

APPLICATION OF STEM CELLS IN ORTHODONTICS : A REVIEW

¹ Srinivasa Rao Kolasani

² Sreevalli S

¹ Associate professor

² Reader

¹Department of Orthodontics, Government Dental College & Hospital, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh – 520010
²Department of Orthodontics, Dr. Sudha & Nageswara Rao Institute of Dental Sciences, Chinnaout palli, Gannavaram, Andhra Pradesh, India-521101.

ABSTRACT The purpose of this article was to review and update current data of the use of stem cells for dental but specifically orthodontic purpose. Interest regarding stem cell based therapies for the treatment of congenital or acquired craniofacial deformities is rapidly growing. Craniofacial problems such as periodontal disease, cleft lip and palate, ear microtia, craniofacial microsomia, and head and neck cancers are not only common but also some of the most burdensome surgical problems worldwide. Treatments often require a multi-staged multidisciplinary team approach. Current surgical therapies attempt to reduce the morbidity and social/emotional impact, yet outcomes can still be unpredictable and unsatisfactory. The concept of harvesting stem cells followed by expansion, differentiation, seeding onto a scaffold and re-transplanting them is likely to become a clinical reality. In this review, the translational applications of stem cell therapy in tissue regeneration for craniofacial defects are summarized.

KEYWORDS: Meta-analysis, stem cells, orthodontic application, craniofacial regeneration

INTRODUCTION

Stem cells are those with the ability to divide for indefinite periods of time and with the ability to differentiate into a variety of cell types. Stem cells can be divided into three categories: totipotent, pluripotent, and multipotent cells.^{1,2,3} Totipotent cells are the most primitive cells, followed by pluripotent cells. The multipotent cell type is the most differentiated type of stem cell³. Totipotent cells have the potential to differentiate into any type of cell in the body and are capable of developing into a complete organism. After several cell division cycles, totipotent cells will develop into pluripotent cells. Pluripotent cells are capable of dividing and differentiating into any type of cell, tissue, or organ. However, these cells are not capable of developing into a complete organism. Multipotent cells have more limited capacities than do pluripotent cells. Other types of primitive cells include progenitor cells, which are able to differentiate into only one type of mature cell.^{4,5} Adult stem cells are defined as the undifferentiated cells that are found in a differentiated adult tissue,⁶ residing in a specific area of each tissue where they remain quiescent in the body until they are activated by epigenetic and/or environmental factors, such as mechanical forces, disease, or trauma. Adult stem cell populations serve to regenerate multiple tissues that are damaged or are in need of repair or regeneration.⁷ Stem cells have been defined in many different ways.

Moreover, the classical definition of a stem cell is changing in the face of increasing evidence suggesting that stem cells exist within adult tissues and retain significant phenotypic plasticity (ie, potency). The main principles in the definition of stem cell include the following: (1) Self-renewal, or the ability to generate at least one daughter cell with characteristics similar to the initiating cell; (2) Multilineage differentiation of a single cell; and (3) In vivo functional reconstitution of a given tissue or cell type.^{8,9} Regeneration involves slow replacement of tissues via identical cells derived from progenitor and/or adult stem cells. Previous studies have identified and isolated adult stem cells from bone, brain, muscle, and skin that have the potential for differentiation into various tissues and particularly the cell types present within the tissues from which the cells were isolated.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ Although these cells are quite similar in terms of their differentiation potential, they are different in terms of their growth properties and perhaps their differentiation lineage preference.¹⁶ In addition marrow- derived stem cells were found to differentiate at the single- cell level not only into mesenchymal cell types such as osteoblasts, chondroblasts, and adipocytes, but also into cells of visceral mesodermal origin.¹⁷ Recent studies suggest that the postnatal craniofacial muscle compartment can be considered an alternative to bone marrow and a source of multipotent cells or muscle-derived stem cells.¹⁵ This review focuses specifically on craniofacial bone, teeth,

periodontium, and the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) within the craniofacial complex, interpreting the critical roles of adult stem cells in postnatal growth/development as well as, remodeling and regeneration of the craniofacial tissues or organs.

Scaffolds and biomaterials:

Craniofacial reconstructive surgery manipulates available tissues in a three dimensional field, either by transferring tissue from a donor site or supporting and shaping the repair with artificial scaffolds and biomaterials. Biomaterials in stem cell tissue engineering and regeneration not only provide a supportive scaffold but also create an artificial niche that allows natural processes of stem cell renewal, proliferation, and differentiation while promoting vascularization, integration, adhesion, and survival of the newly generated.¹⁸ Incorporating small molecules and growth or differentiation promoting factors within the biomaterials can further potentiate these natural repair processes resulting in efficient biological repair. The basic requirement for all biomaterial used for tissue engineering purposes is that it be inert and does not provoke a significant inflammatory response. However the tensile strength, biostability or biodegradability are features that will be favored in a context dependent manner. Inert stable scaffolds provide rigidity but lack the ability to remodel with age. While biodegradable scaffolds that provide transient three- dimensional contour for the regenerating tissue are especially appealing for soft tissue repair but raise the concern of inadequate regeneration, inadequate mechanical properties of the newly formed tissue and sustained function over long periods of time.

Stem cells in craniofacial tissue engineering:

Interest regarding stem cell based therapies for the treatment of congenital or acquired craniofacial deformities is rapidly growing. Craniofacial problems such as periodontal dis-ease, cleft lip and palate, ear microtia, craniofacial microsomia, and head and neck cancers are not only common but also some of the most burdensome surgical problems worldwide. Treatments often require a multi-staged multidisciplinary team approach. Current surgical therapies attempt to reduce the morbidity and social/emotional impact, yet outcomes can still be unpredictable and unsatisfactory. The concept of harvesting stem cells followed by expansion, differentiation, seeding onto a scaffold and re-transplanting them is likely to become a clinical reality. One potential stem cell based strategy for repairing craniofacial defects is the use of embryonic stem (ES) cells. ES cells are derived from the inner cell mass (ICM) of the blastocyst and possess the capacity to differentiate into all cell types.^{19,20,21} However, the application of ES cells for clinical purposes has been limited by ethical issues, dysregulated ES cell differentiation, and immune

rejection. In addition, the possibility of genomic instability and tumorigenesis still needs to be examined before any large-scale clinical experiments are planned. The ability to generate induced pluripotency stem (iPS) cells is one of the major breakthroughs in stem cell study in recent years.^{22,23} Somatic cells from human fibroblast cells can be reprogrammed into a primordial, ES-like state and are able to differentiate into all three germ layers (ectoderm, endoderm, and mesoderm). This technology offers a revolutionary approach for the introduction of autologous multipotential stem cells into patient-specific, tissue-specific regeneration and repair.

To the craniofacial reconstructive surgeon, tissue engineering advancements over the last decade has provided a plethora of materials that may be suitable for the healing of craniofacial defects like the cleft palate. At a basic level, tissue engineering scaffolds can be broken down into three groups: autografts, allografts, and xenografts. Today the reconstructive surgeon makes best use of the autograft category, taking bone from another site (e.g., iliac crest, rib) and transplanting it into the cleft defect.

Dental stem cells and tooth regeneration:

Human teeth are comprised of enamel, dentin, tooth, pulp and cementum covering the root surface. The periodontal ligament surrounds and supports the tooth. Unlike bone, most hard tissue in the tooth does not undergo renewal after its formation; only dentin can regenerate itself internally upon injury, suggesting the existence of stem cell populations within the tooth pulp. One of the first dental related stem cell populations identified are the DPSCs.²⁴ DPSCs are capable of differentiating into multiple types of tissue including odontoblast, bone, adipocyte, and neuron.²⁵ In addition, SHED teeth pulp opposes multipotential differentiation ability.^{26,27} Both SHED and DPSCs are able to generate tissue resembling human tooth pulp under appropriate conditions.^{28,29} Several studies have attempted to rebuild teeth in vitro by combining tooth pulp derived stem cells with proper scaffold materials. Other studies have used DPSCs or SHED for treatment of disease of non-dental tissue such as muscle dystrophies, critical size bony defect, spinal cord damage, corneal injury, and even systemic lupus erythematosus. Scaffolds provide a 3-D framework for cells and serve as an extracellular matrix for a finite period of time. Scaffolds provide an environment that allows both cell migration and proliferation, and may be fabricated in pre-determined shapes and composition. The first scaffold material used successfully for tooth tissue engineering was a copolymer of PGA/PLLA and PLGA, which are the most commonly used scaffold materials for tissue engineering studies. These scaffolds are biodegradable and biocompatible. Changing the component ratio can control the degradation rate of the

PLLA/PGA scaffold. PLLA has also been used in many tooth tissue engineering studies, and tissue with morphology and structure resembling that of human tooth pulp has been generated after seeding dental pulp stem cells onto the PLLA scaffolds. Odontoblast specific marker DMP-1 is detectable within scaffolds generated with vgelatin or salt porogens. In the future, the ability to control the shape of the tissue engineered tooth generated with appropriate scaffold materials will be a crucial step towards bringing the technique to the clinic.

Engineering the TMJ cartilage using adult stem cells:

The TMJ is enclosed in a capsule that is lubricated with synovial fluid and serves as an important growth site during postnatal development with two articular surfaces that can adapt to changing environment conditions.³⁰ With regard to the glenoid (temporal) fossa, the subarticular osteogenic layer of the periosteum provides cartilage and bone cells for the superior surface of the TMJ.^{30,31} Mandibular protrusion conducted in a stepwise fashion increased the number of mesenchymal cells (stem/progenitor cells) in the glenoid (temporal) fossa, which in turn shows new cortical bone formation. However, the mandibular condyle is special because of its ossification of secondary cartilage, and changes its shape and length by subarticular proliferation of the progenitor/ stem cells that differentiate into chondrocytes,³² leading to an earlier formation and increase in the amount of cartilage matrix, which eventually will be replaced with lamellar trabecular bone.³³ The temporo-mandibular joint (TMJ) is comprised of both osseous and cartilaginous structures. It can deteriorate due to injuries, osteoarthritis, or rheumatoid arthritis. The cartilage tissue has a limited capacity of intrinsic repair, so even minor lesions of injury may lead to progressive damage.³⁴⁻³⁸ Severe TMJ lesions need surgical replacement of the mandibular condyle.³⁹ Currently, a few studies on TMJ tissue engineering have been conducted in animal models. In one study, bone marrow MSCs were isolated from the long bone marrow and expanded in vitro under either osteogenic or chondrogenic culture conditions.^{40,41}

The expanded osteogenic and chondrogenic cells were mixed with PEGDA hydrogel and seeded onto an adult human cadaver mandible condyle in two stratified yet integrated layers. These bilayer constructs were then placed under nude mice skin for culture. After 4 weeks of implantation, de novo formation of human condyle like structures was detectable replicating the relevant shape and dimensions. Chondrocytes and osteocytes of donor origin were identified in separated layers, and the two cell types infiltrated into the territory of each other, resembling the native condition. However, both chondrogenic and osteogenic layers showed suboptimal maturation, possibly due to an insufficient amount of cells. The same group

also constructed a mandibular condyle scaffold by using CAD/CAM techniques and combined it with autologous bone marrow MSC cells. The construct was then transplanted into mini pig TMJs. Evaluation and analysis after 1 and 3 months indicated bone regeneration of condyle shape and thus improvement of masticatory function.⁴²

Mandibular growth in mandibular hypoplasia using stem cells:

Viral vectors carrying vascular endothelial growth factor (rAAV-VEGF) have been shown to stimulate mandibular growth in vivo in rats.⁴³ Yet, more research is needed to optimize the technique and detailed toxicity evaluation of viral and non viral vectors (both local and systemic), and testing optimized techniques in higher animals before clinical trials can be conducted. The hypothesis underlying local injection of vector-loaded VEGF into mandibular condyles is that this VEGF can modulate mandibular growth through added VEGF effect that has been shown to be correlated to mandibular growth stimulation.^{44,45} VEGF may stimulate mandibular growth through two mechanisms: (1) through stimulation of endochondral bone growth and (2) through recruitment of new replicating mesenchymal stem cells, which is correlated to mandibular growth.^{46,47} Gene therapy as well as LLL (Laser) or LED (Light emitting diode) seems to be promising approaches in stimulating mandibular growth. However, detailed toxicity investigations of these techniques are required before potential clinical trials can be performed.

Periodontal regeneration using stem cells:

Periodontal diseases affect 15% of the human adult population, with periodontal soft tissue loss and subsequent supporting bone resorption leading to loss of teeth.⁴⁸ Current treatment approaches include the use of guided tissue regeneration, bioactive grafting materials, and application of bioactive molecules to induce regeneration, but the overall effects of these approaches are relatively modest and limited in practical applications. Regenerating the periodontium is a challenge in the treatment of periodontal diseases due to its complex structure, consisting of cementum, periodontal ligament, gingiva, and supporting bone. Thus, regeneration of the periodontium will require either multiple cell populations or a multipotential stem cell population.

The Periodontal ligament is unique among the ligament and tendon tissues of the body, because it is the only soft tissue connecting two distinct hard tissues.⁴⁹ The periodontal ligament suspends the tooth like a cushion in order to transduce the mechanical load from the teeth evenly onto the supporting bone. Early studies of different animal models demonstrated that the periodontal tissues possess

some regeneration activity, suggesting the existence of stem cell population within the periodontium.^{50,51} After depletion of various periodontal tissues, not only the periodontal ligaments, but also cementum and alveolar bone, can be regenerated, suggesting the presence of multipotential stem cell populations.⁵²⁻⁵⁴

Impact of craniofacial tissue engineering on clinical practice:

Craniofacial tissue engineering is an opportunity that dentistry cannot afford to miss. This notion is based on both biological and strategic reasons. Biologically, mesenchymal cells are primarily responsible for the formation of virtually all dental, oral, and craniofacial structures. Mesenchymal stem cells, the reservoir of mesenchymal cells in the adult, have been demonstrated, in tissue engineering, to generate key dental, oral, and craniofacial structures. Many dental and craniofacial structures are readily accessible, thus presenting a convenient platform for biologists, bioengineers, and clinicians to test tissue-engineered prototypes.^{55,56} The impact of craniofacial tissue engineering extends beyond dental practice. Several craniofacial structures engineered thus far serve as prototypes for the tissue engineering of noncraniofacial structures. Craniofacial-derived stem cells have potential implications in the tissue engineering of not only craniofacial structures, but also non-craniofacial tissues.^{57,58} Tissue-engineered bone with customized shape and dimensions has the potential for the biological replacement of not only craniofacial bones, but also of segmental defects in the appendicular bones.^{59,60,61}

CONCLUSION

It seems like everyday we read about huge advances in the field of endothelial stem cells research detailing daring approaches in the application of these stem cells to the clinical world. The question is where is the adult stem cell researcher? True advances in the medical and scientific world using adult stem cells like the adult stem cells will not be achieved by playing it “safe.” In the modern marketing world, we hear phrases like “kick it up a notch” and “think outside the box.” The adult stem cell researcher needs to take these phrases to heart and look outside conventional fields for inspiration and knowledge. The combination of the stem cell researcher with the biomaterials engineer has been an example on how what appears to be an unconventional collaboration can advance the scientific community and benefit the general public. Who knows where these relationships will take us? But one thing is for sure—collaboration brings people together who look at the world in different ways. From these unions, we can propel the fields of adult stem cell biology and craniofacial tissue engineering into a whole new realm—where all things are possible.

References

1. Ariffin SHZ, AbdulWahab RM, Ismail I, Mahadi NM, Ariffin ZZ. Stem cells, cytokines and their receptors. *Asia Pacific Journal of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology* 2005; 13:(1), 1–13.
2. Shanthly N, Aruva MR, Zhang K, Mathew B, Thakur ML. Stem cells: a regenerative pharmaceutical. *Q J Nucl Med and Mol Imaging* 2006 Sep;50(3):205-16.
3. Ariffin SHZ, AbdulWahab RM, Abidin IZZ, Sahidan S, Nor MM, Ariffin ZZ. Stem cell in blood development. *Sains Malaysiana* 2005; 34:21–26.
4. Yazid MD, Ariffin SHZ, Senafi S, Razak MA, AbdulWahab RM. Determination of the differentiation capacities of murines' primary mononucleated cells and MC3T3-E1 cells. *Cancer Cell Int* 2010 Oct 28;10:42.
5. Abidin IZZ, Ariffin SHZ, AbdulWahab RM, Sahidan S, Ariffin ZZ. Osteoclast and osteoblast development of *Mus musculus* haemopoietic mononucleated cells. *J Biol Sci* 2008; 8: 506–16.
6. Spangrude GJ: When is a stem cell really a stem cell? *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2003; 32:S7-S11.
7. Presnell SC, Petersen B, Heidaran M: Stem cells in adult tissues. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2002;Oct:13(5):369-376.
8. Weissman IL: Translating stem and progenitor cell biology to the clinic: barriers and opportunities. *Science* 2000; 287: 1442-46.
9. Verfaillie CM: Adult stem cells: assessing the case for pluripotency. *Trends Cell Biol* 2002 Nov;12(11):502-8.
10. Mezey E, Chandross KJ, Harta G. Turning blood into brain: cells bearing neuronal antigens generated in vivo from bone marrow. *Science* 2000 Dec 1;290(5497):1779-82.
11. Krause DS, Theise ND, Collector MI. Multi-organ multi-lineage engraftment by a single bone marrow-derived stem cell. *Cell* 2001 May 4;105(3):369-77.
12. Seale P, Asakura A, Rudnicki MA et al. The potential of muscle stem cells. *Dev Cell* 2001; 1:Sep : (3)333-42.
13. Hierlihy AM, Seale P, Lobe CG et al. The post-natal heart contains a myocardial stem cell population. *FEBS Lett* 2002 Oct 23;530(1-3):239-43.
14. Jiang Y, Vaessen B, Lenvik T et al. Multipotent progenitor cells can be isolated from postnatal murine bone marrow muscle and brain. *Exp Hematol* 2002; Aug;30(8):896-904.
15. Poleskaya A, Seale P, Rudnicki MA. Wnt signaling induces the myogenic specification of resident CD45 adult stem cells during muscle regeneration. *Cell* 2003; Jun 27 : 113:841-52.
16. Sinanan AC, Hunt NP, Lewis MP. Human adult craniofacial muscle-derived cells CD56/NCAM expressing cells appear to contain multipotential stem cells. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem* 2004; Aug;40(Pt 1):25-34.
17. Reyes M, Lund T, Lenvik T et al. Purification and ex vivo expansion of postnatal human marrow

- mesodermal progenitor cells. *Blood* 2001; Nov 1;98(9):2615-25.
18. Rossi CA et al. Proliferation, metabolism and differentiation define an intrinsic heterogeneity. *PLoS ONE* 2010; Jan 1 : 5(1) : e8523.
 19. Evans MJ, Kaufman MH. Establishment in culture of pluripotential cells from mouse embryos. *Nature* 1981; 292:154–56.
 20. Martin GR. Isolation of a pluripotent cell line from early mouse embryos cultured in medium conditioned by teratocarcinoma stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 1981 Dec;78(12):7634-8.
 21. Thomson JA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Shapiro SS, Waknitz MA, Swiergiel JJ, Marshall VS, Jones JM. Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. *Science* 1998 Nov 6;282(5391):1145-7.
 22. Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* 2006; Aug 25;126(4):663-76. Epub 2006 Aug 10.
 23. Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, Ichisaka T, Tomoda K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell* 2007 Nov 30;131(5):861-72.
 24. Gronthos S, Mankani M, Brahimi J et al. Postnatal human dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) in vitro and in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2000 Dec 5;97(25):13625-30.
 25. Gronthos S, Brahimi J, Li W et al. Stem cell properties of human dental pulp stem cells. *J Dent Res* 2002; 81:531-35.
 26. Miura M, Gronthos S, Zhao M et al. SHED: Stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2003 May 13;100(10):5807-12. Epub 2003 Apr 25.
 27. Seo BM, Miura M, Gronthos S. Investigation of multipotent postnatal stem cells from human periodontal ligament. *Lancet* 2004 Jul 10-16;364(9429):149-55.
 28. Cordeiro MM, Dong Z, Kaneko T, Zhang Z, Miyazawa M, Shi S, Smith AJ, Nor JE. Dental pulp tissue engineering with stem cells from exfoliated deciduous teeth. *J Endod* 2008 Aug;34(8):962-9.
 29. Casagrande L, Demarco FF, Zhang Z, Araujo FB, Shi S, Nor JE. Dentin-derived BMP-2 and odontoblast differentiation. *J Dent Res* 2010 Jun;89(6):603-8.
 30. Schumacher GH. Principles of skeletal growth, in Dixon AD, Hoyte AN, Ronning O (eds): *Fundamentals of Craniofacial Growth*. Boca Raton, New York, CRC Press, 1997. PP. 1-21.
 31. Carlson DS. Growth of the temporomandibular joint, in Zarb GA, Carlsson GE, Sessle B, Mohl ND (eds): *Temporomandibular Joint*. 2nd ed. Copenhagen, Munksgaard. 1994. Pp. 128-158.
 32. Carlson DS. Biological rationale for early treatment of dentofacial deformities. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop* 2002; Jun: 121(6):554-58.
 33. Roberts WE, Huja S, Roberts JA. Bone modeling: Biomechanics molecular mechanisms and clinical perspectives. *Semin Orthod* 2004; 10:123-61.
 34. Hinton RJ, Carlson DS. Histological changes in the articular eminence and mandibular fossa during the growth of the rhesus monkey (*Macaca mulatta*). *Am J Anat* 1983 Jan;166(1):99-116.
 35. Rabie AB, Wong L, Hagg U. Correlation of replicating cells and osteogenesis in the glenoid fossa during stepwise advancement. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop* 2003 May;123(5):521-6.
 36. Rabie AB, She TT, Hagg U. Functional appliance therapy accelerates and enhances condylar growth. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop* 2003; Jan;123(1):40-8.
 37. Jacques B, Hammer schmidt M, McMahon AP. Indian hedgehog signalling regulates proliferation and differentiation of chondrocytes and is essential for bone formation. *Genes Dev* 1999; Aug 15;13:2072-86.
 38. Wei X, Messner K: Maturation-dependent durability of spontaneous cartilage repair in rabbit knee joint. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1999; Sep 15;46:539-48.
 39. Sarnat BG, Laskin DM. *The Temporomandibular Joint: A Biological Basis for Clinical Practice*. Philadelphia: Saunders. 1992.
 40. Alhadlaq A, Mao JJ. Tissue-engineered neogenesis of human-shaped mandibular condyle from rat mesenchymal stem cells. *J Dent Res* 2003; Dec;82: 951-56.
 41. Alhadlaq A, Mao JJ. Mesenchymal stem cells: isolation and therapeutics. *Stem Cells Dev* 2004; Aug: 13: (4)436-48.
 42. Mao JJ, Giannobile WV, Helms JA, Hollister SJ, Krebsbach PH, Longaker MT, Shi S. Craniofacial tissue engineering by stem cells. *J Dent Res* 2006; Nov;85(11):966-79.
 43. Dai J, Rabie ABM. Gene therapy to enhance condylar growth using rAAV-VEGF. *Angle Orthodontist* 2008; Jan;78(1):89-94.
 44. Rabie ABM, Shum L, Chayanupatkul A. VEGF and bone formation in the glenoid fossa during forward mandibular positioning. *Am Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2002; Aug: 122:202–9.
 45. Rabie ABM, Leung FYC, Chayanupatkul A, Hagg U. The correlation between neovascularization and bone formation in the condyle during forward mandibular positioning. *Angle Orthodont* 2002; Oct: 72:431–38.
 46. Rabie ABM, Wong L, Tsai M. Replicating mesenchymal cells in the condyle and the glenoid fossa during mandibular forward positioning. *Am Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2003; Jan:123:49–57.
 47. Rabie ABM, Wong L, Hagg U. Correlation of replicating cells and osteogenesis in the glenoid fossa during stepwise advancement. *Am Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2003; 123:521–26.
 48. Mase J, Mizuno H, Okada K, Sakai K, Mizuno D, Usami K, Kagami H, Ueda M. Cryopreservation of cultured periosteum: effect of different cryoprotectants and pre incubation protocols on cell viability and

- osteogenic potential. Cryobiology 2006 Apr;52(2):182-92
49. McCulloch CA, Lekic P, McKee M.D. Role of physical forces in regulating the form and function of the periodontal ligament. Periodontol 2000;Oct: 24: 56–72.
 50. Karring T, Nyman S, Lindhe J. Healing following implantation of periodontitis affected roots into bone tissue. J Clin Periodontol 1980 Apr;7(2):96-105.
 51. Nielsen IM, Ellegaard B, Karring T. Kiel bone in healing inter radicular lesions in monkeys. J Periodont Res 1980; May;15(3):328-37.
 52. Nyman S, Gottlow J, Karring T, Lindhe J. The regenerative potential of the periodontal ligament. An experimental study in the monkey. J Clin Periodontol 1982; May 9: (3)257–65.
 53. Nyman S, Karring T, Lindhe J, Planten S. Healing following implantation of periodontitis affected roots into gingival connective tissue. J Clin Periodontol 1980;Oct 7(5): 394–401.
 54. Parlar A, Bosshardt DD, Unsal B, Cetiner D, Haytac C, Lang N. New formation of periodontal tissues around titanium implants in an oval dentin chamber model. Clin. Oral Implants Res 2005 : 16 (3), 259–267.
 55. Hollinger JO, Winn SR. Tissue engineering of bone in the craniofacial complex. Ann NY Acad Sci 1999;875:379–85.
 56. Alsberg E, Hill EE, Mooney DJ. Craniofacial tissue engineering. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 2001;12(1):64–75.
 57. Shi S, Bartold P, Miura M, Seo B, Robey P, Gronthos S. The efficacy of mesenchymal stem cells to regenerate and repair dental structures. Orthod Craniofac Res 2005;8;(3):191–99.
 58. Sonoyama W, Coppe C, Gronthos S, Shi S. Skeletal stem cells in regenerative medicine. Curr Top Dev Biol 2005; 67:305–23.
 59. Feinberg SE, Hollister SJ, Halloran JW, Chu TM, Krebsbach PH. Image-based biomimetic approach to reconstruction of the temporomandibular joint. Cells Tissues Organs 2001;169(3):309–21.
 60. Chu TM, Hollister SJ, Halloran JW, Feinberg SE, Orton DG. Manufacturing and characterization of 3- D hydroxyapatite bone tissue engineering scaffolds. Ann NY Acad Sci 2002;Jun 961:114–17.
 61. Moore MJ, Jabbari E, Ritman EL, Lu L, Currier BL, Windebank AJ. Quantitative analysis of interconnectivity of porous biodegradable scaffolds with micro-computed tomography. J Biomed Mater Res A 2004;71:258–67.

Corresponding Author

Dr.Kolasani Srinivasa Rao.., MDS

Associate Professor of Orthodontics,
Government Dental College & Hospital,
Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh – 520010

Phone; + 91 9440230473

E.mail : drsrinirkrao@yahoo.co.in