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#### Abstract

M.Barros gave the definition of general helices in space form in his paper [4]. In this paper, some characterizations for general helices in space forms are given. Moreover, we show that curvatures of a general helix which it has Bertrand couple holds the equation $\lambda \kappa+\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{c}}=1$.
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## 1. Introduction

Helices in $E^{3}$ are curves whose tangents make a constant angle with a fixed straight line. In 1802, Lancret proved that the necessary and sufficient condition
for a curve to be a helix is that the ratio of its curvature be constant. M.Barros, in the studies, showed that their exists a relation such as $\tau=b \kappa+a$ for a general helices in 3-dimensional real space-form, where $a$ and $b$ are constant.
Now, let us consider the curve

$$
\gamma: I \subset R \rightarrow M,
$$

where $M$ is a 3-dimensional real space-form with sectional curvature $c$. Let us the denote the tangent vector field of $\gamma$ as $\gamma^{\prime}(t)=V(t)$, unit tangent vector field as $T=T(t)$ and the velocity as $v(t)=\|V(t)\|=\langle V(t), V(t)\rangle^{1 / 2}$. Then, the Frenet-Serret formula of $\gamma$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{T} T=\kappa N \\
\nabla_{T} N=-\kappa T+\tau B \\
\nabla_{T} B=-\tau N
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\nabla$ is Levi-Civita connection in $M, \kappa>0$ and $\tau$ are the curvature and torsion of the curve, respectively.

The variation of $\gamma$ in $M$ is the find as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\Gamma(t, z): I \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M, \Gamma(t, 0)=\gamma(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the vector field $\left.\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=Z(t)$ is a variation vector field. From now on through out the paper and will use the notations $v=v(t, z), T=T(t, z), V=V(t, z)$, where $t$ is arbitrary and $s$ is the arc parameter of $\gamma$.
If $\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=\left.\frac{\partial \kappa^{2}}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=\left.\frac{\partial \tau^{2}}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=0$, then the vector field $Z(s)$ along $\gamma(s)$ is called Killing vector field. Note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=<\nabla_{T} Z, T>v  \tag{2}\\
\left.\frac{\partial \kappa^{2}}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=2 \kappa<\nabla_{T}^{2} Z, N>-4 \kappa^{2}<\nabla_{T} Z, T>+2 c \kappa<Z, N>  \tag{3}\\
\left.\frac{\partial \tau^{2}}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=\frac{2 \tau}{\kappa}<\nabla_{T}^{3} Z, B>-\frac{2 \kappa^{\prime} \tau}{\kappa^{2}}<\nabla_{T}^{2} Z+c Z, B> \\
+\frac{2 \tau\left(\mathrm{c}+\kappa^{2}\right)}{\kappa}<\nabla_{T} Z, B>-2 \tau^{2}\left\langle\nabla_{T} Z, T>\right. \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the angle between the Killing vector field $Z$ which as the find along the curve $\gamma$ and the tangent of the curve is nonzero constant of each point then the curve $\gamma$ is called a general helix [4]. Also in [1], it was defined a new type of curves called LC helix when the angle between tangent of this curve and LC parallel vector field in space form is constant.

## 2. General helix in space form

Theorem 2.1 Let 3-dimensional manifold $M$ be a space form with a constant sectional curvature $c$ and $\gamma=\gamma(s): I \rightarrow M$ be a general helix given by an arc parameter. Then we have $\tau=b \kappa+a$, where $\kappa$ and $\tau$ are curvature and torsion of the curve, respectively, and $a$ and $b$ are constants with the condition $a^{2}=c$ [4].

Lemma 2.1 Let $\gamma$ be a regular curve in 3-dimensional Riemannian space. Then, $\gamma$ satisfies the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{T}^{3} T-\left(2 \frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}+\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{\tau}\right) \nabla_{T}^{2} T+\left[-\frac{\kappa^{\prime \prime}}{\kappa}+\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa} \frac{\tau^{\prime}}{\tau}+2\left(\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right] \nabla_{T} T+\kappa \tau\left(\frac{\kappa}{\tau}\right)^{\prime} T=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[3].
Theorem 2.2 Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional real space-form, and $\gamma$ be a regular curve on $M$. In this case, $\gamma$ is a general helix if and only if the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{T}^{3} T+\omega_{1} \nabla_{T}^{2} T+\omega_{2} \nabla_{T} T+\omega_{3} T=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Holds
for
$\gamma$
where $\quad \omega_{1}=-\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}\left(\frac{3 b \kappa+2 a}{b \kappa+a}\right), \omega_{2}=\left[-\frac{\kappa^{\prime \prime}}{\kappa}+\left(\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{3 b \kappa+2 a}{b \kappa+a}\right]+\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right]$
and $\omega_{3}=\frac{a \kappa \kappa^{\prime}}{b \kappa+a}$.

Proof Let $\gamma$ be a general helix. Since $\gamma$ is a regular curve, it satisfies the equation in (5). Moreover, since $\gamma$ is a general helix, then we have $\tau=b \kappa+a$. Since

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(2 \frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}+\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{\tau}\right)=-\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}\left(\frac{3 b \kappa+2 a}{b \kappa+a}\right),  \tag{7}\\
-2 \frac{\kappa^{\prime \prime}}{\kappa}+\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa} \frac{\tau^{\prime}}{\tau}+2\left(\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}=-\frac{\kappa^{\prime \prime}}{\kappa}+\left[\frac{3 b \kappa+2 a}{b \kappa+a}\right]+\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2},  \tag{8}\\
\kappa \tau\left(\frac{\kappa}{\tau}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{a \kappa \kappa^{\prime}}{b \kappa+a}, \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then setting these equalities in (5), we obtain equation (6).
Assume that the regular curve $\gamma$ satisfies the equality in (6). Since $\gamma$ is a regular curve, it also satisfies the equality in (5). Substracting (6) from (5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \nabla_{T}^{2} T+Y \nabla_{T} T+Z T=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
X=\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}\left(\frac{3 b \kappa+2 a}{b \kappa+a}\right)-\left(2 \frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}+\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{\tau}\right),  \tag{11}\\
Y=\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa} \frac{\tau^{\prime}}{\tau}+2\left(\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}\right)^{2}-\left[\frac{3 b \kappa+2 a}{b \kappa+a}\right],  \tag{12}\\
Z=\kappa \tau\left(\frac{\kappa}{\tau}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{a \kappa \kappa^{\prime}}{b \kappa+a} . \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Setting the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{T} T=\kappa N,  \tag{14}\\
\nabla_{T}^{2} T=\kappa^{2} T+\kappa^{\prime} N+\kappa \tau B, \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

In (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
X\left(-\kappa^{2} T+\kappa^{\prime} N+\kappa \tau B\right)+Y \kappa N+Z T=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Z-\kappa^{2} X\right) T+\left(\kappa^{\prime} X+Y \kappa\right) N+X \kappa \tau B=0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\{T, N, B\}$ are linearly independent, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Z-\kappa^{2} X=0, \\
\kappa^{\prime} X+Y \kappa=0, \\
X \kappa \tau=0 . \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{\tau}=\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}-\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}\left(\frac{a}{b \kappa+a}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solution of this equation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=b \kappa+a . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the regular curve $\gamma$ is a helix.
Theorem 2.3 Let $M$ be a Riemannian space form, $\gamma$ be a regular curve on $M$. If $\gamma$ is a cyclic helix (i.e. $\kappa=$ constant, $\tau=$ constant ) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{T}^{3} T+\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right) \nabla_{T} T=0, \kappa=\text { constant }, \tau=\text { constant. } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof The proof is straight forward from Theorem 2.2.
A curve $\gamma: I \subset R \rightarrow M$ with $\kappa \neq 0$ is called a Bertrand curve if there exists a curve $\beta: I \subset R \rightarrow M$ such that the principal normal lines of $\gamma$ and $\beta$ at $s \in I$ are equal. In this case, $\beta$ is called a Bertrand couple of $\gamma$ [2].

Theorem 2.4 Let $M$ be 3-dimensional space form with sectional curvature $c$ and $\gamma$ be a regular curve on $M$. In this case, $\gamma$ has Bertrand couple if and only if below the equation holds:

$$
\lambda \kappa+\lambda \cot \theta \tau=1,
$$

where $\kappa$ and $\tau$ are curvature and torsion of the curve $\gamma, \lambda$ is distance from $\gamma$ to Bertrand couple, and $\theta$ is angle between tangent vector of $\gamma$ and tangent vector of Bertrand couple of $\gamma$ [3].

Theorem 2.5 Let $M$ be 3-dimensional space form with sectional curvature $c$ and $\gamma$ be a regular curve on $M . \gamma$ is a general helix which it has Bertrand couple and, tangent vector of Bertrand couple of $\gamma$ and axes of $\gamma$ is not orthonormal if and only if curvature and torsion of $\gamma$ are constant.

Proof Let curve $\beta$ on $M$ be a Bertrand couple of the general helix $\gamma$. Let angle between $T$ and $T^{*}$, which is tangent vector of $\beta$, be $\theta$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=\cos \theta T+\sin \theta N, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\cos \varphi T+\sin \varphi N \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the angle between $T$ and $Z$. In this case, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda \kappa+\mu \tau=1, \mu=\lambda \cot \theta, \lambda, \mu \in R  \tag{26}\\
\tau=b \kappa+a, b=\cot \varphi, a^{2}=c . \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, from the equations (26) and (27), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa & =\frac{1-\lambda a \cot \theta}{\lambda(1+\cot \theta \cot \varphi)}, \\
\tau & =\frac{\lambda a+\cot \varphi}{\lambda(1+\cot \theta \cot \varphi)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1+\cot \theta \cot \varphi \neq 0, \kappa$ and $\tau$ are constant.
Now, we suppose that $\kappa$ and $\tau$ be constant. In this case

$$
Z=\frac{-\kappa T+\tau B}{\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}
$$

is the Killing vector field of the curve $\gamma$. Therefore, $\langle Z, T\rangle=\frac{-\kappa}{\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}$. On the other hand, $\cos \theta=\frac{-\kappa}{\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}$ is a constant. Furthermore, we choose

$$
\beta(s)=\gamma(s)+\frac{1}{\kappa} N(s) .
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\kappa^{*} N^{*}=-\kappa N,
$$

where $\kappa^{*}$ is curvature of $\beta$ and $N^{*}$ is principal normal vector of $\beta$. Thus, $\beta$ is Bertrand couple of the curve $\gamma$.

Theorem 2.6 Let $M$ be 3-dimensional space form with sectional curvature $c$ and $\gamma$ be a regular curve on $M . \gamma$ is a general helix which it has Bertrand couple and, tangent vector of Bertrand couple of $\gamma$ and axes of $\gamma$ is orthonormal if and only if the equation $\lambda \kappa+\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{c}}=1$ is hold, where the constant $\lambda$ is distance between $\gamma$ and Bertrand couple of $\gamma$.

Proof Let $\gamma$ be general helix which it has Bertrand couple and, tangent vector of Bertrand couple of $\gamma$ and axes of $\gamma$ be orthonormal. Since $\varphi=\frac{\pi}{2}+\theta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{*}=\cos \theta T+\sin \theta N \\
& Z=-\sin \theta T+\cos \theta N
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that the curve $\beta$ on $M$ is a Bertrand couple of the general helix $\gamma$. Then,

$$
\beta(s)=\gamma(s)+\lambda N(s) .
$$

If we derivative above equation along to $\gamma$, we get

$$
T^{*}=\frac{d s}{d s^{*}}(1-\lambda \kappa) T+\frac{d s}{d s^{*}} \lambda \tau N
$$

where $\mu=\lambda \cot \theta$ and $\lambda=d(\alpha, \beta)=$ constant .
So, we obtain

$$
\frac{\cos \theta}{1-\lambda \kappa}=\frac{\sin \theta}{\lambda \tau}
$$

and

$$
\kappa \sin \theta+\tau \cos \theta=\frac{\sin \theta}{\lambda}
$$

If we derivative the Killing vector field $Z$ along to $\gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{T} Z=-\frac{\sin \theta}{\lambda} N,  \tag{28}\\
\nabla_{T}^{2} Z=-\frac{\sin \theta}{\lambda}(-\kappa T+\tau B),  \tag{29}\\
\nabla_{T}^{3} Z=-\frac{\sin \theta}{\lambda}\left[-\kappa T-\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right) N+\tau^{\prime} B\right] . \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

From equations (28), (29) and (30), we can easily verify that $\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=0,\left.\frac{\partial \kappa^{2}}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=0$. Since $Z$ is a Killing vector field, for $\left.\frac{\partial \tau^{2}}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=0$, then we obtain

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c \lambda^{2}}}
$$

and

$$
\cot \theta=\frac{1}{\lambda \sqrt{c}}
$$

Because of the Bertrand couple condition, we get $\lambda \kappa+\lambda \cot \theta \tau=1$. That is,

$$
\lambda \kappa+\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{c}}=1
$$

Now, we consider the equation $\lambda \kappa+\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{c}}=1$, for the curve $\gamma$. From theorem 2.5, the curve $\gamma$ is a Bertrand curve.
Assume that

$$
Z=\frac{-\lambda \sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{1+c \lambda^{2}}} T+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c \lambda^{2}}} B .
$$

In this case, $\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=0,\left.\frac{\partial \kappa^{2}}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=0$ and $\left.\frac{\partial \tau^{2}}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=0$. On the other hand, the curve $\gamma$ is general helix and $1+\cos \varphi \cos \theta=0$. This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

[1] A.Şenol and Y.Yaylı, LC helices in space forms, Chaos, Solitons \& Fractals,Vol: 42-4 (2009) pp 2115-2119.
[2] H.H. Hacisalihoglu, Diferensiyel Geometri, Ankara University Faculty of Science Press, (1993).
[3] H. Kocayigit, Biharmonic curves in Lorentz 3-manifolds and contact geometry, Doctoral thesis, Ankara University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences (2004).
[4] M. Barros, General helices and a theorem of Lancret, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol:125, Number 5 (1997) pp 1503-1509.

