#### Mathematica Aeterna, Vol. 2, 2012, no. 8, 675 -687

#### **Fuzzy** consequence operators

#### Yong Chan Kim

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, Gangwondo 210-702, Korea yck@gwnu.ac.kr

#### Abstract

We investigate the properties of fuzzy consequence operators in generalized residuated lattice. In particular, we investigate the relations between right (resp. left)  $\odot$ -preorders and fuzzy consequence operators.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72, 54A40,54B10

**Keywords:** Generalized residuated lattice, right(left)  $\odot$ -preorder, right (left)  $\odot$ -transitive, fuzzy consequence operators

## 1 Introduction

Pavelka [8] introduced the concept of fuzzy consequence operator. Recently, it is developed in the approximate reasoning context with different fuzzy logics on residuated lattices [4,5]. On the other hand, Wille [10] introduced the structures on lattices which are important mathematical tools for data analysis and knowledge processing. MV-algebra was introduced by Chang [2] to provide algebraic models for many valued propositional logic. Recently, it is developed many directions (BL-algebra, residuated algebra) [5,9,10]. In particular, noncommutative structures play an important role in metric spaces, algebraic structures (groups, rings, quantales, pseudo-BL-algebras)[3,6,7,9,10]. Georgescu and Popescu [6] introduced generalized residuated lattice as a noncommutative structure.

In this paper, we investigate the properties of fuzzy consequence operators in generalized residuated lattice. In particular, we investigate the relations between right (resp. left)  $\odot$ -preorders and fuzzy consequence operators.

# **2** Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** [6] A structure  $(L, \lor, \land, \odot, \rightarrow, \Rightarrow, \bot, \top)$  is called a *generalized residuated lattice* iff it satisfies the following properties: (L1)  $(L, \lor, \land, \bot, \top)$  is a bounded lattice where  $\bot$  is the bottom element and  $\top$  is the top element;

(L2)  $(L, \odot, \top)$  is a monoid;

(L3) adjointness properties, i.e.

$$x \leq y \rightarrow z \text{ iff } x \odot y \leq z \text{ iff } y \leq x \Rightarrow z.$$

Two maps  ${}^{0}, *: L \to L$  defined by  $a^{0} = a \to \bot$  and  $a^{*} = a \Rightarrow \bot$  is called strong negations if  $a^{0*} = a$  and  $a^{*0} = a$ . We define

$$\top_x(y) = \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } y = x, \\ \bot, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \top^*_x(y) = \top^0_x(y) = \begin{cases} \bot, & \text{if } y = x, \\ \top, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we assume that  $(L, \lor, \land, \odot, \rightarrow, \Rightarrow, *, ^{\circ}, \bot, \top)$  be a generalized residuated lattice with strong negations \* and  $^{\circ}$ .

**Definition 2.2** Let X be a set. A function  $R: X \times X \to L$  is called a *right*  $\odot$ -*preorder* on X if it satisfies the following conditions:

(R) (reflexive)  $R(x, x) = \top$  for all  $x \in X$ ,

(LT) (right transitive)  $R(x, y) \odot R(y, z) \le R(x, z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

A function  $R: X \times X \to L$  is called a *left*  $\odot$ -*preorder* on X if it satisfies (R) and the following condition:

(RT) (left transitive)  $R(y, z) \odot R(x, y) \le R(x, z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition 2.3** [5] An operator  $C : L^X \to L^X$  is called a *fuzzy consequence* operator iff it satisfies the following conditions:

(C1)  $A \leq C(A)$  for  $A \in L^X$ . (C2) If  $A \leq B$ , then  $C(A) \leq C(B)$   $A \in L^X$ . (C3) C(C(A)) = C(A) for  $A \in L^X$ .

**Lemma 2.4** For each  $x, y, z, x_i, y_i \in L$ , the following properties hold.

(1)  $\odot$  is isotone in both arguments. (2)  $\rightarrow$  and  $\Rightarrow$  are antitone in the first and isotone in the second argument. (3)  $x \rightarrow y = \top$  iff  $x \leq y$  iff  $x \Rightarrow y = \top$ . (4)  $x \rightarrow \top = x \Rightarrow \top = \top$  and  $\top \rightarrow x = \top \Rightarrow x = x$ . (5)  $x \odot y \leq x \land y$ . (6)  $x \odot (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x \odot y_i)$  and  $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \odot y = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \odot y)$ . (7)  $x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \rightarrow y_i)$  and  $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y)$ . (8)  $x \Rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \Rightarrow y_i)$  and  $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \Rightarrow y = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \Rightarrow y)$ . (9)  $x \odot (x \Rightarrow y) \leq y$  and  $(x \rightarrow y) \odot x \leq y$ . (10)  $(x \Rightarrow y) \odot (y \Rightarrow z) \leq (x \Rightarrow z)$  and  $(y \rightarrow z) \odot (x \rightarrow y) \leq (x \rightarrow z)$ . (11)  $x \Rightarrow y \leq (y \Rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \Rightarrow z)$  and  $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \Rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ . (12)  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i^* = (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i)^*$  and  $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i^* = (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i)^*$ . (13)  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i^0 = (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i)^0$  and  $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i^0 = (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i)^0$ . (14)  $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)$  and  $(x \odot y)^0 = x \rightarrow y^0$ . (15)  $(x \odot y) \Rightarrow z = y \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow z)$  and  $(x \odot y)^* = y \Rightarrow x^*$ . (16)  $x \rightarrow (y \Rightarrow z) = y \Rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$  and  $x \Rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \Rightarrow z)$ .

**Proof.** (1)-(13) are proved in [6,9].

(14) Since  $((x \odot y) \to z) \odot (x \odot y) \leq z$ , we have  $(x \odot y) \to z \leq x \to (y \to z)$ . Since  $(x \to (y \to z)) \odot (x \odot y) \leq (y \to z) \odot y \leq z$ , we have  $x \to (y \to z) \leq (x \odot y) \to z$ .

(16) Since 
$$\left(y \odot \left(x \to (y \Rightarrow z)\right)\right) \odot x = y \odot \left(\left(x \to (y \Rightarrow z)\right) \odot x\right) \le y \odot (y \Rightarrow z) \le z$$
, then  $x \to (y \Rightarrow z) \le y \Rightarrow (x \to z)$ .

Since 
$$y \odot ((y \Rightarrow (x \to z)) \odot x) = (y \odot (y \Rightarrow (x \to z))) \odot x = (x \to z) \odot x \le z$$
, then  $y \Rightarrow (x \to z) \le x \to (y \Rightarrow z)$ .

(15) and other cases are similarly proved.

# **3** Fuzzy consequence operators

**Definition 3.1** Let  $R \in L^{X \times X}$  be a fuzzy relation. Define mappings  $I^R, I_R, C^R, C_R : L^X \to L^X$  as follows:

$$I_R(A)(x) = \bigwedge_y (R(x,y) \Rightarrow A(y)) \quad I^R(A)(x) = \bigwedge_y (R(x,y) \to A(y)).$$
$$C_R(A)(x) = \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot R(y,x)) \quad C^R(x) = \bigvee_y (R(y,x) \odot A(y)).$$

**Definition 3.2** (1) An operator  $C: L^X \to L^X$  is called right  $\odot$ -coherent if

 $A(y) \odot C(\top_y)(x) \le C(A)(x).$ 

(2) An operator  $C: L^X \to L^X$  is called left  $\odot$ -coherent if

$$C(\top_y)(x) \odot A(y) \le C(A)(x).$$

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $R \in L^{X \times X}$  be a fuzzy relation. Define

$$R \circ R(x,z) = \bigvee_{y} (R(x,y) \odot R(y,z)), \quad R^{-1}(x,y) = R(y,x).$$

- (1) If R be a right  $\odot$ -preorder, then  $R^{-1}$  be a left  $\odot$ -preorder.
- (2) R is a right  $\odot$ -preorder on X iff  $R \circ R = R$  and  $R(x, x) = \top$ .
- (3) R is a left  $\odot$ -preorder on X iff  $R^{-1} \circ R^{-1} = R^{-1}$  and  $R(x, x) = \top$ .

**Proof** (1), (2) and (3) are easily proved from:

$$\begin{array}{ll} R(x,z) &= R(x,x) \odot R(x,z) \leq R \circ R(x,z) = \bigvee_y (R(x,y) \odot R(y,z)) \\ &\leq R(x,z) \\ R^{-1}(x,z) &= R^{-1}(x,x) \odot R^{-1}(x,z) \leq R^{-1} \circ R^{-1}(x,z) \\ &= \bigvee_y (R^{-1}(x,y) \odot R^{-1}(y,z)) = \bigvee_y (R(y,x) \odot R(z,y)) \\ &\leq R(z,x) = R^{-1}(x,z). \end{array}$$

**Theorem 3.4**  $I_R(A^*) = (C_{R^{-1}}(A))^*$  and  $I^R(A^0) = (C^{R^{-1}}(A))^0$ .

**Proof** (1)

$$I_R(A^*)(x) = \bigwedge_y (R(x, y) \Rightarrow A^*(y)) = (\bigvee_y (A(y) \odot R(x, y))^* = (C_{R^{-1}}(A))^*.$$

(2)

$$I^{R}(A^{0})(x) = \bigwedge_{y} (R(x, y) \to A^{0}(y))$$
  
=  $(\bigvee_{y} (R(x, y) \odot A(y))^{0} = (C^{R^{-1}}(A))^{0}.$ 

**Theorem 3.5** (1) Let  $C : L^X \to L^X$  be a right  $\odot$ -coherent fuzzy consequence operator and  $R_C$  defined by

$$R_C(x,y) = C(\top_x)(y).$$

Then  $R_C$  is a right  $\odot$ -preorder on X and  $C_{R_C}(A) \leq C(A)$  for all  $A \in L^X$  with  $C_{R_C}(\top_x)(y) = R_C(x,y) = C(\top_x)(y).$ (2) Let  $C: L^X \to L^X$  be a left  $\odot$ -coherent fuzzy consequence operator and

(2) Let  $C: L^X \to L^X$  be a left  $\odot$ -coherent fuzzy consequence operator and  $R_C$  defined by

$$R_C(x,y) = C(\top_x)(y).$$

Then  $R_C$  is a left  $\odot$ -preorder on X and  $C_{R_C}(A) \leq C(A)$  for all  $A \in L^X$  with  $C_{R_C}(\top_x)(y) = R_C(x,y) = C(\top_x)(y).$ 

**Proof** (1) Since  $C: L^X \to L^X$  is right  $\odot$ -coherent,  $C(\top_x)(y) \odot C(\top_y)(z) \le C(C(\top_x))(z)$ . Thus,  $R_C$  is a right  $\odot$ -preorder on X from:  $R_C(x, x) = C(\top_x)(x) \ge \top_x(x) = \top$  and

$$R_C(x, y) \odot R_C(y, z) = C(\top_x)(y) \odot C(\top_y)(z)$$
  
$$\leq C(C(\top_x))(z) = C(\top_x)(z) = R_C(x, z).$$

$$C_{R_C}(A)(x) = \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot R_C(y, x)) = \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot C(\top_y)(x)) \le C(A)(x).$$

Moreover,  $C_{R_C}(\top_x)(y) = R_C(x, y) = C(\top_x)(y)$ . (2) Since  $C : L^X \to L^X$  is left  $\odot$ -coherent,  $C(\top_y)(z) \odot C(\top_x)(y) \leq$  $C(C(\top_x))(z)$ . Thus,  $R_C$  is a left  $\odot$ -preorder on X from:

$$R_C(y, z) \odot R_C(x, y) = C(\top_y)(z) \odot C(\top_x)(y)$$
  
$$\leq C(C(\top_x))(z) = C(\top_x)(z) = R_C(x, z).$$

Other cases are proved as a similar method in (1).

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $R \in L^{X \times X}$  be a fuzzy relation.

(1)  $C_R$  is a right  $\odot$ -coherent operator. Moreover, R is a right  $\odot$ -preorder iff  $C_R$  is a fuzzy consequence operator with  $R_{C_R} = R$ .

(2)  $C^R$  is a left  $\odot$ -coherent operator. Moreover, R is a left  $\odot$ -preorder iff  $C^R$  is a fuzzy consequence operator with  $R_{C^R} = R$ .

**Proof** (1) Since  $C_R(\top_x)(y) = \bigvee_z(\top_x(z) \odot R(z,y)) = R(x,y)$ , we have

$$C_R(A)(x) = \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot R(y, x)) = \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot C_R(\top_y)(x))$$
  
 
$$\geq A(y) \odot C_R(\top_y)(x).$$

Thus  $C_R$  is a right  $\odot$ -coherent operator. Let R be a right  $\odot$ -preorder. Then  $C_R$  is a fuzzy consequence operator from:

$$C_R(A)(x) = \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot R(y, x)) \ge A(x) \odot R(x, x) = A(x).$$
  

$$C_R(C_R(A))(x) = \bigvee_y (C_R(A)(y) \odot R(y, x))$$
  

$$= \bigvee_y (\bigvee_w (A(w) \odot R(w, y)) \odot R(y, x))$$
  

$$\le \bigvee_w (A(w) \odot R(w, x)) = C_R(A)(x).$$

Moreover,  $R_{C_R}(x, y) = C_R(\top_x)(y) = \bigvee_z (\top_x(z) \odot R(z, y)) = R(x, y).$ 

Conversely, since  $C_R$  is a right  $\odot$ -coherent fuzzy consequence operator operator, by Theorem 3.5(1),  $R_{C_R} = R$  is a right  $\odot$ -preorder.

(2) Since  $C^{R}(\top_{x})(y) = \bigvee_{z} (R(z, y) \odot \top_{x}(z)) = R(x, y)$ , we have

$$C^{R}(A)(x) = \bigvee_{y} (R(y, x) \odot A(y)) = \bigvee_{y} (C_{R}(\top_{y})(x) \odot A(y)) \ge C_{R}(\top_{y})(x) \odot A(y).$$

Hence  $C^R$  is a left  $\odot$ -coherent operator. Other cases are proved as a similar method in (1).

**Theorem 3.7** (1) If  $C: L^X \to L^X$  is an operator with C(A) < C(B) for  $A \leq B$  and  $\alpha \odot C(A) \leq C(\alpha \odot A)$  for  $\alpha \in L$ , then C is a right  $\odot$ -coherent operator.

(2) If  $C: L^X \to L^X$  is an operator with  $C(A) \leq C(B)$  for  $A \leq B$  and  $C(A) \odot \alpha < C(A \odot \alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in L$ , then C is a left  $\odot$ -coherent operator.

**Proof** (1) Since  $A = \bigvee_x (A(y) \odot \top_y)$ , we have

$$C(A)(x) = C(\bigvee_y (A(y) \odot \top_y))(x) \ge \bigvee_y C(A(y) \odot \top_y)(x) \ge \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot C(\top_y)(x)).$$

Thus C is a right  $\odot$ -coherent operator.

(2) Since  $A = \bigvee_x (\top_y \odot A(y))$ , we have

$$C(A)(x) = C(\bigvee_y(\top_y \odot A(y)))(x) \ge \bigvee_y C(\top_y \odot A(y))(x) \ge \bigvee_y (C(\top_y)(x) \odot A(y)).$$

Thus C is a left  $\odot$ -coherent operator.

**Theorem 3.8** Let  $R \in L^{X \times X}$  be a fuzzy relation. Define  $\phi_R : L^X \to L^X$  as  $\phi_R(A)(x) = I_R(C^R(A))(x) = \bigwedge_w \Big( R(x,w) \Rightarrow \bigvee_y (R(y,w) \odot A(y)) \Big).$ 

Then the following properties:

(1)  $\phi_R$  is a left  $\odot$ -coherent operator.

(2) If R is a left  $\odot$ -preorder, then  $\phi_R$  is a fuzzy consequence operator with a left  $\odot$ -preorder as follows

$$R_{\phi_R}(y,x) = \phi_R(\top_y)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \Rightarrow R(y,w)).$$

(3) R is a reflexive relation iff  $R_{\phi_R} \leq R$  or  $\phi_R \leq C^R$ . (4) R is a left  $\odot$ -preorder iff  $R_{\phi_R} = R$  or  $\phi_R = C^R$ .

**Proof** (1)  $\phi_R$  is a left  $\odot$ -coherent operator from:

$$\phi_{R}(\top_{y})(x) \odot A(y) = \bigwedge_{w} \left( R(x,w) \Rightarrow \bigvee_{y} (R(y,w) \odot \top_{y}(y)) \right) \odot A(y)$$
  
=  $\bigwedge_{w} \left( R(x,w) \Rightarrow R(y,w) \right) \odot A(y)$   
 $\leq \bigwedge_{w} \left( R(x,w) \Rightarrow R(y,w) \odot A(y) \right)$   
 $\leq \bigwedge_{w} \left( R(x,w) \Rightarrow C^{R}(A)(w) \right)$   
=  $\phi_{R}(A)(x)$ 

(2)

$$\phi_R(A)(x) = I_R(C^R(A))(x) = \bigwedge_w \left( R(x,w) \Rightarrow \bigvee_y (R(y,w) \odot A(y)) \right) = \bigwedge_w \left( R(x,w) \Rightarrow (R(x,w) \odot A(x)) \right) \ge A(x).$$

Thus,  $\phi_R(\phi_R(A)) \geq \phi_R(A)$ , for all  $A \in L^X$ . Since R is left  $\odot$ - preorder,  $I_R(A) \leq A \leq C_R(A)$  and  $I_R(C^R(A)) \leq C^R(A)$  implies  $C^R(I_R(C^R(A))) \leq C^R(C^R(A)) = C^R(A)$ . Thus  $\phi_R(\phi_R(A)) = \phi_R(A)$ . Moreover,

$$R_{\phi_R}(y,x) = \phi_R(\top_y)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \Rightarrow R(y,w)).$$

(3) Since R is reflexive,  $R_{\phi_R} \leq R$  and  $\phi_R \leq C^R$  from

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\phi_R}(y,x) &= \phi_R(\top_y)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \Rightarrow R(y,w)) \\ &\leq R(x,x) \Rightarrow R(y,x) = R(y,x) \\ \phi_R(A)(x) &= I_R(C^R(A))(x) = \bigwedge_w \left( R(x,w) \Rightarrow \bigvee_y (R(y,w) \odot A(y)) \right) \\ &\leq R(x,x) \Rightarrow \bigvee_y (R(y,x) \odot A(y)) = C^R(A)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\phi_R}(x,x) &= \phi_R(\top_x)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \Rightarrow R(x,w)) = \top \\ &\leq C^R(\top_x)(x) = R(x,x). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Since  $R(x, w) \odot R(y, x) \le R(y, w)$  iff  $R(y, x) \le R(x, w) \Rightarrow R(y, w)$ , we have  $R \le R_{\phi_R}$ . Hence  $R = R_{\phi_R}$ .

Since R is left  $\odot$ -transitive, we have

$$R(w, y) \odot R(x, w) \odot A(x) \le R(x, y) \odot A(x)$$
$$R(x, w) \odot A(x) \le R(w, y) \Rightarrow R(x, y) \odot A(x)$$

Thus,  $C^R(A) \leq \phi_R(A)$ .

Conversely,

$$R_{\phi_R}(y,x) = \phi_R(\top_y)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \Rightarrow R(y,w)) \ge R(y,x) = C^R(\top_y)(x).$$

Thus  $R(x, w) \odot R(y, x) \le R(y, w)$  for all  $x, y, w \in X$ ; i.e. R is left  $\odot$ -transitive.

**Theorem 3.9** Let  $R \in L^{X \times X}$  be a fuzzy relation. Define  $\phi^R : L^X \to L^X$  as

$$\phi^R(A)(x) = I^R(C_R(A))(x) = \bigwedge_w \Big( R(x,w) \to \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot R(y,w)) \Big).$$

Then the following properties:

(1)  $\phi^R$  is a right  $\odot$ -coherent operator.

(2) If R is a right  $\odot$ -preorder, then  $\phi^R$  is a fuzzy consequence operator with a right  $\odot$ -preorder  $R_{\phi^R}$  as follows

$$R_{\phi^R}(y,x) = \phi^R(\top_y)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \to R(y,w)).$$

(3) R is a reflexive relation iff  $R_{\phi^R} \leq R$  or  $\phi^R \leq C_R$ .

(4) R is a right  $\odot$ -preorder iff  $R_{\phi^R} = R$  or  $\phi^R = C_R$ .

**Proof** (1) Since  $(b \odot (a \to c)) \odot a = b \odot ((a \to c) \odot a) \le b \odot c$ , we have  $b \odot (a \to c) \le a \to b \odot c$ .

$$\phi^R(A)(x) = I^R(C_R(A))(x) = \bigwedge_w \Big( R(x,w) \to \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot R(y,w)) \Big).$$

$$\begin{split} \phi^{R}(\alpha \odot A)(x) &= I^{R}(C_{R}(\alpha \odot A))(x) \\ &= \bigwedge_{w} \left( R(x,w) \to \bigvee_{y}(\alpha \odot A(y) \odot R(y,w)) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{w} \bigvee_{y} \left( R(x,w) \to (\alpha \odot A(y) \odot R(y,w)) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{w} \bigvee_{y} \left( \alpha \odot \left( R(x,w) \to (A(y) \odot R(y,w)) \right) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{w} \left( \alpha \odot \bigvee_{y} \left( R(x,w) \to (A(y) \odot R(y,w)) \right) \right) \\ &\geq \alpha \odot \bigwedge_{w} \left( R(x,w) \to \bigvee_{y} (A(y) \odot R(y,w)) \right) \\ &= \alpha \odot \phi^{R}(A)(x). \end{split}$$

(2)

$$\phi^{R}(A)(x) = I^{R}(C_{R}(A))(x) = \bigwedge_{w} \left( R(x,w) \to \bigvee_{y} (A(y) \odot R(y,w)) \right)$$
  
$$\geq \bigwedge_{w} \left( R(x,w) \to (A(x) \odot R(x,w)) \right) \geq A(x).$$

Thus,  $\phi_R(\phi_R(A)) \geq \phi_R(A)$ , for all  $A \in L^X$ . Since R is a right  $\odot$ - preorder,  $I^R(A) \leq A \leq C_R(A)$  and  $I^R(C_R(A)) \leq C_R(A)$  implies  $C_R(I^R(C_R(A))) \leq C_R(C_R(A)) = C_R(A)$ . Thus  $\phi^R(\phi^R(A)) = \phi^R(A)$ . Moreover,

$$R_{\phi^R}(y,x) = \phi^R(\top_y)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \to R(y,w)).$$

(3) Since R is reflexive,  $R_{\phi^R} \leq R$  and  $\phi^R \leq C_R$  from

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\phi^R}(y,x) &= \phi^R(\top_y)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \to R(y,w)) \\ &\leq R(x,x) \to R(y,x) = R(y,x) \\ \phi^R(A)(x) &= I^R(C_R(A))(x) = \bigwedge_w \left( R(x,w) \to \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot R(y,w)) \right) \\ &\leq R(x,x) \to \bigvee_y (A(y) \odot R(y,x)) = C_R(A)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\phi^R}(x,x) &= \phi^R(\top_x)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \to R(x,w)) = \top \\ &\leq C_R(\top_x)(x) = R(x,x). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Since  $R(x, y) \odot R(y, z) \le R(x, z)$  iff  $R(x, y) \le R(y, z) \to R(x, z)$ , we have  $R \le R_{\phi^R}$ . Hence  $R = R_{\phi^R}$ .

Since R is right  $\odot$ -transitive, we have

$$A(x) \odot R(x, y) \odot R(y, z) \le A(x) \odot R(x, z)$$

Fuzzy consequence operators

$$A(x) \odot R(x, y) \le R(y, z) \to A(x) \odot R(x, z)$$

Thus,  $C_R(A) \leq \phi^R(A)$ .

Conversely,

$$R_{\phi^R}(y,x) = \phi^R(\top_y)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \to R(y,w)) \ge R(y,x) = C_R(\top_y)(x).$$

Thus  $R(y, x) \odot R(x, w) \le R(y, w)$  for all  $x, y, w \in X$ ; i.e. R is right  $\odot$ -transitive.

**Definition 3.10** Let  $R \in L^{X \times Y}$  be a fuzzy relation. Define mappings  $R_{\uparrow}, R_{\uparrow}: L^X \to L^Y$  and  $R^{\uparrow}, R^{\uparrow}: L^Y \to L^X$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} R_{\uparrow}(A)(x) &= \bigwedge_{y} (A(x) \to R(x,y)) \ R_{\Uparrow}(A)(x) = \bigwedge_{y} (A(x) \Rightarrow R(x,y)), \\ R^{\downarrow}(B)(y) &= \bigwedge_{x} (B(y) \to R(x,y)) \ R^{\Downarrow}(B)(y) = \bigwedge_{y} (B(y) \Rightarrow R(x,y)). \end{split}$$

**Theorem 3.11** Let  $R \in L^{X \times Y}$  be a fuzzy relation. Define  $\eta_R : L^X \to L^X$  as

$$\eta_R(A)(x) = R^{\downarrow}(R_{\uparrow}(A))(x) = \bigwedge_y \Big( (\bigwedge_w A(w) \Rightarrow R(w, y)) \to R(x, y) \Big).$$

Then the following properties:

- (1)  $\eta_R$  is a left  $\odot$ -coherent operator.
- (2)  $\eta_R$  is a fuzzy consequence operator with a left  $\odot$ -preorder as follows

$$R_{\eta_R}(z,x) = \eta_R(\top_z)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(z,y) \to R(x,y)).$$

(3) If  $R \in L^{X \times X}$ , then R is a reflexive relation iff  $R_{\eta_R}^{-1} \leq R$ . (4) If  $R \in L^{X \times X}$ , then R is a right  $\odot$ -preorder iff  $R_{\eta_R}^{-1} = R$ .

**Proof** (1) Since  $((b \to a) \odot c) \odot (c \Rightarrow b) = (b \to a) \odot (c \odot (c \Rightarrow b)) \le (b \to a) \odot b \le a$ , we have  $(b \to a) \odot c \le (c \Rightarrow b) \to a$ . It follows

$$\begin{split} \eta_{R}(\top_{z})(x) \odot A(z) &= \bigwedge_{y} \left( (\bigwedge_{w} \top_{z}(w) \Rightarrow R(w, y)) \to R(x, y) \right) \odot A(z) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y} ((R(z, y) \to R(x, y)) \odot A(z)) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{y} \left( (R(z, y) \to R(x, y)) \odot A(z) \right) \text{ (by Lemma 2.4(2))} \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{y} \left( (A(z) \Rightarrow R(z, y)) \to R(x, y) \right) \text{ (by above equality )} \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{y} \left( \bigwedge_{z} (A(z) \Rightarrow R(z, y)) \to R(x, y) \right) \\ &= \eta_{R}(A)(x). \end{split}$$

Hence  $\eta_R$  is a left  $\odot$ -coherent operator.

(2)

$$\eta_R(A)(x) = \bigwedge_y \left( (\bigwedge_w A(w) \Rightarrow R(w, y)) \to R(x, y) \right) \\ \ge \bigwedge_y \left( (A(x) \Rightarrow R(x, y)) \to R(x, y) \right) \ge A(x).$$

Thus,  $R^{\downarrow}(R_{\uparrow}(A)) \geq A$  implies  $R_{\uparrow}(R^{\downarrow}(R_{\uparrow}(A))) \leq R_{\uparrow}(A)$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\uparrow}(R^{\downarrow}(B))(y) &= \bigwedge_{y} \left( (\bigwedge_{w} B(w) \to R(x, w)) \Rightarrow R(x, y) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y} \left( (B(y) \to R(x, y)) \Rightarrow R(x, y) \right) \ge B(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $R_{\uparrow}(R^{\downarrow}(R_{\uparrow}(A))) \geq R_{\uparrow}(A)$ . Thus,  $\eta_R(\eta_R(A)) = \eta_R(A)$ , for all  $A \in L^X$ . Moreover,

$$R_{\eta_R}(z,x) = \eta_R(\top_z)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(z,y) \to R(x,y)).$$

(3) Since R is reflexive,  $R_{\eta_R}^{-1} \leq R$  from

$$R_{\eta_R}(z,x) = \eta_R(\top_z)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(z,w) \to R(x,w))$$
  
$$\leq R(z,z) \to R(x,z) = R(x,z).$$

Conversely,

$$R_{\eta_R}(x,x) = \eta_R(\top_x)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \to R(x,w)) = \top \leq R(x,x).$$

(4) Since  $R(z,x) \odot R(x,y) \le R(z,y)$  iff  $R(z,x) \le R(x,y) \to R(z,y)$ , we have  $R(z,x) \le R_{\eta_R}^{-1}(z,x)$ . Hence  $R = R_{\eta_R}^{-1}$ .

Conversely,

$$R_{\eta_R}(z,x) = \eta_R(\top_z)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(z,w) \to R(x,w)) \ge R(x,z).$$

Thus  $R(x,z) \odot R(z,w) \le R(x,w)$  for all  $x, y, w \in X$ ; i.e. R is right  $\odot$ -transitive.

**Theorem 3.12** Let  $R \in L^{X \times Y}$  be a fuzzy relation. Define  $\eta^R : L^X \to L^X$ as

$$\eta^R(A)(x) = R^{\Downarrow}(R_{\uparrow}(A))(x) = \bigwedge_y \Big( (\bigwedge_w A(w) \to R(w, y)) \Rightarrow R(x, y) \Big).$$

Then the following properties:

- (1)  $\eta^{R}$  is a right  $\odot$ -coherent operator.
- (2)  $\eta^R$  is a fuzzy consequence operator with a right  $\odot$ -preorder as follows

$$R_{\eta^R}(z,x) = \eta^R(\top_z)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(z,y) \Rightarrow R(x,y))$$

- (3) If  $R \in L^{X \times X}$ , then R is a reflexive relation iff  $R_{\eta^R}^{-1} \leq R$ . (4) If  $R \in L^{X \times X}$ , then R is a left  $\odot$ -preorder iff  $R_{\eta^R}^{-1} = R$ .

684

**Proof** (1) Since  $(a \to b) \odot (a \odot (b \Rightarrow c)) = ((a \to b) \odot a) \odot (b \Rightarrow c) \leq$  $b \odot (b \Rightarrow c) \le c$ , we have  $a \odot (b \Rightarrow c) \le (c \Rightarrow b) \to a$ . It follows

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) \odot \eta^{R}(\top_{z})(x) &= A(z) \odot \bigwedge_{y} \left( (\bigwedge_{w} \top_{z}(w) \to R(w, y)) \Rightarrow R(x, y) \right) \\ &= A(z) \odot \bigwedge_{y} (R(z, y) \Rightarrow R(x, y)) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{y} \left( A(z) \odot (R(z, y) \Rightarrow R(x, y)) \right) \text{ (by Lemma 2.4(2))} \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{y} \left( (A(z) \to R(z, y)) \Rightarrow R(x, y) \right) \text{ (by above equality )} \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{y} \left( \bigwedge_{z} (A(z) \to R(z, y)) \Rightarrow R(x, y) \right) \\ &= \eta^{R}(A)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\eta^R$  is a right  $\odot$ -coherent operator.

(2)

$$\eta^{R}(A)(x) = \bigwedge_{y} \left( (\bigwedge_{w} A(w) \to R(w, y)) \Rightarrow R(x, y) \right) \\ = \bigwedge_{y} \left( (A(x) \to R(x, y)) \Rightarrow R(x, y) \right) \ge A(x).$$

Thus,  $R_{\uparrow}(R^{\downarrow}(R_{\uparrow}(A))) \leq R_{\uparrow}(A)$ . Similarly,

$$R_{\uparrow}(R^{\Downarrow}(B))(y) = \bigwedge_{y} \left( (\bigwedge_{w} B(w) \Rightarrow R(x, w)) \to R(x, y) \right) \\ = \bigwedge_{y} \left( (B(y) \Rightarrow R(x, y)) \to R(x, y) \right) \ge B(y).$$

Hence,  $R_{\uparrow}(R^{\downarrow}(R_{\uparrow}(A))) \geq R_{\uparrow}(A)$ . Thus,  $\eta^{R}(\eta^{R}(A)) = \eta^{R}(A)$ , for all  $A \in L^{X}$ . Moreover,

$$R_{\eta^R}(z,x) = \eta^R(\top_z)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(z,y) \Rightarrow R(x,y)).$$

(3) Since R is reflexive,  $R_{\eta^R}^{-1} \leq R$  from

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\eta^R}(z,x) &= \eta_R(\top_z)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(z,w) \Rightarrow R(x,w)) \\ &\leq R(z,z) \Rightarrow R(x,z) = R(x,z). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\eta^R}(x,x) &= \eta^R(\top_x)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(x,w) \Rightarrow R(x,w)) = \top \\ &\leq R(x,x). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Since  $R(x,y) \odot R(z,x) \le R(z,y)$  iff  $R(z,x) \le R(x,y) \Rightarrow R(z,y)$ , we have  $R(z,x) \le R_{\eta^R}^{-1}(z,x)$ . Hence  $R = R_{\eta^R}^{-1}$ . Conversely,

$$R_{\eta^R}(z,x) = \eta^R(\top_z)(x) = \bigwedge_w (R(z,w) \Rightarrow R(x,w)) \ge R(x,z).$$

Thus  $R(z, w) \odot R(x, z) \le R(x, w)$  for all  $x, y, w \in X$ ; i.e. R is left  $\odot$ -transitive.

**Example 3.13** Let  $K = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x > 0\}$  be a set and we define an operation  $\otimes : K \times K \to K$  as follows:

$$(x_1, y_1) \otimes (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 x_2, x_1 y_2 + y_1).$$

Then  $(K, \otimes)$  is a group with  $e = (1, 0), (x, y)^{-1} = (\frac{1}{x}, -\frac{y}{x}).$ We have a positive cone  $P = \{(a, b) \in R^2 \mid a = 1, b \ge 0 \text{, or } a > 1\}$  because  $P \cap P^{-1} = \{(1,0)\}, P \odot P \subset P, (a,b)^{-1} \odot P \odot (a,b) = P \text{ and } P \cup P^{-1} = K.$ For  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in K$ , we define

$$(x_1, y_1) \le (x_2, y_2) \quad \Leftrightarrow (x_1, y_1)^{-1} \odot (x_2, y_2) \in P, \ (x_2, y_2) \odot (x_1, y_1)^{-1} \in P \\ \Leftrightarrow x_1 < x_2 \ \text{or} \ x_1 = x_2, y_1 \le y_2.$$

Then  $(K, \leq \otimes)$  is a lattice-group. (ref. [1])

For  $L \subset K$ , the structure  $(L, \odot, \Rightarrow, \rightarrow, (\frac{1}{2}, 1), (1, 0))$  is a generalized residuated lattice with strong negation where  $\perp = (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  is the least element and  $\top = (1,0)$  is the greatest element from the following statements:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (x_1, y_1) \odot (x_2, y_2) &= (x_1, y_1) \otimes (x_2, y_2) \lor (\frac{1}{2}, 1) = (x_1 x_2, x_1 y_2 + y_1) \lor (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \\ (x_1, y_1) \Rightarrow (x_2, y_2) &= ((x_1, y_1)^{-1} \otimes (x_2, y_2)) \land (1, 0) = (\frac{x_2}{x_1}, \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_1}) \land (1, 0), \\ (x_1, y_1) \rightarrow (x_2, y_2) &= ((x_2, y_2) \otimes (x_1, y_1)^{-1}) \land (1, 0) = (\frac{x_2}{x_1}, -\frac{x_2 y_1}{x_1} + y_2) \land (1, 0). \end{array}$$

Furthermore, we have  $(x, y) = (x, y)^{*\circ} = (x, y)^{\circ*}$  from:

$$(x,y)^* = (x,y) \Rightarrow (\frac{1}{2},1) = (\frac{1}{2x},\frac{1-y}{x}),$$
$$(x,y)^{*\circ} = (\frac{1}{2x},\frac{1-y}{x}) \to (\frac{1}{2},1) = (x,y).$$

Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  be a set. Define  $R \in L^{X \times X}$  as

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} (1,0) & (\frac{5}{8},\frac{5}{2}) & (\frac{5}{6},\frac{5}{3}) \\ (\frac{5}{7},\frac{30}{7}) & (1,0) & (\frac{5}{8},-\frac{5}{4}) \\ (1,-2) & (\frac{5}{7},\frac{10}{3}) & (1,0) \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) Since  $R \circ R = R$ ,  $R^{-1} \circ R^{-1} = R^{-1}$  and  $R(x, x) = R^{-1}(x, x) = \top$ , by Lemma 3.3, R is a right  $\odot$ -preorder and R is a left  $\odot$ -preorder.

(2) From Theorem 3.6, since R is a right  $\odot$ -preorder, then  $R_{C_R} = R$ . Since R is a left  $\odot$ -preorder, then  $R_{C^R} = R$ .

(3) From Theorem 3.9, since R is a left  $\odot$ -preorder, then  $R_{\phi_R} = R$  or  $\phi_R = C^R$  where  $R_{\phi_R}(x, y) = \bigwedge_w (R(y, w) \Rightarrow R(x, w)).$ 

(4) From Theorem 3.10, since R is a right  $\odot$ -preorder, then  $R_{\phi^R} = R$  or  $\phi^R = C_R$  where  $R_{\phi^R}(x, y) = \bigwedge_w (R(y, w) \to R(x, w)).$ 

(5) From Theorem 3.11, since R is a right  $\odot$ -preorder, then  $R_{\eta_R}^{-1} = R$  where  $R_{\eta_R}^{-1}(x,y) = \bigwedge_w (R(y,w) \to R(x,w)).$ 

(6) From Theorem 3.12, since R is a left  $\odot$ -preorder, then  $R_{\eta^R}^{-1} = R$  where  $R_{n^R}^{-1}(x,y) = \bigwedge_w (R(y,w) \Rightarrow R(x,w)).$ 

# References

- G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., 25, New York, 1968.
- [2] C.C. Chang, Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, Trans. of A.M.S.,88(2)(1958), 467-490.
- [3] A. Dvurecenskij, On pseudo MV-algebras, Soft Computing, 5(2001), 347-354.
- [4] J. Elorza, P. Burillo, Connecting fuzzy preorders, fuzzy consequence operators and fuzzy closure and co-closure systems, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 139(3)(2003), 601-613.
- [5] J. Elorza, R.F. Gonzalez, J. Bragard, P. Burillo, On the relation between fuzzy closing morphological operators, fuzzy consequence operators induced by fuzzy preorders and fuzzy closure and co-closure systems, (Article in press) *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*.
- [6] G. Georgescu, A. Popescu, Non-commutative fuzzy Galois connections, Soft Computing, 7 (2003), 458-467.
- [7] G. Georgescu, A. Popescu, Non-commutative fuzzy structures and pairs of weak negations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 143(2004), 129-155.
- [8] J. Pavelka, On fuzzy logic, Zeitschr.f. Math. Logik und Grundlagen d. Math.Bd. 25(1979), 45-52.
- [9] E. Turunen, Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic, A Springer-Verlag Co., 1999.
- [10] R. Wille, Restructuring lattice theory; an approach based on hierarchies of concept, in: 1. Rival(Ed.), Ordered Sets, Reidel, Dordrecht, Boston, 1982, 445-470.

Received: September, 2012