OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Advances in Medical Ethics

Commentary

Cultural Perspectives on End-of-Life Decision-Making in Multicultural Societies: Navigating Diversity with Compassion and Respect

Sayaka Mori*

Department of Global Bioethics, Kyoto Center for Health Policy and Ethics, Kyoto, Japan

DESCRIPTION

In an increasingly globalized world, multicultural societies face profound ethical and practical challenges in addressing End of Life (EOL) care. While medical technologies continue to prolong life, they also complicate the ethical terrain surrounding death and dying. End-of-life decision-making is not merely a medical or legal process; it is deeply influenced by cultural, religious, and familial values. A one-size-fits-all model of care, rooted in Western bioethics, may fail to resonate with the diverse perspectives found in pluralistic societies. Understanding and respecting these cultural differences is critical to delivering compassionate and ethically sound care.

The cultural contours of autonomy and consent

In Western liberal traditions, the principle of autonomy is foundational patients are expected to make informed, individual choices about their care. However, in many cultures, decision making is communal rather than individual. For instance, in several Asian, African, and Latin American societies, families play a central role in EOL decisions. The concept of "relational autonomy" better captures the reality in such contexts, where elders, religious leaders, or the extended family may influence, or even take precedence over, the patient's expressed wishes.

This divergence can lead to ethical tensions. Should healthcare providers honor the patient's individual autonomy at the expense of cultural norms? Or should they defer to the family's collective decision-making, possibly overriding the patient's voice? Culturally competent care must navigate this fine balance with sensitivity and ethical clarity.

Spiritual and religious considerations

Beliefs about death, suffering, and the afterlife profoundly shape attitudes toward EOL care. For example, some Hindu and Buddhist traditions may view suffering as karmic and redemptive, discouraging aggressive pain relief or life-ending interventions. In contrast, Islamic and Christian perspectives often emphasize the sanctity of life but may differ in how much medical intervention is appropriate when death is imminent. These beliefs influence decisions regarding palliative sedation, withdrawal of life support, Do not Resuscitate (DNR) orders, and physician-assisted dying. In some cultures, openly discussing death is seen as taboo or as hastening the process, complicating efforts toward advance care planning. Religious values may conflict with medical assessments of futility, creating distress for families and healthcare teams alike.

Communication barriers and mistrust

Language differences, historical marginalization, and mistrust of healthcare institutions can further complicate EOL decision-making in multicultural settings. Immigrant communities, for example, may fear discrimination or may not fully understand the legal and ethical frameworks that guide medical decisions in their host countries. Miscommunication about prognosis or options can result in either over-treatment or perceived abandonment. Culturally appropriate interpreters and community liaisons can play a critical role in bridging these gaps. More importantly, building long-term trust through culturally sensitive engagement is essential for equitable and effective EOL care.

Toward inclusive policies and practice: Healthcare systems must recognize that cultural humility not just cultural competence is essential. Providers should be trained to approach each patient and family with curiosity and respect, recognizing that cultural identities are not monolithic but fluid and individualized.

Hospitals and clinics can adopt practices such as:

- Incorporating cultural assessments into EOL planning.
- Facilitating family meetings with culturally informed mediators.
- Creating space for spiritual practices within care settings.
- Establishing ethics committees that reflect the cultural diversity of the population served.

Moreover, legal frameworks and institutional policies should allow for a degree of flexibility, permitting culturally appropriate variations in decision-making while still upholding core ethical principles of informed consent, non-maleficence, and dignity.

Correspondence to: Department of Global Bioethics, Kyoto Center for Health Policy and Ethics, Kyoto, Japan, E-mail: smori@gchpe.jp

Received: 03-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. LDAME-25-37884; **Editor assigned:** 06-Mar-2025, PreQC No. LDAME-25-37884 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 20-Mar-2025, QC No. LDAME-25-37884; **Revised:** 27-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. LDAME-25-37884 (R); **Published:** 03-Apr-2025. DOI: 10.35248/2161-0517.25.11.142

Citation: Mori S (2025). Cultural Perspectives on End-of-Life Decision-Making in Multicultural Societies: Navigating Diversity with Compassion and Respect. Adv Med Ethics.11:142.

CONCLUSION

End-of-life care is as much about human dignity as it is about medical decisions. In multicultural societies, honoring diverse cultural perspectives on dying requires humility, empathy, and institutional commitment to equity. Rather than enforcing uniform standards, healthcare systems must evolve to embrace pluralism, creating space for multiple ways of understanding what it means to die well. By integrating cultural sensitivity into EOL policies and practice, we move closer to a model of care that is not only clinically sound but also morally inclusive and deeply humane.