

Derivation Rings of Centerless Perfect Lie Rings Are Complete

Juan Li

School of Mathematics and Statistics
Northeast Normal University

Abstract

It is proved that the derivation ring of a centerless perfect Lie ring of arbitrary dimension is complete and that the holomorph of a centerless perfect Lie ring is complete if and only if its outer derivation ring is centerless.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17A36, 17A60, 20F28

Keywords: Derivation, complete Lie ring, holomorph of Lie ring

1 Introduction

A Lie ring is called *complete* if its center is zero, and all its derivations are inner. In [5], Liao Jun proved a theorem, the so-called *derivation tower theorem*, that the last term of the derivation tower of a centerless Lie ring is complete. It is also known that the holomorph of a Lie ring is complete. Suggested by the derivation tower theorem, complete Lie rings occur naturally in the study of Lie rings and would provide interesting objects for investigation. Robinson [8] presented some interesting examples of complete Lie rings.

It is known that a simple Lie ring is not always complete. In this case, a natural question is: *How many is the length of the derivation tower of a Lie ring?*

In this paper, we answer the above question. Precisely, we prove that the derivation ring of a *centerless perfect* Lie ring (i.e., a Lie ring \mathfrak{g} with zero center and $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$) of arbitrary dimension is complete, thus as a consequence, the length of the derivation tower of any simple Lie ring is ≤ 1 .

2 Preliminary Notes

First we recall the definitions of a derivation and the holomorph of a Lie ring \mathfrak{g} .

Definition 2.1 [5] *A derivation of a Lie ring \mathfrak{g} is an endomorphism $d : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ of the additive group of \mathfrak{g} such that*

$$d([x, y]) = [d(x), y] + [x, d(y)] \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.1)$$

We denote by $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ the vector space of derivations of \mathfrak{g} , which forms a Lie ring with respect to the commutator of endomorphism, called the derivation ring of \mathfrak{g} .

Clearly, the space $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g} = \{\text{ad }_x \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ of inner derivations is an ideal of $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$. We call $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}/\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$ the outer derivation ring of \mathfrak{g} .

Definition 2.2 [5] *The holomorph $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ of a Lie ring \mathfrak{g} is the direct sum of the vector spaces $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ with the following operations*

$$(x, d) + (y, e) = (x + y, d + e), \quad (2.2)$$

$$[(x, d), (y, e)] = ([x, y] + d(y) - e(x), [d, e]) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, d, e \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.3)$$

An element (x, d) of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ is also written as $x + d$.

Obviously, \mathfrak{g} is an ideal of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})/\mathfrak{g} \cong \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$. Thus we write

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \times \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.4)$$

For a Lie ring \mathfrak{g} , we denote by $C(\mathfrak{g})$ the center of \mathfrak{g} , i.e., $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, \mathfrak{g}] = 0\}$.

3 Main Results

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 3.1 *Let \mathfrak{g} be a perfect Lie ring with zero center. Then we have*

- (i) *The derivation ring $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ is complete.*
- (ii) *The holomorph $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ is complete if and only if the center of outer derivation ring is zero, i.e., $C(\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}/\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}) = 0$.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $d \in C(\text{Der } \mathfrak{g})$. Then in particular we have $[d, \text{ad }_x](y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Thus $d([x, y]) = [x, d(y)]$. Hence by (2.1), $[d(x), y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since \mathfrak{g} has zero center, we obtain $d(x) = 0$, i.e., $d = 0$. Therefore

$$C(\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Now we prove that all derivations of the Lie ring $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ are inner. First we have

Claim 1. Let $D \in \text{Der}(\text{Der } \mathfrak{g})$. If $D(\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}) = 0$, then $D = 0$.

Let $d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Note from (2.1) that in the Lie ring $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$[d, \text{ad } x] = \text{ad }_{d(x)} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{g}. \tag{3.2}$$

Using this, noting that $D(d) \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ and the fact that $D(\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad }_{D(d)(x)} &= [D(d), \text{ad } x] \\ &= D([d, \text{ad } x]) - [d, D(\text{ad } x)] \\ &= D(\text{ad }_{d(x)}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since \mathfrak{g} has zero center, (3.3) gives $D(d)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, which means that $D(d) = 0$ as a derivation of \mathfrak{g} . But d is arbitrary, we obtain $D = 0$. This proves the claim.

Since \mathfrak{g} is perfect, for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, we can write x as

$$x = \sum_{i \in I} [x_i, y_i] \quad \text{for some } x_i, y_i \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{3.4}$$

and for some finite index set I . Then

$$\text{ad } x = \sum_{i \in I} [\text{ad }_{x_i}, \text{ad }_{y_i}]. \tag{3.5}$$

Then for any $D \in \text{Der}(\text{Der } \mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\text{ad } x) &= \sum_{i \in I} D([\text{ad }_{x_i}, \text{ad }_{y_i}]) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} ([D(\text{ad }_{x_i}), \text{ad }_{y_i}] + [\text{ad }_{x_i}, D(\text{ad }_{y_i})]). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Let $d_i = D(\text{ad }_{x_i})$, $e_i = D(\text{ad }_{y_i}) \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$. Then by (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\text{ad } x) &= \sum_{i \in I} (\text{ad }_{d_i(y_i)} - \text{ad }_{e_i(x_i)}) \\ &= \text{ad }_{\sum_{i \in I} (d_i(y_i) - e_i(x_i))} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

This means that $D(\text{ad } x) = \text{ad }_y$ for some $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since $C(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, such y is unique. Thus $d : x \mapsto y$ defines an endomorphism of \mathfrak{g} such that

$$D(\text{ad } x) = \text{ad }_{d(x)}. \tag{3.8}$$

For $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{ad}_{d(x+y)} &= D(\operatorname{ad}_{x+y}) \\
 &= D(\operatorname{ad}_x + \operatorname{ad}_y) \\
 &= D(\operatorname{ad}_x) + D(\operatorname{ad}_y) \\
 &= \operatorname{ad}_{d(x)} + \operatorname{ad}_{d(y)} \\
 &= \operatorname{ad}_{d(x)+d(y)},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{ad}_{d([x,y])} &= D(\operatorname{ad}_{[x,y]}) \\
 &= D([\operatorname{ad}_x, \operatorname{ad}_y]) \\
 &= [D(\operatorname{ad}_x), \operatorname{ad}_y] + [\operatorname{ad}_x, D(\operatorname{ad}_y)] \\
 &= [\operatorname{ad}_{d(x)}, \operatorname{ad}_y] + [\operatorname{ad}_x, \operatorname{ad}_{d(y)}] \\
 &= \operatorname{ad}_{[d(x),y]+[x,d(y)]}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

This and the fact that $C(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ mean that $d(x+y) = d(x) + d(y)$ and $d([x,y]) = [d(x), y] + [x, d(y)]$, i.e., $d \in \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g}$. Then (2.8) gives that $D(\operatorname{ad}_x) = \operatorname{ad}_{d(x)} = [d, \operatorname{ad}_x]$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, i.e.,

$$(D - \operatorname{ad}_d)(\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

By Claim I, we have $D - \operatorname{ad}_d = 0$, i.e., $D = \operatorname{ad}_d$ is an inner derivation on $\operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g}$. This together with (3.1) proves Theorem 3.1(i).

(ii) “ \Leftarrow ”: First we prove the sufficiency. So suppose $C(\operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g}/\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0$. We want to prove $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ is complete.

First we prove $C(\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$. Suppose $h = x + d \in C(\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g}))$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $d \in \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g}$. Letting $y = 0$ in (2.3), we obtain $[d, e] = 0$ for all $e \in \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $d \in C(\operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g}) = 0$. Then $h = x \in C(\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})) \cap \mathfrak{g} \subset C(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, i.e., $h = 0$. Thus $C(\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$. Then as in the proof of (3.1), we have

$$C(\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g}))) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Now let $\mathcal{D} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g}))$. For any $x \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ written in the form (3.4), since \mathfrak{g} is an ideal of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}(x) = \sum_{i \in I} ([\mathcal{D}(x_i), y_i] + [x_i, \mathcal{D}(y_i)]) \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus $d' = \mathcal{D}|_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a derivation of \mathfrak{g} , i.e., $d' \in \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D} - \operatorname{ad}_{d'} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g}))$. Then $\mathcal{D}_1(x) = \mathcal{D}(x) - [d', x] = \mathcal{D}(x) - d'(x) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}_1|_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

For any $d \in \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$, by (2.4), we can write $\mathcal{D}_1(d) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ as

$$\mathcal{D}_1(d) = x_d + d_1 \quad \text{for some } x_d \in \mathfrak{g}, d_1 \in \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g}. \tag{3.15}$$

Then in $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$, by (2.3), for any $y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x_d, y] + d_1(y) &= [x_d + d_1, y] \\
 &= [\mathcal{D}_1(d), x_d] \\
 &= \mathcal{D}_1([d, x_d]) - [d, \mathcal{D}_1(x_d)] \\
 &= \mathcal{D}_1(d(x_d)) - [d, \mathcal{D}_1(x_d)] \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where the last equality follows from (3.14). This means that $d_1 = -\text{ad}_{x_d}$ and so $\mathcal{D}_1(d) = x_d - \text{ad}_{x_d}$. For $d, e \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{d+e} - \text{ad}_{x_{d+e}} &= \mathcal{D}_1((d + e)) \\
 &= \mathcal{D}_1(d) + \mathcal{D}_1(e) \\
 &= x_d - \text{ad}_{x_d} + x_e - \text{ad}_{x_e},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{[d,e]} - \text{ad}_{x_{[d,e]}} &= \mathcal{D}_1([d, e]) \\
 &= [\mathcal{D}_1(d), e] + [d, \mathcal{D}_1(e)] \\
 &= (-e(x_d) + d(x_e)) + ([-\text{ad}_{x_d}, e] + [d, -\text{ad}_{x_e}]),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where the last equality follows from (2.3). So

$$\text{ad}_{x_{d+e}} = \text{ad}_{x_d} + \text{ad}_{x_e}, \tag{3.19}$$

$$\text{ad}_{x_{[d,e]}} = [\text{ad}_{x_d}, e] + [d, \text{ad}_{x_e}], \tag{3.20}$$

i.e., the endomorphism $D : \text{Der } \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ define by

$$D(d) = \text{ad}_{x_d} \quad \text{for } d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}, \tag{3.21}$$

is a derivation of $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ is complete, there exists $d'' \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$D = \text{ad}_{d''}. \tag{3.22}$$

For any $d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$[d'', d] = D(d) = \text{ad}_{x_d} \in \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \tag{3.23}$$

i.e., $d'' + \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \in C(\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}/\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0$. Thus $d'' \in \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Therefore there exists $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$d'' = \text{ad}_y. \tag{3.24}$$

Note that $y - \text{ad}_y \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\mathcal{D}_2 = \mathcal{D}_1 - \text{ad}_{y - \text{ad}_y}$. Then for any $x \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{D}_2(x) &= \mathcal{D}_1(x) - [y - \text{ad}_y, x] \\
 &= -[y, x] + \text{ad}_y(x) \\
 &= -[y, x] + [y, x] \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where the second equality follows from (3.14) and (2.3), and for any $d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_2(d) &= \mathcal{D}_1(d) - [y - \text{ad}_y, d] \\ &= (x_d - \text{ad}_{x_d}) - (-d(y) - [\text{ad}_y, d]) \\ &= (x_d + d(y)) - (\text{ad}_{x_d} - [\text{ad}_y, d]) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

because $-\text{ad}_{d(y)} = [\text{ad}_y, d] = [d'', d] = \text{ad}_{x_d}$ by (3.23) and (3.24), and $x_d = -d(y)$ (since \mathfrak{g} has zero center). Thus (3.25) and (3.26) show that $\mathcal{D}_2 = 0$ and so \mathcal{D} is inner. This and (3.12) prove that $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ is complete.

“ \implies ”: Now we prove the necessity. So assume that $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ is complete. Suppose conversely $C(\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}/\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$. Then there exists $D \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$D \notin \text{ad } \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{but} \quad [D, \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}] \subset \text{ad } \mathfrak{g}. \tag{3.27}$$

Then for any $d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$, there exists $x_d \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[D, d] = \text{ad}_{x_d}$. Such x_d is unique since $C(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$. Using the facts that $[D, d + e] = [D, d] + [D, e]$ and $[D, [d, e]] = [[D, d], e] + [d, [D, e]]$, we obtain

$$x_{d+e} = x_d + x_e, \tag{3.28}$$

$$x_{[d,e]} = -e(x_d) + d(x_e) \quad \text{for} \quad d, e \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}. \tag{3.29}$$

We define an endomorphism $\mathcal{D} : \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ as follows: $\mathcal{D}|_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$, and for $d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$, we define

$$\mathcal{D}(d) = x_d - \text{ad}_{x_d} \in (\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{3.30}$$

From this definition, we have

$$[\mathcal{D}(d), x] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g}), d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{3.31}$$

Then for any $h = x + d, h' = y + e \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$, by (2.3) and the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(h + h') &= \mathcal{D}(d + e) \\ &= x_{d+e} - \text{ad}_{x_{d+e}} \\ &= x_d + x_e - \text{ad}_{x_d} - \text{ad}_{x_e} \\ &= x_d - \text{ad}_{x_d} + x_e - \text{ad}_{x_e} \\ &= \mathcal{D}(d) + \mathcal{D}(e) \\ &= \mathcal{D}(h) + \mathcal{D}(h'), \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{D}([h, h']) &= \mathcal{D}([d, e]) \\
 &= x_{[d,e]} - \text{ad}_{x_{[d,e]}} \\
 &= (-e(x_d) + d(x_e)) - ([\text{ad}_{x_d}, e] + [d, \text{ad}_{x_e}]) \\
 &= (-e(x_d) - [\text{ad}_{x_d}, e]) + (d(x_e) + [d, \text{ad}_{x_e}]) \\
 &= [\mathcal{D}(d), e] + [d, \mathcal{D}(e)] \\
 &= [\mathcal{D}(h), h'] + [h, \mathcal{D}(h')].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Thus \mathcal{D} is a derivation of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ is complete, \mathcal{D} is inner, therefore, there exists $h = y + e \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\mathcal{D} = \text{ad}_h$. For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, since $\mathcal{D}|_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \mathcal{D}(x) \\
 &= [h, x] \\
 &= [y, x] + e(x),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

i.e., $e = -\text{ad}_y$. Then by (3.30),

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_d - \text{ad}_{x_d} &= \mathcal{D}(d) \\
 &= [h, d] \\
 &= -d(y) - [\text{ad}_y, d] \\
 &= -d(y) + \text{ad}_{d(y)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Hence $\text{ad}_{x_d} = -\text{ad}_{d(y)}$. Then for any $d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 [D, d] &= \text{ad}_{x_d} \\
 &= -\text{ad}_{d(y)} \\
 &= [\text{ad}_y, d].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Since $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ has zero center, (3.36) implies that $D = \text{ad}_y \in \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, a contradiction with (3.27). Thus $C(\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}/\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0$, and the proof of Theorem 3.1(ii) is complete. □

Example 3.2. An $n \times n$ matrix $q = (q_{ij})$ over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0 such that

$$q_{ii} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q_{ji} = q_{ij}^{-1}, \tag{3.37}$$

is called a *quantum matrix*. The *quantum torus*

$$\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_q[t_1^{\pm}, \dots, t_n^{\pm}], \tag{3.38}$$

determined by a quantum matrix q is defined with $2n$ generators $t_1^{\pm}, \dots, t_n^{\pm}$, and relations

$$t_i t_i^{-1} = t_i^{-1} t_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad t_j t_i = q_{ij} t_i t_j, \tag{3.39}$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

For any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we denote $t^a = t_1^{a_1} \dots t_n^{a_n}$. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we define

$$\sigma(a, b) = \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} q_{j,i}^{a_j b_i} \quad \text{and} \quad f(a, b) = \prod_{i,j=1}^n q_{j,i}^{a_j b_i}. \tag{3.40}$$

Then we have

$$t^a t^b = \sigma(a, b) t^{a+b}, \quad t^a t^b = f(a, b) t^b t^a \quad \text{and} \quad f(a, b) = \sigma(a, b) \sigma(b, a)^{-1}. \tag{3.41}$$

Note that the commutator of monomials in \mathbb{F}_q satisfies

$$[t^a, t^b] = (\sigma(a, b) - \sigma(b, a)) t^{a+b} = \sigma(b, a) (f(a, b) - 1) t^{a+b}, \tag{3.42}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Define the *radical* of f , denoted by $\text{rad}(f)$, by

$$\text{rad}(f) = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid f(a, b) = 1 \text{ for all } b \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}. \tag{3.43}$$

It is clear from (3.40) that $\text{rad}(f)$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n . The center of \mathbb{F}_q is $C(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{a \in \text{rad}(f)} \mathbb{F} t^a$ and the Lie ring \mathbb{F}_q has the ideals decomposition

$$\mathbb{F}_q = C(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus [\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_q]. \tag{3.44}$$

By (3.44), we obtain that the Lie ring $[\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_q] \cong \mathbb{F}_q / C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is perfect and has zero center. From Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let \mathbb{F}_q be a quantum torus as above. Then the derivation ring $\text{Der}([\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_q])$ of $[\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_q]$ is complete.*

Example 3.4. A Lie ring \mathfrak{g} is called a *symmetric self-dual Lie ring* if \mathfrak{g} is endowed with a nondegenerate invariant symmetric inner product B . For any subspace V of \mathfrak{g} , we define $V^\perp = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid B(x, y) = 0, \forall y \in V\}$. Then we can easily check that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp = C(\mathfrak{g})$, where $C(\mathfrak{g})$ is the center of \mathfrak{g} . Then we have

Corollary 3.5. *Assume that \mathfrak{g} is a symmetric self-dual Lie ring with zero center. Then the Lie ring $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ is complete.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author thanks Professor Liangyun Chen for him helpful comments and suggestions. I also gives our special thanks to referees for many helpful suggestions.

References

[1] Benayadi, S., The perfect Lie algebras without center and outer derivations, *Annals de la Faculte des Sciences de Toulouse*, (1996), 203-231.

[2] Khukhro, E., Nilpotent Group and their automorphism, de Gruyter Exposition in Mathematics, Vol 8, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1994.

- [3] Khukhro, E., *p*-Automorphisms of Finite *p*-Groups, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol 246, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [4] Liao, J., Liu, H., A Krull-Schmit theorem for Lie ring, *Acta Math Sci*, 29A:2, (2009), 399-405.
- [5] Liao, J., Zheng, G., Liu, H., Towers of derivation for Lie rings and some results on complete Lie rings, *Acta Math Sci*, 30B:5, (2010), 1769-1775.
- [6] Meng, D., Some results on complete Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, 22, (1994), 5457-5507.
- [7] Meng, D., Wang, S., On the construction of complete Lie algebras, *J. Algebra*, 176, (1995), 621-637.
- [8] Robinson, D., *A course in the Theory of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol 80, 2nd ed, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [9] Su, Y., Zhu, L., Derivation Algebras of Centerless Perfect Lie Algebras Are Complete, *J. Algebra*, 285, (2005), 508-515.
- [10] Zhu, L., Meng, D., A class of Lie algebras with a symmetric invariant non-degenerate bilinear form, *Acta Math. Sinica*, 43, (2000), 1119-1126.

Received: July, 2016