}} u_{t_{1}}$ where $U_{e}\left(y ; t_{1}\right)$ is a ball in $B_{t_{1}}$. Since $T_{t_{1}}$ is the restriction of $T_{t_{2}}$ on $U_{e}\left(y ; t_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=T_{t_{1}} u_{t_{1}}=T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{1}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.11, we also have, $\left\langle\xi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ where $T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi_{1}, f_{1} \in B_{t}^{*}$.
Thus from (2) we get, for $T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi_{1}, f_{1} \in B_{t}^{*}$, and for some $\phi_{1} \in D^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f_{1}\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f_{1}\right)}=\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi_{1}, f_{1}\right)}=\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\xi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}=\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle T_{t_{1}} u_{t_{1}}, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}=\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{1}}, \phi_{1}\right\rangle} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, let

$$
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi, f_{2}\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi, f_{2}\right)}=\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{2}\right\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi_{2}, f_{2}\right)}
$$

where $T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi, T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi_{2}, f_{2} \in B_{t}^{*}$ and some $\phi_{2} \in D^{*}$, defines a supporting hyper-plane to $\xi_{2}=l_{2} \xi_{1}$ for some $l_{2} \geq l_{1}$ and $\xi_{2} \in \delta C\left(t_{2}\right)$.
Then we obtain similarity as before

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi, f_{2}\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi, f_{2}\right)}=\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{2}\right\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi_{2}, f_{2}\right)}=\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{2}}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{t_{2}} \in U_{t_{2}}(y) \subset B_{t_{2}}$ is the optimal control to reach at $\xi_{2}$.
Now, $\xi_{1} \in C\left(t_{1}\right)=T_{t_{1}} U_{t_{1}}(y)=T_{t_{2}} U_{t_{1}}(y) \subset T_{t_{2}} U_{t_{2}}(y)=C\left(t_{2}\right)$. Since $\phi_{2}$ is a supporting hyper-plane to $C\left(t_{2}\right)$ at $\xi_{2}$, therefore we have $\left\langle\xi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle\xi_{2}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{2}}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle$. Thus by (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{1}}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{2}}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{1}}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\xi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle=l_{1}\left\langle\xi, \phi_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{l_{2}}\left\langle\xi_{2}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle>0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\theta \in \operatorname{Int} C\left(t_{2}\right)$.
This also follows from the fact that $\left\langle\xi_{2}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi_{2}, f_{2}\right)$ from Theorem 2.11, where $T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi_{2}, f_{2} \in B_{t}^{*}$ and for some $\xi_{2} \in \delta C\left(t_{2}\right)$ and for some $\phi_{2} \in D^{*}$. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\xi, \phi_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{l_{1} l_{2}}\left\langle\xi_{2}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle>0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (6),(7), (8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{1}}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle} \geq \frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{2}}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since max is attained at $\phi_{1}$, then from (4) and (9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f_{1}\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f_{1}\right)}=\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{1}}, \phi_{1}\right\rangle} \geq \frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{t_{1}}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f_{1} \in B_{t}^{*}$ and for some $\xi \in \delta C(t)$ and for some $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in D^{*}$.
Now using (9) and (10), we have

$$
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f\right)} \geq \frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle T_{t_{2}} \psi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle} \geq \frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle T_{t_{2}} u_{2}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle}=
$$

$\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{2}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}$. This proves the theorem.
Theorem 3.7. [5] Let $X$ be a 2-normed linear space and $X^{*}$ be its conjugate. Then $\exists$ a real bounded 2-linear functional $F \in X^{*}$, defined on $X$, such that $F\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=N_{1}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ where $x_{i}, x_{j} \in X$ and $\sup _{x_{i}, x_{j} \text { are not } L . D .} \frac{\left|F\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\right|}{N_{1}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)}=1$. Such $F$ will be called an extremal of $x$.

Corollary 3.8. $\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right)}$ is a non-increasing function of $t$, for $t \geq 0$. where $T_{t}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$, and for some $\phi \in D^{*}$.

## 4 Global Controllability

We consider the question of global controllability of the system. In this section we study the necessary and sufficient conditions for global controllability of a system defined in our previous paper [5]. We like to investigate the possibility of reaching any point $\eta \in D$ by applying a control $u \in U_{e}(y ; t)$ where $U_{e}(y ; t)$ is a ball in $B_{t}$, such that $t$ is the minimum time taken. To resolve this question, let us first consider the reachable region $C(t)$ by applying all $u \in U_{e}(y ; t) \subset B_{t}$ i.e. $T_{t} U_{e}(y ; t)=C(t)$, where $T_{t}$ is a linear bounded onto transformation from $B_{t}$ onto $D$. Now, let $\eta \notin C(t)$ and let $\xi \in \delta C(t)$ be on the ray through $\eta$ i.e. $\xi=l \eta$ where $0<l<1$ and $t^{*}$ be the minimum time to reach $\xi$. Hence by Theorem 3.2, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*} *}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{t^{*}}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$, and for some $\phi \in D^{*}$ for some $\xi \in \delta C(t) \cap \delta C\left(t^{*}\right)$. Suppose maximum is attained at $\phi=\phi_{1}$. Then $\left\langle\xi, \phi_{1}\right\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \phi_{1}, f\right)>0$, by Corollary 3.4. Now, $\langle\xi, \phi\rangle$ is a continuous function of $\phi$, and since $\left\langle\xi, \phi_{1}\right\rangle>0$ there exist a neighborhood of $\phi_{1}$, such that $\langle\xi, \phi\rangle>0$ for all $\phi$ in the neighborhood of $\phi_{1}$. Put $\langle\xi, \phi\rangle=k_{\phi}>0$ in this neighborhood. Thus $\left\langle\xi, \frac{\phi}{k_{\phi}}\right\rangle=1$. Put $\psi=\frac{\phi}{k_{\phi}}$ in (11). Then from (11) we have

$$
\frac{1}{\min _{\psi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \psi, f\right) \neq 0} N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \psi, f\right)}=1
$$

under the constraint $\langle\xi, \psi\rangle=1$. Then the minimum root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\psi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*} *}^{*} \psi, f\right) \neq 0} N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \psi, f\right)=1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\xi, \psi\rangle=1$ will give the minimum time to reach at $\xi$. Now, $\xi \in \delta C\left(t^{*}\right)$. Here $t^{*}$ is taken as the minimum root of (12). Obviously $t^{*}$ is the minimum time to reach at $\xi$. Let $u_{t^{*}} \in U_{e}\left(y ; t^{*}\right) \subset B_{t^{*}}$ be the optimal control to reach at $\xi \in \delta C\left(t^{*}\right)$. Thus $\xi=T_{t^{*}} u_{t^{*}}$. Hence $l \eta=T_{t^{*}} u_{t^{*}}$.

So in order to reach $\eta$ in the time $t^{*}$ we shall have to apply the control $\frac{u_{t} *}{l}=$ $v_{t^{*}}$ where $N_{1}\left(v_{t^{*}}, v_{t_{1}^{*}}\right)=\frac{1}{l}>1$ where $v_{t^{*}}, v_{t_{1}^{*}} \in B_{t^{*}}$. Obviously $v_{t^{*}} \notin U_{e}\left(y ; t^{*}\right)$. Now let $t^{* *}$ be the minimum time to reach $\eta$ by applying an admissible control, if such a control exists. Then $t^{* *}$ will be greater than $t^{*}$, as found above. For if possible, let $t^{* *} \leq t^{*}$. Obviously $t^{*} \neq t^{* *}$ as in that case $\eta \in \delta C\left(t^{*}\right)$, which is not true. So, let $t^{* *}<t^{*}$. Then by Theorem 3.3, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}>1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}, \xi \in \delta C\left(t^{*}\right)$.
But by Theorem 3.2, $\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}=\frac{\left\langle\xi, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi_{1}, f\right)}=$

$$
\frac{\left\langle l \eta, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi_{1}, f\right)}=\frac{l\left\langle\eta, \phi_{1}\right\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*} *}^{*} \phi_{1}, f\right)}=l<1
$$

which contradicts (13). Consequently, $t^{* *} \not \leq t^{*}$ and our assertion that $t^{* *}>t^{*}$ is correct. Hence we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $T_{t} U_{e}(y ; t)=C(t)$ for any given $t$, and let $\eta \notin C(t)$. Let $\xi \in \delta C\left(t^{*}\right)$ be the point on the ray through $\eta$ and $t^{*}$ be the minimum time to reach at $\xi$. If there exists an optimal control $u_{t} \in U_{e}(y ; t)$ to reach $\eta$ in minimum time $t^{* *}$, then $t^{* *}>t^{*}$.

Proof. Again by applying Theorem 3.3, we have for $t=t^{*}$,

$$
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*} \phi}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}=1,
$$

where $T_{t^{*}}^{*} \phi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$, for some $\xi \in \delta C\left(t^{*}\right)$ and for some $\phi \in D^{*}$,
i.e. $\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle l \eta, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}=\frac{1}{l}>1$, where $0<l<1$.

Evidently, $\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\eta, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}$ is also a non-increasing function of $t$. Now if there exists a time $t=t^{\prime}$, such that $\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{\prime}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\eta, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{\prime}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}<$ 1 and also if $\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{\prime}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\eta, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{\prime}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}$ is a continuous function of $t$, then by the intermediate value property we can assert that there exists a time $t=t^{* *}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\eta, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}=1, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\eta \in C\left(t^{* *}\right)$. For if, $\eta \notin C\left(t^{* *}\right)$, let $\eta^{\prime} \in \delta C\left(t^{* *}\right)$ be the point on the ray through $\eta$ so that $\eta^{\prime}=l \eta$ for some $l<1$. Hence from (14), we get $\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{*}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\left\langle\eta^{\prime}, \phi\right\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}=l<1$,
which contradicts

$$
\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\left\langle\eta^{\prime}, \phi\right\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}=1 \text { (Theorem 3.2). }
$$

Hence again from Theorem $3.1 \eta \in \delta C\left(t^{* *}\right)$, and the maximum in (14) will be attained at $\psi$ which defines the supporting hyper-plane to $C\left(t^{* *}\right)$ at $\eta$.
Therefore we have $\langle\eta, \psi\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \psi, f\right)$ where $T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \psi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$, for some $\eta \in$ $\delta C\left(t^{* *}\right)$ and for some $\psi \in D^{*}$. Since $\eta \in \delta C\left(t^{* *}\right)$ there exists a $u_{\eta} \in U_{e}\left(y ; t^{* *}\right)$ such that $\eta=T_{t^{* *}} u_{\eta}$. So $\left\langle T_{t^{*}} u_{\eta}, \psi\right\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \psi, f\right)$, or $\left\langle u_{\eta}, T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \psi\right\rangle=N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \psi, f\right)$.

Hence by Hahn Banach Theorem 3.7, $u_{\eta}$ can be chosen to be $\overline{T_{t^{* *}}^{*} \psi}$ with

$$
N_{1}^{\prime}\left(\overline{T_{t^{* *}}^{*}}, f\right)=1 \text {, where } \overline{T_{t^{*} *}^{*} \psi}, f \in B_{t}^{*}
$$

Similarly, it can be verified for $\eta \in \operatorname{Int} C(t)$.

Theorem 4.2. The sufficient conditions for the existence of minimum time control for $\eta$ as in Theorem 4.1 are that
(a) there exists a time $t_{1}$, such that $\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}^{*}}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\eta, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t_{1}}^{*} \phi, f\right)}<1$ and
(b) $\max _{\phi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\eta, \phi\rangle}{N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \phi, f\right)}$ is a continuous function oft, where $T_{t}^{*} \phi, f \in$ $B_{t}^{*}$.

Theorem 4.3. Necessary condition for existence of admissible optimal control is that $\min _{\psi \in D^{*} \text { such that } N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \psi, f\right) \neq 0} N_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{t}^{*} \psi, f\right)=1$ where $T_{t}^{*} \psi, f \in B_{t}^{*}$ under the constraint $\langle\eta, \psi\rangle=1$ will have atleast one positive root.

Proof. See Theorem 4.1.
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