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## 1 Introduction

Let us consider the following system of powers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{A^{+}(t) \omega^{+}(t) \varphi^{n}(t) ; A^{-}(t) \omega^{-}(t) \bar{\varphi}^{n}(t)\right\}_{n \geq 0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{ \pm}(t) \equiv\left|A^{ \pm}(t)\right| e^{i a^{ \pm}(t)}$ and $\varphi(t)$ are complex-valued functions on $[a, b]$ with the degenerate coefficients $\omega^{ \pm}(\cdot)$ :

$$
\omega^{ \pm}(t) \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{\tilde{r}^{ \pm}}\left|t-\tilde{t}_{k}^{ \pm}\right|^{\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{ \pm}},\left\{\tilde{t}_{k}^{ \pm}\right\}_{1}^{\tilde{r}^{ \pm}} \subset[a, b) .
$$

Basis properties (completeness, minimality, basicity) of the systems like (1) have been previously studied by many mathematicians (see, e.g., [1-4;11-13]). These systems are the natural generalizations of the classical exponential systems. For $\varphi(t) \equiv e^{i t}$, the basicity (including completeness and minimality) of the system (1) in $L_{p}(-\pi, \pi)$ was studied in [4]. In case of no degeneration, the necessary and sufficient condition for the completeness and minimality of the system (1) in $L_{p}(a, b)$ was found in [3].

In this work, we present the completeness criterion for the system (1) in the weighted space $L_{p, \rho} \equiv L_{p, \rho}(a, b), 1<p<+\infty$, with the weight function $\rho$ : $[a, b] \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$. Let us note that in unweighted case this question previously were studied in [14].

## 2 Assumptions and necessary information

We make the following assumptions

1) $\left[A^{+}(t)\right]^{ \pm 1} ;\left[A^{-}(t)\right]^{ \pm 1} ;\left[\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right]^{ \pm 1} \in L_{\infty}$;
2) $\Gamma=\varphi\{[a, b]\}$ is a simple closed $(\varphi(a)=\varphi(b))$ rectifiable Jordan curve. $\Gamma$ is either a Radon curve (i.e. the angle $\theta_{0}(\varphi(t))$ between the tangent line to $\Gamma$ at the point $\varphi=\varphi(t)$ and the real axis is a function of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ ), or a piecewise Lyapunov curve. $\Gamma$ has a finite number of corner points and no cusps. Denote by $\varphi_{k}$ the points of discontinuity of the function $\arg \varphi^{\prime}(t)$ on $[a, b], k=\overline{1, r}$.

For definiteness, we will assume that when the point $\varphi=\varphi(t)$ moves across the curve $\Gamma$ as $t$ increases, the internal domain $D \equiv \operatorname{int} \Gamma$ stays on the left side.

We define the function $\arg \varphi^{\prime}(t)$ as follows. At the every initial point $\varphi_{k}$ we define the branch $\arg \varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{k}+0\right)$, where $\left(\varphi_{k}, \varphi_{k+1}\right)$ is the interval of continuity of the function $\arg \varphi^{\prime}(t)$. And, at the endpoint $\varphi_{k+1}$ the value $\arg \varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{k+1}-0\right)$ is obtained from the chosen branch $\arg \varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{k}+0\right)$ by continuously changing $\arg \varphi^{\prime}(t)$. Without loss of generality, we define the values $\arg \varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{k}+0\right)$ at the points $\varphi_{k}$ by the following conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq \arg \varphi^{\prime}(a+0)<2 \pi \\
\left|\arg \varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{k}+0\right)-\arg \left(\varphi_{k}-0\right)\right|<\pi .
\end{gathered}
$$

We will need weighted Sobolev classes and the Riemann problem in them.
Let $E_{1}(D)$ be a usual Smirnov class and $\nu(\tau), \tau \in \Gamma$, be some weight function. Denote

$$
E_{p, \nu}(D) \equiv\left\{f \in E_{1}(D): \int_{\Gamma}\left|f^{+}(\tau)\right|^{p} \nu(\tau)|d \tau|<+\infty\right\}
$$

where $f^{+}(\tau)$ 's are non-tangential boundary values of the function $f(z)$ on $\Gamma$.

Consider the following conjugation problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{+}(\tau)+G(\tau) \overline{F_{2}^{+}(\tau)}=g(\tau), \tau \in \Gamma \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(\tau) \in L_{p, \nu}(\Gamma)$ is the right-hand side, $G(\tau)$ is the coefficient of the problem, and $L_{p, \nu}(\Gamma)$ is a weighted Lebesgue class equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{p, \nu}=\left(\int_{\Gamma}|f(\tau)|^{p} \nu(\tau)|d \tau|\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

We seek a pair of analytic functions in $D$ :

$$
\left(F_{1}(z) ; F_{2}(z)\right): F_{i} \in E_{p, \nu}(D), i=1,2,
$$

whose non-tangential boundary values satisfy the equality (2) almost everywhere on $\Gamma$.

It should be noted that the Riemann problem in the Smirnov classes $E_{p}(D)$ has been studied in detail by I.I. Danilyuk [5]. Generally, in case of no weight the problem (2) can be reduced to the Riemann problem by means of a conformal mapping. But this is not necessary for accomplishing our goals in this paper. We will take a different way. Namely, we will use a lemma that can be proved similar to [6].

Lemma 2.1 Let $\rho:[a, b] \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ be some weight function, the functions $A^{ \pm}(t), \varphi(t)$ and curve $\Gamma$ satisfy the conditions 1), 2). If $\omega^{ \pm} \in L_{p, \rho}, p \in$ $(1,+\infty)$, then the system (1) is complete in $L_{p, \rho}$ only when the homogeneous conjugation problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{+}(\tau)-G(\tau) \overline{F_{1}^{+}(\tau)}=0, \tau \in \Gamma, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only the trivial solution in the classes $E_{q, \rho^{ \pm}}(D): F_{1} \in E_{q, \rho^{ \pm}}(D) ; F_{2} \in$ $E_{q, \rho^{-}}(D), \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, where $\rho^{ \pm}(\varphi(t)) \equiv\left|\omega^{ \pm}(t)\right|^{-q} \rho^{1-q}(t)$, and the coefficient $G(\tau)$ is defined as

$$
G(\varphi(t))=\frac{A^{+}(t) \omega^{+}(t) \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(t)}{A^{-}(t) \omega^{-}(t) \varphi^{\prime}(t)}, t \in(a, b) .
$$

## 3 Main Results

We will study the trivial solvability of the conjugation problem (3) in the classes $E_{q, \rho^{ \pm}}(D)$.

Denote by $z=\omega(\xi), \omega^{\prime}(0)>0, \omega(-\pi)=\varphi(a)$, the function that performs the univalent conformal mapping of the unit circle $\{\xi:|\xi|<1\}$ onto the domain. Introduce the following analytic functions in the unit circle

$$
\Phi_{i}(\xi) \equiv F_{i}[\omega(\xi)] \omega^{\prime}(\xi), \quad i=1,2 .
$$

As is known, $F_{i}$ belongs to the class $E_{1}(D)$ only when $\Phi_{i}$ belongs to $H_{1}$, where $H_{1}$ is a usual Hardy class. Let $F_{i} \in E_{p, \nu}(D)$, i.e. $F_{i} \in E_{1}(D)$ and $F_{i}^{+} \in L_{p, \nu}(\Gamma)$. Obviously, $\Phi_{i} \in H_{1}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Gamma}\left|F_{i}^{+}(\tau)\right|^{p} \nu(\tau)|d \tau|=\int_{|\xi|=1}\left|F_{i}^{+}[\omega(\xi)]\right|^{p} \nu[\omega(\xi)]\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right||d \xi|= \\
= & \int_{|\xi|=1}\left|\Phi_{i}^{+}(\xi)\right|^{p} \frac{\nu[\omega(\xi)]}{\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{p-1}}|d \xi|=\int_{|\xi|=1}\left|\Phi_{i}^{+}(\xi)\right|^{p} \mu(\xi)|d \xi|<+\infty . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $\Phi_{i} \in H_{p, \mu}$, where

$$
\mu(\xi) \equiv \frac{\nu[\omega(\xi)]}{\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{p-1}}
$$

and the weighted class $H_{p, \mu}$ is defined by the norm

$$
H_{p, \mu} \equiv\left\{\Phi \in H_{1}: \int_{|\xi|=1}\left|\Phi^{+}(\xi)\right|^{p} \mu(\xi)|d \xi|<+\infty\right\}
$$

Thus, if $F_{i} \in E_{p, \nu}(D)$, then $\Phi_{i} \in H_{p, \mu}$. It follows immediately from (4) that the contrary is also true, i.e. if $\Phi_{i} \in H_{p, \mu}$, then $F_{i} \in E_{p, \nu}(D)$. Consequently, $F_{i} \in E_{p, \nu}(D)$ only when $\Phi_{i} \in H_{p, \mu}$. Based on this conclusion, from (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}^{+}(\xi)-D(\xi) \overline{\Phi_{2}^{+}(\xi)}=0,|\xi|=1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D(\xi)=G(\omega(\xi))$.
So we get the validity of the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1 The homogeneous problem (3) is trivially solvable in the class $E_{q, \rho^{+}}(D) \times E_{q, \rho^{-}}(D)$ (i.e. $F_{1} \in E_{q, \rho^{+}}(D), F_{2} \in E_{q, \rho^{-}}(D)$ ) only when the problem (5) is trivially solvable in the class $H_{q, \mu^{+}} \times H_{q, \mu^{-}}$(i.e. $\Phi_{1} \in H_{q, \mu^{+}}$; $\left.\Phi_{2} \in H_{q, \mu^{-}}\right)$, where $\mu^{ \pm}(\xi)=\frac{\rho^{ \pm}[\omega(\xi)]}{\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{-1-1}}$.

Now we will proceed with the solving of the problem (5). Denote by $\xi=$ $\omega_{-1}(z)$ the inverse function of $z=\omega(\xi)$ that performs a univalent conformal mapping of the domain $D$ onto the unit circle. Let $\xi_{k}=\omega_{-1}\left[\varphi_{k}\right], k=\overline{1, r}$; where $\varphi_{k}$ is a corner point of the curve $\Gamma \backslash\{\varphi(a)\}$. It is known that $\omega^{\prime}(\xi)$ is discontinuous at the points $\xi_{k}$, and the following relations are true in the neighborhood of these points (see, e.g., [8]):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \sim\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right|^{\nu_{k}-1}, \xi \rightarrow \xi_{k} \\
\left(|\varphi(\xi)| \sim|\psi(\xi)| \Leftrightarrow 0<\delta \leq \frac{|\varphi(\xi)|}{|\psi(\xi)|} \leq \delta^{-1}<+\infty, \delta>0\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\nu_{k} \pi$ are the internal angles of the curve $\Gamma$ at the points $\varphi\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \sim \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right|^{\nu_{k}-1},|\xi|=1
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}^{+}(t) \equiv A^{+}(t) \overline{\varphi^{\prime}(t)} ; A_{1}^{-}(t) \equiv A^{-}(t) \varphi^{\prime}(t) \\
\tilde{A}(\xi) \equiv \xi^{-1} A_{1}^{+}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right] \frac{\omega^{+}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]}{\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{-\frac{1}{p}}} ; \\
\tilde{B}(\xi) \equiv \xi^{-1} A_{1}^{-}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right] \frac{\omega^{-}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]}{\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{-\frac{1}{p}}} \overline{\omega^{\prime}(\xi)} \\
\omega^{\prime}(\xi)
\end{gathered},
$$

where $\varphi_{-1}: \Gamma \backslash\{\varphi(a)\} \rightarrow(a, b)$ is the inverse function of $\varphi=\varphi(t)$. Consider the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\tilde{A}\left(e^{i x}\right) e^{i n x} ; \tilde{B}\left(e^{i x}\right) e^{-i n x}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Absolutely similar to Lemma 2.1, we can prove the validity of the following one.

Lemma 3.2 System (6) is complete in $L_{p}(-\pi, \pi)$ only when the homogeneous conjugation problem (5) has only the trivial solution in the classes $H_{q, \mu^{+}} \times H_{q, \mu^{-}}$.

In fact, assuming the existence of the function $f \in L_{q}(-\pi, \pi)$ that annihilates the system (6), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{A}\left(e^{i x}\right) e^{i n x} \overline{f(x)} d x=0 \\
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{B}\left(e^{i x}\right) e^{-i n x} \overline{f(x)} d x=0, \forall n \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

From the first equality above we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{A}\left(e^{i x}\right) e^{-i x} \overline{f(x)} e^{i n x} d e^{i x}=\int_{|\xi|=1} \tilde{A}(\xi) \bar{\xi} \overline{f(\arg \xi)} \xi^{n} d \xi= \\
=\int_{|\xi|=1} f_{1}(\xi) \xi^{n} d \xi=0 \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
f_{1}(\xi)=\tilde{A}(\xi) \bar{\xi} \overline{f(\arg \xi)} .
$$

It follows from our assumptions that $f_{1} \in L_{1}(\gamma)$, where $\gamma \equiv\{\xi:|\xi|=1\}$. It is known (see, e.g., [7]) that the equalities (7) are equivalent to the existence of $\Phi_{1} \in H_{1}: \Phi_{1}^{+}(\xi)=f_{1}(\xi)$ a.e. on $\gamma$. Thus, we have

$$
\Phi_{1}^{+}(\xi)=\tilde{A}(\xi) \bar{\xi} \overline{f(\arg \xi)}=A_{1}^{+}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right] \frac{\omega^{-}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]}{\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{-\frac{1}{p}}} \overline{f(\arg \xi)} .
$$

From the last relation we immediately obtain that

$$
\frac{\Phi_{1}^{+}(\xi)}{\omega^{+}\left[\varphi \varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{-\frac{1}{p}}} \in L_{q}(\gamma) \text {, i.e. } \Phi_{1} \in H_{q, \mu^{+}} \text {. }
$$

In a similar way, we can establish that

$$
\exists \Phi_{2} \in H_{q, \mu^{-}}: \Phi_{2}^{+}(\xi)=\overline{\tilde{B}}(\xi) \bar{\xi} f(\arg \xi) \text { a.e. on } \gamma .
$$

Consequently

$$
\overline{f(\arg \xi)}=\frac{\overline{\Phi_{2}^{+}(\xi)}}{\tilde{B}(\xi) \xi}=\frac{\overline{\Phi_{2}^{+}(\xi)}}{A_{1}^{-}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right] \frac{\left.\omega^{-}-\varphi \varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right] \overline{\omega^{\prime}(\xi)}}{\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{-\frac{1}{p}}}} \equiv g(\xi) .
$$

From the above relations we obtain

$$
\frac{\Phi_{1}^{+}(\xi)}{A^{+}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right] \frac{\omega^{+}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi)]\right]}{\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{-\frac{1}{p}}}}=g(\xi),
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \Phi_{1}^{+}(\xi)-\frac{A_{1}^{+}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right] \omega^{+}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]}{A_{1}^{-}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right] \omega^{-}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]} \overline{\omega^{\prime}(\xi)} \overline{\omega^{\prime}(\xi)} \overline{\Phi_{2}^{+}(\xi)}=0 ; \\
& \Phi_{1}^{+}(\xi)-D(\xi) \overline{\Phi_{2}^{+}(\xi)}=0 \text { a.e. on } \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get the relation (5). The contrary can be proved in a similar way as Lemma 2.1.

So the following theorem is true.
Theorem 3.3 Let the conditions 1), 2) be satisfied and $\omega^{ \pm} \in L_{p, \rho}, p \in$ $(1,+\infty)$. The system (1) is complete in $L_{p, \rho}(a, b)$ only when the system (6) is complete in $L_{p}(-\pi, \pi)$.

In the sequel, we will use the results of [9;10]. First, we make some additional assumptions.

We assume that the weight $\rho(\cdot)$ has the power form

$$
\rho(t)=\prod_{k=1}^{l}\left|t-\tau_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k}},
$$

where $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{1}^{l} \subset[a, b)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}_{1}^{l} \subset R$. Let's represent the product $\omega^{ \pm} \rho^{\frac{1}{p}}$ in the following form

$$
\omega^{ \pm}(t) \rho^{\frac{1}{p}}(t)=\prod_{k=1}^{r^{ \pm}}\left|t-t_{k}^{ \pm}\right|^{\beta_{k}^{ \pm}} .
$$

3) $\alpha^{ \pm}(t)$ 's are piecewise Hölder on $[-\pi, \pi] ;\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n,}$ s are the points of discontinuity of the function $\theta(t) \equiv \alpha^{-}(t)-\alpha^{+}(t)$, and $\alpha_{i}=\omega_{-1}\left[\varphi\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right]$.

$$
\text { 4) }-\frac{1}{p}<\beta_{k}^{ \pm}<\frac{1}{q}, k=\overline{1, r^{ \pm}} .
$$

Let $\xi_{k}=\omega_{-1}\left[\varphi\left(\varphi_{k}\right)\right], k=\overline{1, r} ; \xi_{k}^{ \pm}=\omega_{-1}\left[\varphi\left(t_{k}^{ \pm}\right)\right], k=\overline{1, r^{ \pm}}$. Assume

$$
\left\{\sigma_{k}\right\}_{1}^{m} \equiv\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n} \bigcup\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}_{1}^{r} \bigcup\left\{\xi_{k}^{+}\right\}_{1}^{r^{+}} \bigcup\left\{\xi_{k}^{-}\right\}_{1}^{r^{-}}: \sigma_{1}<\sigma_{2}<\ldots<\sigma_{m}
$$

To apply the results of [9; 10], we need to represent the functions $\tilde{A}(\xi)$ and $\tilde{B}(\xi)$ in the forms they have been considered in the above-mentioned works. Let $t=\varphi_{-1}[\omega(\xi)],|\xi|=1$. We have

$$
\left|t-t_{k}^{ \pm}\right|=\left|\varphi_{-1}[\omega(\xi)]-\varphi_{-1}\left[\omega\left(\xi_{k}^{ \pm}\right)\right]\right| .
$$

It follows from the condition 1) that

$$
\left|\varphi_{-1}[\omega(\xi)]-\varphi_{-1}\left[\omega\left(\xi_{k}^{ \pm}\right)\right]\right| \sim\left|\omega(\xi)-\omega\left(\xi_{k}^{ \pm}\right)\right| .
$$

Moreover (see, e.g., [8, p. 25]), the following relation is true

$$
\left|\omega(\xi)-\omega\left(\xi_{k}^{ \pm}\right)\right| \sim\left|\xi-\xi_{k}^{ \pm}\right|^{\nu_{k}^{ \pm}}
$$

where $\nu_{k}^{ \pm} \pi$ is the internal angle of the curve $\Gamma$ at the point $\omega\left(\xi_{k}^{ \pm}\right)$. In particular, if $\omega\left(\xi_{k}^{ \pm}\right)$is the point of smoothness of $\Gamma$, then $\nu_{k}^{ \pm}=1$. Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|t-t_{k}^{ \pm}\right| \sim\left|\xi-\xi_{k}^{ \pm}\right|^{\nu_{k}^{ \pm}} \\
\prod_{k=1}^{r^{ \pm}}\left|t-t_{k}^{ \pm}\right|^{\beta_{k}^{ \pm}} \sim \prod_{k=1}^{r^{ \pm}}\left|\xi-\xi_{k}^{ \pm}\right|^{\beta_{k}^{ \pm} \nu_{k}^{ \pm}} \equiv \tilde{\omega}^{ \pm}(\xi) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let

$$
\tilde{A}^{+}(\xi) \equiv \xi A_{1}^{+} \varphi_{-1}[\omega(\xi)] ; \tilde{A}^{-}(\xi) \equiv \frac{\overline{\omega^{\prime}(\xi)}}{\omega^{\prime}(\xi)} A_{1}^{-}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right],|\xi|=1
$$

Denote

$$
\nu^{ \pm}(\xi) \equiv \tilde{\omega}^{ \pm}(\xi)\left|\omega^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{\frac{1}{p}},|\xi|=1 .
$$

Consider the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\tilde{A}^{+}\left(e^{i x}\right) \nu^{+}\left(e^{i x}\right) e^{i n x} ; \tilde{A}^{-}\left(e^{i x}\right) \nu^{-}\left(e^{i x}\right) e^{-i(n+1) x}\right\}_{n \geq 0} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To make it easier, we introduce some notations. Let $\tilde{\theta}(\arg \xi) \equiv \arg \tilde{A}^{-}(\xi)-$ $\arg \tilde{A}^{+}(\xi)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\theta}(\arg \xi)=-2 \arg \omega^{\prime}(\xi)+\alpha^{-}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]+ \\
+\arg \varphi^{\prime}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]-\left[\arg \xi+\alpha^{+}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]\right]- \\
-\arg \varphi^{\prime}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]=\alpha^{-}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]-\alpha^{+}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right]+ \\
+\arg \omega^{\prime}(\xi)+\arg \varphi^{\prime}\left[\varphi_{-1}(\omega(\xi))\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

According to the results of [5], the function $\arg \omega^{\prime}(\xi)$ can be represented in the following form

$$
\arg \omega^{\prime}\left(e^{i \sigma}\right)=\theta(s(\theta))-\sigma-\frac{\pi}{2},-\pi<\sigma \leq \pi,
$$

where $\theta(s(\theta))$ is the angle between the tangent line to $\Gamma$ at the point $\omega\left(e^{i \sigma}\right)$ and the real axis; $s(\sigma)$ is the arc distance between the points $\varphi=\varphi(a)$ and $\omega\left(e^{i \sigma}\right),-\pi<\sigma \leq \pi$, in the positive direction. Therefore, the points of discontinuity for the function $\arg \omega^{\prime}(\xi)$ are $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{1}^{r}$ 's. It is not difficult to see that the system (6) is complete in $L_{p}(-\pi, \pi)$ only when the system (8) is complete in $L_{p}(-\pi, \pi)$. Let

$$
\left\{\sigma_{k}^{ \pm}\right\}_{1}^{m^{ \pm}} \equiv\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}_{1}^{n} \bigcup\left\{\xi_{k}^{ \pm}\right\}_{1}^{r^{ \pm}}
$$

We need the following set function

$$
\chi(A) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, A \neq \emptyset \\
0, A=\emptyset
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\Omega_{k}\left(\Omega_{k}^{ \pm}\right)$be a set with one element $\sigma_{k}\left(\sigma_{k}^{ \pm}\right)$, i.e. $\Omega_{k} \equiv\left\{\sigma_{k}\right\}\left(\Omega_{k}^{ \pm} \equiv\left\{\sigma_{k}^{ \pm}\right\}\right)$. $\left\{\sigma_{k}^{ \pm}\right\}$'s are the points of degeneration of the functions $\nu^{ \pm}(\xi)$, respectively. The orders of degeneracy at these points are defined by the following relations

$$
\alpha_{k}^{ \pm} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r^{ \pm}} \beta_{i}^{ \pm} \nu_{i}^{ \pm} \chi\left(\Omega_{k}^{ \pm} \bigcap\left\{\xi_{i}^{ \pm}\right\}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\nu_{i}-1}{p} \chi\left(\Omega_{k}^{ \pm} \bigcap\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}\right),
$$

where $\{\xi\}$ is a set with one element $\xi$.
It is absolutely clear that the points of discontinuity of the function on $\gamma \backslash\{-1\}$ are $\left\{\sigma_{k}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ 's. Denote by $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ the jumps at these points

$$
h_{k}=\tilde{\theta}\left(\arg \sigma_{k}+0\right)-\tilde{\theta}\left(\arg \sigma_{k}-0\right), k=\overline{1, m} .
$$

Introduce the following correspondences

$$
\sigma_{k}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \alpha_{k}^{ \pm} ; \sigma_{k} \rightarrow \frac{h_{k}}{2 \pi} .
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{i}^{ \pm} & = \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha_{k}^{ \pm}}{2}, & \text { if }\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\} \bigcap \Omega^{ \pm}=\sigma_{k}^{ \pm}, \\
0, & \text { if }\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\} \bigcap \Omega^{ \pm}=\emptyset,\end{cases} \\
\lambda_{i} & = \begin{cases}-\frac{h_{k}}{2 \pi}, & \text { if }\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\} \bigcap \Omega=\sigma_{k}, \\
0, & \text { if }\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\} \bigcap \Omega=\emptyset,\end{cases} \\
\omega_{i} & =-\left(\lambda_{i}^{+}+\lambda_{i}^{-}+\lambda_{i}\right), i=\overline{1, m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following [9;10], we define the integers $n_{i}, i=\overline{1, m}$ by the inequalities

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{1}{q}<\omega_{i}+n_{i-1}-n_{i} \leq \frac{1}{p}  \tag{9}\\
n_{0}=0, i=\overline{1, m}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\tilde{\theta}(-\pi+0)-\tilde{\theta}(\pi-0)+2 n_{m} \pi . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem is true.
Theorem 3.4 Let the functions $A^{ \pm}(t), \omega^{ \pm}(t)$ satisfy the conditions 1)-4), and $\omega$ be defined by (9), (10). The system (1) is complete in $L_{p, \rho}(a, b), 1<$ $p<+\infty$, only when $\omega \leq \frac{2 \pi}{p}$.

In fact, according to the results of $[9 ; 10]$, if all the conditions of Theorem 3.4 are satisfied, then the validity of the inequality $\omega \leq \frac{2 \pi}{p}$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for the completeness of the system (8) in $L_{p}(-\pi, \pi)$. The rest follows from Lemma 3.2.

In particular, if we consider $\varphi(t) \equiv e^{i t}, t \in[-\pi, \pi]$, then it is clear that $\nu_{k}^{ \pm}=1, \forall k$. In this case we obtain the known results of $[9 ; 10]$.
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